Inver Grove Heights City Council
Monday, July 13, 2026 at 6:00 PM
8150 Barbara Ave, Inver Grove Heights, MN 55077

AGENDA

NOTICE TO RESIDENTS: Individuals may submit written public comments in advance of the meeting by emailing comments to Rebecca Kiernan (rkiernan@ighmn.gov). Comments received prior to **12:00 p.m**. on Monday, July 13, 2026, will be provided to the Council at or before the July 13, 2026 meeting. To watch remotely, individuals may go to www.townsquare.tv/webstreaming or watch from Cable TV Live on the Second and Fourth Mondays of the month at 6 p.m. on Channel 14/799 HD.

1. **Call to Order**
2. **Roll Call**
3. **Approval of Agenda**
4. **Presentations**
   - **A.** Parks and Recreation Month Proclamation
   - **B.** Awards of Excellence Presentation — Awarded to IGH Parks and Recreation
5. **Consent Agenda**
   All items on the consent agenda are considered routine and have been made available to the City Council at least two days prior to the meeting; the items will be enacted in one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a Councilmember or citizen so requests, in which event the item will be removed from this agenda and considered in normal sequence.
   - **A.** Approval of Minutes of the June 1, 2026 City Council Work Session
   - **B.** Approval of Minutes of the June 8, 2026, City Council Special Meeting
   - **C.** Approval of Minutes of the June 8, 2026, City Council Meeting
   - **D.** Approval of Minutes of the June 22, 2026, City Council Special Meeting
   - **E.** Approval of Minutes of the June 22, 2026, City Council Hearing
   - **F.** Approval of Minutes of June 22 & continued to June 26, 2026, City Council Meeting
   - **G.** Approve Release of Escrow Funds for 9980 Arkansas Path
   - **H.** Approve Joint Powers Agreement for City Project No. 2026-09H - Upper 55th St from Blaine Ave to Cahill Ave and 57th St from Cahill to Carmen Ave
   - **I.** Resolution Appointing Election Judges for the 2026 State Primary Election
   - **J.** Approval of Disbursements
   - **K.** Approve Resolution for a budget adjustment and purchase a Track Conversion System for the Utility Terrain Vehicle.
6. **Public Hearing**

A. Consideration of New Corporate Officer for Liquor License Holder Outback Steakhouse of Florida, LLC

7. Regular Business

A. 2026 Minnesota Legislature Recap

B. Presentation on the Minnesota Department of Transportation Highway 3 Rosemount to Inver Grove Heights Study.

C. CLOSED SESSION: Interim City Administrator Performance Evaluation

8. Public Comment

Public comment provides an opportunity for the public to address the Council on items that are not on the agenda. Comments will be limited to three (3) minutes per person.

9. Mayor and Council Comments

10. Adjourn

This document is available upon a three (3) business day request in alternate formats such as braille, large print, audio recording, etc. Please contact Rebecca Kiernan, City Clerk, at 651.450.2513 or rkiernan@ighmn.gov.

PROCLAMATION

CITY of INVER GROVE HEIGHTS, MINNESOTA

WHEREAS, parks and recreation services contribute to the social and economic wellbeing of communities throughout this country, including Inver Grove Heights; and

WHEREAS, a robust parks and recreation system promotes physical and mental health through physical activity, and promotes stronger neighborhoods through the connection of diverse communities; and

WHEREAS, parks and recreation increases the City's economic prosperity through increased property values, and strengthens our community by providing opportunities for all to access natural areas and green space; and

WHEREAS, residents of Inver Grove Heights enjoy access to nearly 800 acres of City-owned park land, plus recreation amenities such as the Veterans Memorial Community Center and Inver Wood Golf Course; and

WHEREAS, the Parks and Recreation Department for the City of Inver Grove Heights consists of a team of full-time, part-time and seasonal staff whose knowledge, commitment and creativity build a stronger sense of community and belonging for residents of IGH; and

WHEREAS, the National Recreation and Parks Association celebrates the month of July as Park and Recreation Month, with a 2026 theme of "The Power Of…," which encompasses the power of parks and recreation, play, nature, community, belonging, well-being and the people who make these experiences possible;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT PROCLAIMED by the City Council of the City of Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota that the month of July be recognized as Park and Recreation Month and that July 17, 2026 be recognized as Park and Recreation Professionals Day in Inver Grove Heights; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the public be invited to join the City Council in extending its deepest appreciation to the hardworking members of the Inver Grove Heights Parks and Recreation Department for the many ways in which they help make the IGH community a great place to live, work and play.

PROCLAIMED this 13th day of July, 2026.

____
Brenda Dietrich, Mayor

Agenda Item 4.B.

CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS

Request for Council Action

SUBJECT: **Awards of Excellence Presentation — Awarded to IGH Parks and Recreation**

MEETING DATE: July 13, 2026
ITEM TYPE: Presentations
CONTACT:

ACTION REQUESTED

BACKGROUND

FISCAL IMPACT

RECOMMENDATION

ATTACHMENTS
None

INVER GROVE HEIGHTS CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION
MONDAY, JUNE 1, 2026 – 8150 BARBARA AVENUE

1. CALL TO ORDER:

The City Council of Inver Grove Heights met in a work session on Monday, June 1, 2026, in person.
Mayor Dietrich called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. The Pledge of Allegiance was cited.

2. ROLL CALL:

Present In-Person: Mayor Dietrich; Council Members: Gliva, Murphy, Scales, and T'Kach
Staff In Attendance: Interim City Administrator Ellen Hiniker, Community Development Director Jason Ziemer, Senior Planner Ben Schneider, City Attorney Bridget Nason and Deputy City Clerk Katie Malott

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

Motion to approve Agenda as published by Gliva, second by T'Kach.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

4. DISCUSSION ITEMS:

A. Minnesota Hockey Hall of Fame Updates

Community Development Director Ziemer noted that Dave Rey and Damian Erickson from Bolton & Menk were in attendance. Mr. Rey served as the project manager leading the schematic plan design work for the Hockey Hall of Fame site. Their presence provided an opportunity for the EDA to become familiar with the consultants responsible for the work completed to date and to ask questions regarding the design process, project planning, and recommendations. He thanked Mr. Rey and Mr. Erickson for joining the meeting.

He explained that the project had continued to evolve since the last update provided in March or April and that the meeting offered an opportunity to review the status of the development. The discussion would include a development overview, project milestones and target dates, legislative efforts, purchase agreement information, and next steps. Additional updates would cover the transmission lines crossing the site, the Phase One engineering plan, information gathered through that process, updated project cost estimates, and the completed traffic study. He also requested Bolton & Menk identify issues and considerations that would need to be addressed as the project advances from schematic design into more detailed development planning, which would help guide Phase Two engineering work.

He reported that the grant application authorized by the Council had been submitted the previous week following the Council meeting and that the City was now awaiting review and approval from the Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development (DEED). Based on the anticipated review timeline, work on Phase Two engineering would likely begin in July or August. The meeting would also include discussion regarding future decision timelines.

Turning to project milestones and target dates, he noted that the Minnesota Legislature convened on May 17 and that both the City and the Hockey Hall of Fame team had sought state funding. Neither request was funded during the most recent legislative session. He explained that many projects that ultimately received funding had been waiting through previous funding cycles and that the City was effectively participating in the process for the first time. Although there had been optimism that the City's general obligation funding request had a strong chance of success, funding was not awarded. He added that lobbyist Katy Sen would attend the July 13 meeting to provide a legislative recap, discuss future opportunities, and answer questions regarding the legislative process.

He also reported that the schematic design and initial civil, utility, and engineering planning phase had been completed on May 22. Since that time, staff and consultants had been reviewing the completed work, asking follow-up questions, and obtaining clarifications on various project elements in preparation for the meeting and future project decisions.

The purchase agreement for the property was approved on December 18 for $8,740,200. All due diligence activities have been completed, much of which occurred in coordination with the Bolton & Menk planning efforts, including surveys, a tree inventory, environmental studies, a Phase One Environmental Site Assessment, and soils and geotechnical investigations. Two key dates remain in the agreement: July 1, when the $100,000 earnest money deposit becomes nonrefundable, and October 31, the scheduled land closing date. Staff continues to discuss potential modifications to the closing date and other agreement terms and anticipates providing an update to the Council during a closed session at the June 8 regular meeting.

Turning to the site planning process, he explained that one of the primary issues involved the Xcel Energy power and transmission lines crossing the property. Because the project involves multiple agencies and stakeholders, Bolton & Menk coordinated extensively with Dakota County, Xcel Energy, and other entities to evaluate potential relocation options for the transmission lines. Three general alignment alternatives were studied, including several variations of Alignment 2. One option carried an estimated cost of approximately $1.171 million but was eliminated early because it conflicted with the future interchange location.

The remaining alternatives focused on determining how far the poles would need to be moved to accommodate the building and site improvements or whether the transmission lines could be relocated closer to 65th Street before reconnecting to the existing alignment. He noted that relocating the lines toward 65th Street would require obtaining easements from adjacent property owners because no existing easements are currently in place. Pursuing those easements was not considered desirable because it would add complexity to the project.

He explained that the more expensive alternatives required additional steel structures due to the number of turns and connection points needed for the relocation. After evaluating the options, Alignment 2C emerged as the preferred alternative because it moved the transmission lines the greatest practical distance from the proposed building while generally following the internal roadway through the site before reconnecting with the existing alignment. The relocation would shift the lines only about 20 to 50 feet while avoiding the substantially higher costs associated with moving them farther south toward 65th Street.

The preferred Alignment 2C would also preserve the southwest portion of the site for outdoor open space and future programming opportunities associated with the Hockey Hall of Fame. Potential uses could include winter skating activities, community events, and other outdoor programming while maintaining usable open space adjacent to the facility. The transmission line analysis therefore supported Alignment 2C as the most practical and cost-effective option for the project.

Council Member T'Kach asked where the blue transmission line would go after entering the parking lot.

Community Development Director Ziemer explained that the line would extend from its existing pole location, cross into a parking lot island, continue across the roadway referred to as Vikings Parkway, and then connect to an existing pole location on the opposite side.

Additional schematic details were provided showing the proposed alignment. The route identified as segments 2B and 2C would cross to the parking lot island, continue across Vikings Parkway, and then connect to the existing pole location.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether the developers of the property south of 65th Street had seen the schematic.

Director Ziemer responded that the MV Ventures development had not reviewed the schematic. He noted that an earlier effort to relocate power lines along the south side of 65th Street was unsuccessful and said the current concept was intended to minimize impacts on future development. He added that the alignment remains conceptual and will require additional engineering review and refinement.

The schematic engineering plan included total estimated costs of $17,359,775 for all public improvements, overhead utility relocations, and site improvements. Public improvement costs consisted of the Argenta Boulevard extension, Vikings Parkway improvements, a turn lane from 65th Street into the site, public water mains, public sanitary sewer infrastructure, and stormwater improvements. These expenses were identified as public costs because they involve infrastructure necessary to serve the development.

Site improvement costs encompass all nonpublic improvements within the development site. These expenses include mass grading, private utility infrastructure, internal stormwater systems and ponding areas, parking lots, pedestrian facilities, plaza areas, and other site-specific improvements required to prepare and develop the property. The total estimated cost for site improvements was approximately $12.49 million.

Previous discussions with the developer contemplated the City providing a pad-ready development site. This would include construction of public utilities, roads, access points, the internal roadway network, and parking areas needed to support the project. Factoring those responsibilities into the cost breakdown resulted in estimated City-related project costs of approximately $11,429,275, excluding overhead utility relocation expenses. Previously submitted and pending DEED grant funding was deducted from the calculations, reducing the City's projected financial responsibility. Additional grant funding to complete final construction documents could further reduce those costs. Including utility relocation expenses, the total City investment was estimated at approximately $11.5 million.

The developer's share of the project costs includes grading for the facility, plaza and concrete improvements, pedestrian areas, sidewalks, parking lots, loading docks, and all private utility infrastructure serving the development. These items represent the direct development costs associated with construction of the facility itself.

The cost estimates also include grading of the proposed hotel site, estimated at approximately $120,000. Because the developer expressed interest in developing the hotel component as well, those grading costs were included on the developer side of the project budget. The hotel-related work is limited to preparing the site through grading and creating a buildable pad.

Additional cost allocations include private utility lines located within the site boundaries. Public water mains would be installed along the roadway network and connect to the building at designated service points, while private water lines would extend around the facility to serve hydrants and other site needs. The estimates also include public stormwater infrastructure, helping distinguish public improvements from private development expenses and providing a clearer understanding of how the overall project costs are distributed.

The traffic study analyzed the anticipated impacts of the Minnesota Hockey Hall of Fame development under the assumption that the project would be constructed before the future Interstate 494 interchange improvements. Available interchange data prepared as part of the ongoing footprint study was reviewed by Bolton & Menk, but because the interchange plans remain in draft form and the Hall of Fame project is expected to precede those improvements, the traffic analysis evaluated existing roadway conditions without incorporating the interchange project. The site design does, however, preserve sufficient right-of-way and footprint areas to accommodate future interchange improvements, including a triangular area at the intersection of Argenta Boulevard and 65th Street that would allow for a future roundabout.

The analysis determined that the Minnesota Hockey Hall of Fame would generate less daily traffic than a traditional office or mixed-use development on the site. While special events would result in temporary increases in traffic volumes, the study found that the project alone would not create significant delays or disruptions at the surrounding intersections. Overall traffic operations were expected to remain acceptable with the Hall of Fame development in place.

Only minor traffic improvements were identified, as necessary. Recommended short-term enhancements included stop sign modifications, primarily at the intersection of 65th Street and Argenta Boulevard, along with lane configuration improvements north of 65th Street on Argenta Boulevard. Some of these improvements are already incorporated into the planned Argenta Boulevard extension project, while others are existing requirements at the intersection today. As a result, the study did not identify any substantial new traffic improvements beyond those already anticipated.

The study also evaluated future growth scenarios in the northwest portion of the area. As additional development occurs over time, some intersections may require improvements due to cumulative development traffic rather than impacts generated by the Hall of Fame itself. Potential future improvements could include modifications to the Argenta Boulevard and 70th Street roundabout and widening Argenta Boulevard between 70th Street and 65th Street to four lanes. Those improvements could ultimately be funded through future development projects or county transportation improvements rather than as direct costs attributable to the Hall of Fame project.

Council Member T'Kach asked when a roundabout at 65th Street might be anticipated.

Director Ziemer stated that the timing of a potential roundabout at 65th Street has generally been tied to the future interchange project. He explained that if the Hockey Hall of Fame project were constructed and additional development occurred on the east side of the area, that future development could trigger the need for additional intersection improvements. However, the demand for those improvements would be driven by the new development rather than by the Hockey Hall of Fame project itself.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether the County also viewed a roundabout as the preferred solution for the intersection and whether such a project was included in the County's capital improvement plan.

Community Development Director Ziemer stated that the proposed approach had been identified as the preferred solution, although it had not been fully finalized. He added that, based on his experience, nothing currently appears on any county or MnDOT capital improvement plan.

Following completion of the approximately 30 percent schematic design phase, several next steps and ongoing issues were identified for further evaluation. This phase focused on determining how all project components could fit on the site, including the location of overhead utility lines, stormwater infrastructure, public sanitary sewer, water and storm sewer utilities, the Hockey Hall of Fame facility, parking areas, roadways, and site access points. The work involved a detailed technical analysis of site constraints and infrastructure requirements to establish a feasible development layout. As part of that process, the preferred building location was adjusted slightly, including a rotation of approximately 10 degrees and a minor shift northward, to better accommodate setbacks, utility infrastructure, and other site constraints.

The next phase will focus on refining and validating the preliminary design. Developer input will play an important role moving forward, particularly regarding operational needs and parking requirements. While the current parking layout complies with City Code requirements, additional discussions are needed to determine how the facility is expected to function during various events and activities and whether the parking design should be adjusted accordingly.

Several items were identified for further evaluation during Phase Two. These include determining the appropriate width and lane configuration for Vikings Parkway to accommodate both the Hockey Hall of Fame project and future interchange improvements; confirming access points along the Argenta Boulevard extension and 65th Street with Dakota County; and evaluating the future needs of the Argenta Boulevard and 65th Street intersection to ensure sufficient right-of-way is preserved for potential future improvements. Additional review will focus on obtaining developer feedback regarding parking operations and exploring alternative locations for the proposed hotel site.

The hotel site is currently shown near the northwest corner of Argenta Boulevard and 65th Street. Consideration will be given to whether the hotel could be relocated closer to the Hockey Hall of Fame facility, creating a more direct connection between the two uses and potentially improving convenience for visitors. While the current location was previously favored because it created a campus-style layout, questions remain regarding the practicality of locating the hotel across a large parking area from the facility, particularly during winter months. Final grading plans and earthwork balances will also be completed during the next phase of design.

The engineering analysis also identified several infrastructure considerations. Development northwest of Vikings Parkway would require a sanitary sewer lift station to connect future development to the public sanitary sewer system serving the site. Early discussions with the City Engineer indicated that extending service along 65th Street would be beneficial, making the identification of this requirement an important outcome of the study.

Additional findings indicated that final building grades may require a private lift station for the Hockey Hall of Fame facility if future design changes affect gravity-flow connections to the public sewer system. The analysis also confirmed the need for a pressure-reducing valve station because the site crosses water pressure zones, a requirement that had previously been identified through the City's water system planning efforts.

Environmental and stormwater considerations were also identified. Construction of the future Vikings Parkway will impact an existing wetland, requiring mitigation measures. The traffic study indicated minimal traffic impacts from the development. Because of site constraints and stormwater management requirements, the proposed hotel site may require its own underground stormwater storage facility. A comprehensive stormwater management plan was not included as part of the Phase One work and will instead be completed during Phase Two as part of a more detailed engineering analysis.

Council Member T'Kach asked who would be responsible for paying for the sanitary sewer lift station identified in the engineering analysis.

Community Development Director Ziemer stated that responsibility for lift station costs can vary depending on the project and the community. In some cases, cities share in the expense when the infrastructure has been identified as a needed public improvement, while in other situations the cost is borne entirely by the developer. He indicated that the specific responsibility would depend on when development occurs and the circumstances surrounding the project, although lift stations are typically considered a development expense because they are necessary for connecting development to the public utility system.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether developers would be aware of that requirement and what the approximate cost might be.

Director Ziemer responded that lift stations generally cost at least $500,000, with costs varying based on the size of the facility and the distance the wastewater must be pumped.

He added that the project valuation figures remained consistent with information previously provided by Dakota County. Based on information available in March, the County estimated the project value at just under $35 million. At that time, the Hockey Hall of Fame facility, restaurant, and performance venue were assumed to be taxable, while the ice arena component was considered nontaxable. Based on those assumptions and a review by Ehlers, estimated annual property taxes were projected at approximately $775,000. By comparison, the property currently generates approximately $2,800 annually in property taxes under its Green Acres classification.

Council Member T'Kach asked how much of the estimated $775,000 in annual property taxes would be received by the City.

Director Ziemer explained that property taxes are generally distributed among the City, County, and School District, often in proportions that approximate one-third each. He noted that the City's share would likely be somewhat higher because the County's tax rate is lower than the City's, but he did not have an exact breakdown available. Using a general one-third allocation, the City's share would be estimated at more than $200,000 annually.

The total development cost originally proposed for the project was $151 million and has since been revised to $148 million. Much of the change was attributed to the addition of the hockey arena component compared to the original concept, which focused primarily on the Hockey Hall of Fame and museum. The estimated cost to prepare the site for development totaled $20,331,759, including land acquisition costs of $8,740,000.

The updated site and civil work estimate totaled approximately $11.59 million and included transmission line work. Excluding the transmission lines, the estimate would be approximately $10.9 million, resulting in a total site preparation cost of about $19.7 million. The previous estimate without transmission lines was approximately $10 million, indicating that while costs increased, the change was not substantial. Public costs included mass grading, internal roads and parking areas, public roadway extensions, public utilities, and stormwater facilities. Private costs not included in the estimate consisted of facility-specific site grading, private utilities, private stormwater infrastructure excluding ponding areas, pedestrian areas, and internal parking and loading facilities within the development site.

Comparisons were made to earlier project concepts, with the original concept discussed by the Council estimating site preparation costs at approximately $22.5 million and the updated concept presented in March estimating approximately $18.75 million. Neither of those earlier estimates included overhead utility line costs. Because the project did not receive General Obligation (GO bond funding, the total debt levy associated with the proposed improvements would be approximately $13.5 million. Updated tax impact figures had not yet been calculated with Ehlers but would be completed in the future.

An update was also provided regarding DEED grant funding. Fiscal Year 2025 grant funding of $202,916 had been utilized and could increase slightly due to additional evaluation work completed in preparation for the meeting. Those costs were expected to be submitted for reimbursement through the grant. Development design work approved by the Council totaling $330,000 had also been submitted. The maximum grant amount available to the City in 2027 is $337,250. If project costs exceed that amount, the City would be responsible for a local cost share; if costs come in below the grant limit, the grant could fund the work entirely. Additional funding opportunities continue to be pursued as they become available.

Public expenditures incurred to date were intended to position the City to present a development proposal, meet with the development team, evaluate potential sites, and provide the Council with information regarding project feasibility and costs. Expenses included approximately $29,000 for concept plans and proposals, $63,300 for due diligence associated with the purchase agreement, and $202,916 for schematic plan design work, which was funded through the DEED grant. Additional costs included staff time and legal services related to agreements and other project matters.

Mayor Dietrich asked how many interactions staff had with the developer since approximately April 1.

Director Ziemer replied that communication with CSN had remained regular throughout the process, often occurring weekly. He noted that he had met with the developer twice during the previous two weeks to gather information for the Council because they were unable to attend the meeting. He added that the developer apologized for their absence, recognized the importance of meeting directly with the Council, and had continued participating in regular development meetings with their architect and engineer throughout the planning process.

Mayor Dietrich asked what the developer had indicated they wanted emphasized during the meeting.

Greater transparency was emphasized as an important component of moving the project forward. While some fundraising efforts and discussions with potential partners must remain private, additional information sharing was encouraged to help all parties better understand the project's progress and next steps.

The developer indicated that changes in state funding and the fundraising process have prompted a reassessment of the project. Lessons have been learned regarding what aspects of the project are realistic and where challenges exist, particularly related to private fundraising efforts. As a result, the entire project is being reevaluated. The developer acknowledged that delays increase costs and recognized that earlier timelines calling for construction in 2026 and opening by 2028 were likely not realistic. The project remains large and complex, requiring significant coordination, including utility relocation and public infrastructure improvements.

Additional time is being used to explore new options and approaches. Efforts are underway to restructure the project's capital stack by placing greater emphasis on private funding sources and less reliance on charitable fundraising, corporate sponsorships, and state funding. While charitable contributions and sponsorships remain part of the strategy, feedback received by the developer suggested that corporate sponsors are more likely to commit once physical progress on the project can be seen.

The developer is also evaluating the addition of a hockey performance and training center as a complementary use. Discussions have occurred with organizations and operators involved in similar facilities throughout the South Metro and Twin Cities area. The concept would be integrated with the Hockey Hall of Fame, museum, arena, event center, restaurant, and taproom to create additional activity and more stable revenue streams. The Hall of Fame and museum remain the primary focus, while the other previously discussed components continue to be viewed as important elements of the overall development.

Although state funding may still be pursued, the developer is no longer planning to rely heavily on that source because of uncertainty and lengthy funding timelines. The focus is shifting toward creating a more sustainable project supported by private investment and dependable revenue sources.

The developer estimated that the current evaluation process would take approximately two months to complete. Work is underway to update the project's pro forma, revise the market study, and test demand for the newly proposed uses. The goal is to determine potential revenue sources, anticipated usage levels, project costs, and long-term sustainability. The funding strategy is also being refined to better target charitable donors and corporate sponsors, as previous fundraising goals were determined to be overly ambitious. Feedback received suggested that securing a larger number of smaller commitments may be more achievable than relying on a limited number of very large contributions.

Efforts are continuing to secure corporate sponsorship commitments and establish new advisory board leadership. While no announcements were available, work on both efforts remains ongoing. The developer has been actively adjusting its approach following developments that occurred in late March and early April and is working to identify the most effective path forward.

The current purchase agreement provides for earnest money to become nonrefundable on July 1, with the land closing scheduled for October 31, 2026. Discussions have been taking place with representatives of the landowner regarding potential extensions to those deadlines. Conversations will continue through the real estate agents, with the hope of extending portions of the agreement into 2027 while potentially adding additional terms. Any extension would ultimately require the landowners' approval.

Many of the City's efforts related to the project have also provided benefits to the landowners, including discussions regarding the interchange and other development opportunities. The hope is that those benefits will be recognized as discussions continue regarding potential extensions and maintaining the purchase agreement while allowing additional time for the project to move forward.

Council Member T'Kach asked what physical changes would occur at the site if a training component were added to the project and whether additional space would be required.

Director Ziemer indicated that no changes to the building footprint are currently anticipated. The facility was designed with two levels, and some functions originally planned for the second floor could potentially be replaced with training-related uses while maintaining the primary components on the first floor. He explained that the Hockey Hall of Fame would remain a key element of the project, although the size and scope of other components, such as the museum, could be evaluated. He added that market conditions, including increased competition from newer entertainment venues, would be considered as the project is reevaluated. He emphasized that the Hall of Fame, museum component, performance venue, event spaces, restaurant, and taproom remain important elements that would need to be considered as part of any revised project plan.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether the same number of ice rinks would remain and whether the addition of training programs could affect parking needs due to different vehicle types or overlapping activity schedules, potentially requiring adjustments to the parking layout or capacity.

Director Ziemer responded that additional information would be needed from the developer regarding operational uses, scheduling, and how various components of the facility would function together. He noted that parking requirements are often based on peak demand assumptions and that many developments ultimately do not require as much parking as initially anticipated because visitors arrive and depart at different times. He suggested the project may have similar characteristics but stated that operational details would be necessary to determine whether the proposed parking supply is adequate.

Bolton & Menk representative Dave Rey added that the current parking calculations are based on City Code requirements. However, a detailed evaluation of the facility's operational characteristics and their impact on parking demand have not yet been completed and would need to be analyzed further.

Council Member T'Kach observed that there is several underutilized parking lots located nearby and suggested exploring whether partnerships could be established, potentially involving shuttle services like those used by other event venues. She indicated that utilizing off-site parking could reduce the amount of parking required on-site and potentially lower public costs. She encouraged the developer to consider those opportunities and begin discussions as part of the design process.

Community Development Director Ziemer stated that it would be preferable to provide only the amount of parking that is needed rather than overbuild parking that may sit unused. He noted that large retailers have historically built parking lots to accommodate peak shopping days, leaving many spaces vacant much of the time, and said reducing excess parking can save money.

Council Member T’Kach asked whether the City would have any ability to limit the timing of events in the future, such as outdoor shows or concerts that could generate significant traffic near residential areas to the south and southwest. She acknowledged that there are restrictions on regulating business operating hours but wondered whether event timing could be addressed.

Director Ziemer responded that, based on his experience, outdoor concerts and similar events are typically subject to City noise regulations. He explained that if City noise ordinances require events to end by 10:00 p.m., then the event must conclude at that time unless the City grants an exception.

Council Member T’Kach asked whether the City could regulate traffic impacts from events that end later in the evening, such as tournaments or other activities that could result in large numbers of vehicles leaving the site at one time.

City Attorney Nason responded that she could not identify a clear way to regulate that type of activity, although conditions might potentially be addressed through a conditional use permit if one were required. She noted that such regulation could be difficult given that the facility's purpose would be to host events such as concerts, dances, weddings, and similar activities that often extend later into the evening.

Council Member T'Kach said it would be important to remain mindful of those potential impacts and ensure nearby developers are aware of the activity that could occur. She noted that residents sometimes purchase homes with certain expectations about nearby uses, only to later discover that the City has limited ability to restrict noise, traffic, or other event-related activity.

Mayor Dietrich stated that Representative Clardy had indicated after the legislative session that it would be beneficial for the project to participate in the bonding tour process. She noted that the project team did not want to remain in the bonding queue for several years and said those efforts could be helpful.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether the organization had any funds available at this point.

Council Member Murphy said he agreed that meeting face-to-face with the Hockey Hall of Fame representatives would be beneficial. He expressed concern about the lack of a contingency plan and asked when the Council might receive additional information regarding the July 1 deadline.

Director Ziemer stated that staff hoped to hold a closed session at the June 8 meeting to provide the Council with an update on the status of the purchase agreement.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether the Hockey Hall of Fame representatives had indicated, or would be willing to provide, information on how much fundraising had been completed when they meet with the City.

Director Ziemer responded that he was working to identify meeting dates.

Council Member Gliva asked whether, if the project continued moving forward, the intent would be to seek the same level of City funding support when pursuing State funding requests.

Director Ziemer replied that such a decision would be a Council direction, but he assumed the City would not want to cease making funding requests. He added that if the organization chose not to seek additional funding, there could be some advantages to that approach.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether any of the Hockey Hall of Fame's planned activities could affect existing or future Parks and Recreation programming and requested that information be obtained, noting that it was an important consideration.

Director Ziemer stated that it was difficult to determine the potential impacts at this stage. He noted that a primary concern raised previously involved competition with the City's ice rink, a topic that Adam had discussed in March. Ziemer said the City would need to continue evaluating how the proposed activities would operate and whether they could affect existing facilities. He added that earlier discussions had included provisions in a potential pre-development agreement requiring that activities not compete with or detract from existing City contracts and programming. Those concerns have been communicated and will continue to be evaluated as the project moves forward.

Council Member T'Kach stated that if the project is adding a new focus or layer of activities, it is important to ensure that it complements rather than competes with Parks and Recreation programming. She said that while that may be the assumption, the expectation should be clearly spelled out, and Adam's team should be involved in the discussions.

Director Ziemer stated that a previously identified concern was the potential for competition with the City's ice rink. He said the City would continue evaluating operational impacts and noted that earlier discussions included provisions to ensure activities would not compete with existing City contracts or programming.

Council Member T'Kach stated that any new activities should complement rather than compete with Parks and Recreation programming and said that expectation should be clearly defined.

B. Rental Licensing Program Discussion

Director Ziemer reviewed the second phase of the rental licensing program discussion. He reminded the Council that updates to the rental licensing program were adopted in November and implemented during the current renewal cycle. Under the revised program, all new rental properties and properties scheduled for renewal this year are required to complete the new annual renewal process, while properties in the second year of a previously issued license were grandfathered and allowed to remain under their existing licensing schedule. He stated that staff has learned a great deal through implementation and that, while the transition has generally progressed well, some adjustments may be needed and could be brought forward as staff continues evaluating the program.

The Council had previously requested a Phase Two discussion focused on potential enhancements to the rental licensing program. Topics included limiting the concentration of rental properties within neighborhoods and exploring a comprehensive rental licensing and inspection program. Potential rental properties included non-homesteaded properties, LLC and LP ownerships, and other ownership types identified through property records. Staff began outreach with LLC and LP property owners because they represented the smallest and easiest group to identify. Through those discussions, staff found that some properties likely qualify as relative homesteads where family members occupy the home even though ownership records do not reflect a homestead classification. Additional time will be needed to fully understand the extent of rental properties within the City.

Senior Planner Schneider conducted extensive research on rental density regulations. Staff identified only three Minnesota cities with active rental density requirements: Winona, Mankato, and West St. Paul. Two of those communities are college towns where restrictions are largely associated with student housing areas. Staff also reviewed the experience of West St. Paul and discussed how its program functions. In addition, the City of Northfield repealed its rental density regulations in May 2025 for several reasons outlined in the staff report.

Rental density programs are generally based on the percentage of rental units allowed within a block, neighborhood, zoning district, or housing type. Winona limits rentals to 30% of lots per block in single family and medium density residential districts. Mankato allows 25% of lots per block across all districts. West St. Paul limits rentals to 10% per block in R-1 single family districts. Northfield's former policy limited rentals to 20% of lots per block in low density areas near the college.

The highest concentrations of rental housing currently appear to be within townhome developments, although rentals are also scattered throughout some single-family neighborhoods. Staff has not yet calculated how existing rental concentrations would compare to a specific density threshold and would need additional direction from the Council before conducting that level of analysis. Several planned rental communities are also in development, including Canvas single family rentals, the Stuart Townhome Development currently under construction, and the Nord 61 Townhomes project, which has preliminary approval and is expected to begin construction in 2027.

Current challenges with the rental licensing program include identifying which properties are rentals and which are not. In some cases, property owners purchase homes and begin renting them without realizing a rental license is required, while others may choose not to obtain a license. Rental licenses expire when a property changes ownership, requiring the new owner to obtain a new license, but compliance does not always occur. While the program can be challenging to administer, staff continues to manage it and look for improvements.

Staff is exploring ways to better coordinate with Finance and Utility Billing to identify ownership changes and improve rental property tracking. One possibility would be creating a system flag identifying rental properties so staff can be notified when ownership changes occur. Feedback from West St. Paul indicated that only one rental license application in recent years had been denied because it exceeded the community's rental density threshold.

Implementing rental density limits would require additional staff time to calculate concentrations, review applications, and administer the program. Limiting rental properties could also affect housing supply, availability, and pricing. From a resource perspective, staff would need to evaluate current licensing fees and determine whether adjustments would be necessary to support the increased workload. No analysis of fees has been completed at this time, and any discussion of future costs remains speculative as staff continues assessing the number of rental properties and the resources required to manage an expanded program.

Research related to a comprehensive rental licensing and inspection program was presented to the Council. Staff identified 16 cities with rental licensing programs. Three cities do not require inspections, 11 conduct inspections through City staff, one contracts inspection services, and one relies entirely on self-inspections. Most communities require scheduled inspections rather than relying solely on self-reporting. Rental programs generally apply to all rental dwelling types, with inspections typically occurring every two to three years.

A common approach involves requiring a physical inspection when a rental license is initially issued or renewed, followed by self-inspections in subsequent years before another in person inspection is required at the next renewal cycle. Research showed that building departments most commonly perform rental inspections. While some communities use fire departments, those inspections are generally focused on fire safety rather than building code compliance. Staff discussed the advantages of utilizing building inspectors because they are better equipped to identify building related issues and require corrective actions when necessary.

A comprehensive rental inspection program could help preserve existing and future housing stock by ensuring rental properties are properly maintained and continue to meet community standards. Such a program could also help protect neighborhood aesthetics and encourage ongoing property maintenance. While not a guarantee, regular inspections provide a mechanism for monitoring property conditions and addressing deficiencies when they occur.

Although a comprehensive rental inspection program is generally more straightforward than rental density regulations, it would require additional resources to administer. Staff indicated that software updates or enhancements would likely be needed, along with the addition of an inspection position that could also serve as a building inspector. Building inspectors would be preferred over fire staff for rental inspections, while fire personnel would continue conducting fire safety inspections in apartment buildings, including fire alarm testing and related requirements.

Staff also indicated that in person inspections conducted by City inspectors would be preferable to self-inspections or contracted services because they provide greater awareness of property conditions throughout the community. Additional software and technology enhancements would likely be required, and program costs would depend on several variables, including the number and types of rental properties, inspection frequency, and staffing needs.

The discussion was intended to gather Council feedback regarding potential next steps. Questions presented for consideration included whether the Council wished to pursue rental density limits and, if so, what factors or additional information should be evaluated. The Council was also asked whether it wished to pursue a more comprehensive rental licensing and inspection program and what elements should be considered as part of that review.

Staff indicated that a comprehensive rental inspection program would be the preferred approach if the City chose to pursue one of the two options. While it would require additional resources, rental density regulations were viewed as more cumbersome and complex due to challenges involving calculations, geographic boundaries, limitations, existing rental properties, and potential grandfathering provisions. Staff noted that numerous factors would need to be addressed before implementing a rental density program.

Mayor Dietrich asked Senior Planner Schneider for his overall impression after reviewing the data and researching practices in other communities.

Senior Planner Schneider stated that most cities with rental licensing programs include an inspection component. He noted that inspections can provide benefits because frequent tenant turnover can sometimes contribute to declining property conditions both inside and outside rental homes, resulting in code compliance issues. He added that inspections are the common practice throughout the metro area, although they require additional staffing and resources. He stated that determining whether to pursue such a program ultimately depends on the Council's priorities.

Mayor Dietrich stated that the topic involves many different components and should be discussed as part of a future Council priority setting session, which had not yet occurred for the year.

Council Member Murphy stated that a more comprehensive rental inspection program would be fair to all residents of Inver Grove Heights. He commented that rental properties can often be identified in certain areas of the community and expressed support for a more robust inspection program.

Murphy also stated that limiting the number of single-family rental homes within neighborhoods is an important issue, particularly for younger families. He noted that homeownership is often the foundation of building multigenerational wealth and expressed concern that increasing numbers of investor-owned rental properties can reduce homeownership opportunities. He stated that he liked West St. Paul's approach of limiting rentals to 10 percent within single family residential districts and believed it was worth further Council discussion.

Murphy added that rental license applications occasionally involve multiple homes being managed by the same company. He expressed concern that investment groups can purchase homes, make improvements, convert them to rentals, and remove them from the owner-occupied housing market. He believed that issue should be considered as part of the Council's discussion regarding rental density limits.

Council Member Scales stated that he generally agreed with the previous comments but expressed concern about the logistics of administering a comprehensive rental program. He requested additional information regarding how such a program would operate and stated that he preferred a comprehensive program with City conducted inspections rather than relying on self-inspections.

Council Member Murphy stated that limiting the number of single-family rental homes within neighborhoods is an important issue, particularly for younger families seeking homeownership opportunities. He expressed concern that investor-owned rental properties can reduce opportunities for residents to purchase homes and stated that he supported exploring a rental density limit like West St. Paul's 10 percent cap in single family residential districts. He also noted that rental license applications sometimes involve multiple properties managed by the same company and believed the impact of large-scale rental ownership should be considered as part of the Council's discussion.

Council Member T'Kach stated that the issue is complicated and involves many competing considerations. While she acknowledged concerns about investment companies purchasing multiple homes, she noted that rental density limits may simply shift those purchases to other neighborhoods. She also pointed out that some residents choose or need to rent single family homes because they are not ready to purchase a home, do not qualify for a mortgage, or expect to move within a few years. She noted that single family rental communities have experienced strong occupancy and believed additional discussion on the topic is needed. She also asked for clarification regarding the City's inventory of rental and potential rental properties.

Director Ziemer explained that the list of potential rental properties was developed using several indicators, including non-homestead classifications and ownership by LLCs, LPs, and other entities. He emphasized that the properties are considered potential rentals because staff still needs to verify ownership and occupancy information. He noted that some homes are owned through legal entities for privacy, liability, or security reasons, even though they are occupied by the owners. Examples can include professional athletes, judges, and other individuals whose properties are held under entity ownership rather than their personal names. As staff continues reviewing the data, some properties currently identified as potential rentals may ultimately be determined to be owner occupied residences.

Council Member Scales asked whether homes owned through a trust could also be identified as potential rental properties.

Director Ziemer responded that it was possible, depending on how the ownership information appears in the property records.

Council Member T'Kach noted that many of the properties identified as potential rentals appeared to be townhomes and could be rental units or owner-occupied homes held by LLCs. Based on the information presented, she did not see evidence of a significant rental density issue within neighborhoods and believed additional data and verification would be needed before considering limits on rental concentrations.

Community Development Director Ziemer responded that staff was not seeking an immediate decision on either option but rather guidance on the Council's level of interest. He stated that staff could continue gathering information and focusing resources on whichever topics the Council wished to explore further.

Council Member T'Kach stated that, between the two options, she would prefer staff focus on developing a rental inspection program rather than rental density limits. She suggested considering an inspection approach that prioritizes properties with compliance issues, while properties with strong compliance records could be inspected less frequently. She expressed concern about the staff time and cost required to administer such a program but acknowledged the value of maintaining the City's housing stock and addressing property maintenance issues before conditions deteriorate. She added that additional discussion and evaluation would be needed before moving forward.

Interim City Administrator Hiniker noted that communities with similar programs typically have dedicated staff assigned to administer them and emphasized that such a program would likely require an additional staff position rather than being absorbed into existing workloads. Drawing on her prior experience in another community, she stated that a rental licensing program had been effective in achieving its intended goals but was supported by a dedicated employee. She encouraged the Council to consider those staffing implications as it approaches budget discussions.

Council Member T'Kach suggested exploring a program in which a percentage of rental properties would be randomly selected for inspection each year and encouraged staff to evaluate whether that approach could be effective. She also emphasized the importance of educating rental property owners, noting that many landlords are not large corporations or professional property managers. She suggested providing resources such as lease templates, background check information, and other educational materials, and stated that those resources could become an important component of any future rental licensing program with input from the Police and Fire Departments.

Council Member Gliva cautioned against expanding the discussion too far beyond the core question of whether additional staffing would be needed for inspections. She suggested that some of the educational resources discussed could potentially be provided through county level programs or other outside resources for individuals entering the rental property business.

Council Member T'Kach stated that the Council should approach the issue with a full understanding of the potential implications and resource requirements.

Community Development Director Ziemer asked what next steps the Council would like staff to pursue, noting that there appeared to be support for further evaluating a comprehensive rental inspection program.

Council Member Gliva stated that inspections are important and suggested that staff could return at a future date with additional information, including potential inspection frequencies.

Mayor Dietrich stated that she would like the topic to be considered as part of the Council's priority planning process. She noted that budget discussions were approaching and that additional funding needs were likely to arise. Given the staffing requirements associated with a rental inspection program, she preferred to determine where the issue ranks among the Council's priorities before directing significant staff time toward developing a detailed proposal.

Director Ziemer asked whether the Council needed any additional information before the priority planning discussion.

Mayor Dietrich responded that she would first like to see where the topic falls within the Council's overall priorities.

Based on the discussion, Director Ziemer indicated that staff would pause further work on the topic until the Council provides additional direction through the priority planning process.

C. City Administrator Search Discussion

Interim City Administrator Hiniker explained that Liza Donabauer of DDA Human Resources Inc. was out of town and unable to attend the meeting. She noted that the update did not involve new information but rather a summary of discussions that had taken place since the previous work session regarding the City Administrator recruitment process and potential next steps.

At the last work session, the Council discussed reposting the City Administrator position on June 15. Since then, Liza Donabauer had met individually with Council Members and explored additional options. Based on those conversations, there appeared to be interest in revisiting the original applicant pool of approximately 40 candidates who had not previously been advanced to the Council for consideration. After reviewing the applications, Liza Donabauer believed there may be six to eight candidates who could warrant further evaluation. If those individuals remained interested, they could move through the same assessment, interview, and evaluation process previously used before the Council determined whether any should be invited for interviews. Those candidates would be considered along with the one remaining candidate from the original finalist group.

The discussion also included what steps should be taken if revisiting the original applicant pool does not result in a candidate the Council wishes to pursue. Conversations involving Liza Donabauer, Dave Unmacht, Mayor Dietrich, and staff focused on whether it would be more appropriate to pause the recruitment process for a longer period rather than immediately reposting the position. The recommendation was based on several factors, including the City's upcoming budget process, the election cycle, current Council priorities, and competition from other communities conducting City Administrator searches.

A longer pause could allow the City to enter the market with a fresh recruitment effort later. January was discussed as a possible timeframe for restarting the search, although the Council could choose to proceed sooner if desired. Feedback was requested regarding whether the Council wished to revisit the original applicant pool so that direction could be shared with Liza Donabauer upon her return and the next steps and timeline could be developed.

Mayor Dietrich asked for clarification that the Council would be reviewing candidates from the original applicant pool who were not among the 12 previously advanced for interviews, while still retaining the one remaining candidate from the finalist pool for consideration.

Interim City Administrator Hiniker confirmed that understanding.

Mayor Dietrich stated that she supported revisiting the remaining applicants, noting that doing so would respect those who had already applied and could provide an opportunity to consider candidates the Council had not previously reviewed.

Council Member Gliva expressed support for returning to the original applicant pool and evaluating additional candidates.

Council Member Scales stated that he would prefer to pause the search and return to the market in January. He noted that the Council had not reached a consensus after reviewing the initial candidates and questioned whether the right candidate was present in the original applicant pool.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether Liza Donabauer had any sense of how many of the approximately 40 remaining applicants might still be interested in the position.

Interim City Administrator Hiniker responded that Liza Donabauer had not yet reconnected with those candidates and therefore could not determine their level of interest. However, based on her discussions with Council Members, she had a better understanding of the qualities and experience the Council is seeking and had identified several candidates from the remaining pool who may be worth contacting. Any outreach would depend on how the opportunity and process were presented to those candidates.

Council Member Scales expressed concern about repeating a process that ultimately does not result in a consensus candidate. He noted that the Council had largely coalesced around a single candidate during the previous recruitment effort and questioned whether the remaining applicants would generate broader agreement among Council Members. He emphasized the importance of selecting a candidate who has the full support of the Council and avoiding a situation where the process would need to be paused again due to a lack of consensus.

Interim City Administrator Hiniker stated that a more facilitated candidate review process had been discussed, allowing Council Members to share their perspectives on individual candidates and explain why certain applicants stood out before deciding whether to proceed with interviews. She noted that this type of discussion can help build consensus by giving Council Members an opportunity to hear viewpoints they may not have previously considered. She also emphasized that reviewing additional candidates would not require the Council to move forward with interviews and that the process could be paused if the remaining applicant pool did not produce suitable candidates or sufficient consensus. However, she noted that candidates invest significant time and effort in the recruitment process and should be approached with a genuine intent to identify potential finalists.

Council Member T'Kach questioned how many applicants from the remaining candidate pool would still be available and interested in the position. She also wondered what skills and backgrounds remained within the pool, whether some candidates may have already accepted other opportunities, and whether the upcoming Council election should factor into the timing of the hiring decision. She noted that newly elected Council Members may ultimately be working closely with the next City Administrator, although she stated she could support moving forward either way.

Council Member Scales stated that he did not support delaying the process because of the election. In his view, the recruitment should continue under whatever process the Council chooses, with the Council in place at the time making the final hiring decision.

Council Member T'Kach reiterated that she was comfortable proceeding with the process but believed it was important to consider what would be most beneficial for the community.

Mayor Dietrich agreed that it would be helpful to determine which candidates remained interested before making further decisions.

Interim City Administrator Hiniker suggested that Liza Donabauer could first contact the candidates she had identified to determine whether they remained interested before asking them to complete additional assessments or evaluations. She indicated that there may be an intermediate step that would allow the Council to review candidates before requiring further commitments from the applicants and stated that she would discuss that possibility with Liza Donabauer.

Mayor Dietrich expressed support for that approach.

Council Member T'Kach stated that she was comfortable moving forward if Liza Donabauer believed there were strong candidates remaining in the pool.

Council Member Scales emphasized that the Council should not feel pressured to fill the position simply to complete the search process and stressed the importance of selecting the right candidate.

Interim City Administrator Hiniker summarized the discussion by stating that she would speak with Liza Donabauer upon her return regarding contacting the identified candidates, confirming their continued interest, and exploring whether an interim review step could be incorporated before moving forward with the full evaluation process.

Mayor Dietrich added that any future Council discussions regarding candidates should avoid identifying information that could reveal a candidate's identity to their current employer. She stated that if the remaining applicant pool did not produce viable candidates, the Council could then consider restarting the search at a later date.

Council Member Murphy asked whether the plan was to first evaluate the remaining applicants before deciding whether a new recruitment process would be needed.

Interim City Administrator Hiniker confirmed that approach, noting that a decision regarding a January restart would only be necessary if the current pool did not produce a suitable candidate.

D. Interim City Administrator Position Review

City Attorney Nason explained that when the interim City Administrator was appointed, the expectation was that the recruitment process would take approximately four to six months, and a permanent administrator would be in place by then. Since the search has taken longer than anticipated and the Interim City Administrator Hiniker is willing to continue serving, it may be appropriate to revisit expectations. She noted that the interim role was intended to maintain continuity of operations, provide leadership to staff, and serve as a liaison to the Council and community rather than pursue major organizational changes. As a result, some initiatives were deferred while awaiting a permanent hire, but the extended timeline may warrant reconsidering that approach.

Discussion turned to the role of Interim City Administrator Hiniker as the City Administrator recruitment process continues. With the search taking longer than originally anticipated, the Council was asked to consider whether additional direction should be provided regarding priorities and areas of focus. Topics suggested for consideration included cultural development, budget planning, policy recommendations, strategic planning, and other organizational issues identified by department heads or through day to day operations.

The Council was also asked to consider formally communicating its expectations to department heads, including that the recruitment process remains ongoing, that Interim City Administrator Hiniker is committed to serving until the process is complete, and that attention should be given to both daily operations and broader organizational priorities.

The discussion also included compensation for Interim City Administrator Hiniker. The current contract rate is $100 per hour, while the market rate for similar services was noted to be between $125 and $150 per hour. The Council was asked whether it wished to direct preparation of a contract amendment to adjust compensation going forward.

Mayor Dietrich stated that consultant Dave Unmacht had highlighted the distinction between serving as an interim administrator and serving in an acting administrator role. Based on conversations with department heads, she believed staff was looking for greater direction and leadership beyond simply maintaining day to day operations. She noted that sufficient time had passed to justify expanding the role and expressed confidence in Interim City Administrator Hiniker's ability to fulfill those responsibilities.

Council Member Murphy agreed with expanding the responsibilities of the position, including personnel matters. He also supported changing the title and reviewing compensation consistent with the expanded role.

Council Member Scales stated that the City needed to move beyond a short-term caretaker approach and encouraged Interim City Administrator Hiniker to operate the organization as if it were her own, providing leadership and direction rather than simply maintaining existing operations.

Mayor Dietrich stated that any discussion regarding compensation should occur through a performance evaluation in closed session rather than during a work session, consistent with the Council's typical practice.

Interim City Administrator Hiniker noted that some outside consulting obligations she previously maintained had ended and would no longer compete for her time. She explained that she still has a recurring family obligation one day each week but planned to move that commitment from Wednesdays to Fridays to better accommodate City business. While she would generally not be in the office on Fridays, she emphasized that she would remain engaged and available as needed.

Mayor Dietrich asked whether a performance evaluation could be completed before the next Council meeting so any changes could take effect soon.

Interim City Administrator Hiniker responded that she would prefer to wait a short period while the next phase of the recruitment process unfolds, particularly out of consideration for the internal candidate still awaiting direction regarding next steps. She indicated that the evaluation could be tentatively scheduled and finalized as the recruitment process becomes clearer.

Mayor Dietrich asked when an evaluation would be acceptable.

Interim City Administrator Hiniker suggested penciling it in for a future date while allowing flexibility to adjust the timing as the recruitment process progresses.

5. <u>ADJOURN:</u>

Motion to Adjourn at 7:40 p.m. by Scales; seconded by Gliva.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

Minutes prepared by Recording Secretary Tammy Greenlee

INVER GROVE HEIGHTS SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING
MONDAY, JUNE 8, 2026 – 8150 BARBARA AVENUE

1. CALL TO ORDER:

The City Council of Inver Grove Heights met in special session on Monday, May 26, 2026, in person.
Mayor Dietrich called the meeting to order at 5:15 p.m. The Pledge of Allegiance was cited.

2. ROLL CALL:

Present In-Person: Mayor Dietrich; Scales, T'Kach, Gliva (remote), and Murphy (remote)
Staff In Attendance: Interim City Administrator Hiniker, City Clerk Kiernan, City Attorney Nason, and Community Development Director Ziemer

3. REGULAR BUSINESS:

Motion to move into closed session pursuant to Minnesota State Statue 13D.05, subdivision 3(b), attorney-client privilege, to meet with litigation counsel regarding pending ligation at 5:15 pm involving QLevr, LLC and FIG Ion Timber and FIG Ion Timber LLC by T'Kach; second by Scales.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

Motion to move into open session at 6:00 p.m. by Scales; second by T'Kach.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

4. ADJOURN:

Motion to Adjourn at 6:01 p.m. by Scales; second by Gliva.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

Minutes prepared by Recording Secretary Tammy Greenlee

INVER GROVE HEIGHTS CITY COUNCIL MEETING
MONDAY, JUNE 8, 2026 – 6:00 P.M. – 8150 BARBARA AVENUE

1. CALL TO ORDER:
The City Council of Inver Grove Heights met in regular session on Monday, June 8, 2026, in person. Mayor Dietrich called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

2. ROLL CALL:
Present In-Person: Mayor Dietrich, Councilmembers Scales, T'Kach, Gliva (remote), and Murphy (remote).
Staff In Attendance: Interim City Administrator Hiniker, City Clerk Kiernan, City Attorney Nason, Parks & Recreation Director Lares, Finance Director Hove, Assistant Finance Director Ellison, Assistant Police Chief Haugland, and City Engineer Merchlewicz.

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

Motion to approve agenda as presented by T'Kach; second by Scales.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

4. PRESENTATIONS:

Community Development Director Ziemer presented information regarding the 2026 Sustainable IGH Awards program. He stated the program was created in 2023 to recognize residents and businesses that take sustainable actions within the community and inspire others to do the same. Applicants must complete one action item across five categories, including Energy Efficiency, Water Conservation, Recycling and Waste Abatement, Native Landscaping, and Community. The program includes three award levels: Bronze, Silver, and Gold, and the Environmental Advisory Commission receives nominations and recommends award recipients.

The program's first award recognitions in 2024. A total of 18 nominations were received, resulting in awards to 13 residents and 5 businesses. The awards included 16 Gold Awards, 2 Silver Awards, and 2 Special Recognition Awards. Special Recognition Awards were presented to Robert Heidenreich (Resident Award) and Inver Glen Library (Business Award).

For the 2026 awards, 3 nominations were received, including 2 residents and 1 business. The Environmental Advisory Commission reviewed the nominations at its May 28, 2026 meeting and recommended all 3 nominees receive Gold Awards and 2 Special Recognition awards.

Nicholas Ruiz was recognized as a 2026 Resident Gold Award recipient. His application included completion of 5 required actions along with 33 additional actions. His personal impact statement noted that maintaining a low-maintenance lawn has kept him active while lessening his workload.

Donald Fischer was recognized as a 2026 Resident Gold Award recipient. He completed 5 required actions and 29 additional actions. His personal impact statements indicated that from March through September 1 he can operate entirely on solar power, including powering his electric vehicle, has reduced gasoline purchases by 90%, and shares his experiences related to solar and electric vehicle ownership. He was also recommended to receive a Special Recognition Award for significant achievements.

Gramercy Park Cooperative was recognized as a 2026 Business Gold Award recipient and was noted as receiving a Gold Award for the second consecutive year. The cooperative completed 5 required actions and 27 additional actions. Its business and community impact statements highlighted that insulating a 50,000-square-foot attic reduced heating and cooling costs by 12%, activities promoted social and mental wellbeing by creating opportunities for residents to meet and engage an average of 80 times per month, volunteers are recognized through an annual appreciation lunch, and water leaks are prioritized for repair within hours of detection. Gramercy Park Cooperative was also recommended to receive a Special Recognition Award for significant achievements.

Director Ziemer stated that nominations for the program are accepted each year during the spring, generally from March through April. Residents may nominate themselves, a neighbor, another resident, or a business within the community. He added that additional nominations will be sought next year to continue the program.

Mayor Dietrich thanked all the award recipients, stating that the recognition was well deserved and hard earned. She said it was a pleasure to recognize them during the meeting and reminded recipients to pick up their lawn signs.

5. CONSENT AGENDA:

A. Approval of Minutes of the May 11, 2026, City Council Regular Meeting Minutes
B. Approval of Minutes of the May 26, 2026, City Council Special Meeting
C. Approval of Disbursements, **Resolution 2026-116**
D. Personnel Actions
E. Approve the Sanitary Sewer Availability Charge (SAC) Credit Policy
F. Approving Contract with KLM Engineering, Inc. for Engineering Services for City Project No. 2027-01 - Northside Water Tower Rehabilitation
G. Approve Resolution Receiving a Petition and Adding Bryce Ave. and Adjacent Alley to 2028 PMI Feasibility Study, **Resolution 2026-117**
H. Resolution Approving the Final Grant Agreement with the Metropolitan Council for the 2023 Inflow & Infiltration Reduction Grant Program, **Resolution 2026-118**
I. Resolution of Summary Publication for Ordinance 1528 – State Building Code, **Resolution 2026-119**
J. Resolution Appointing Deputy City Clerks to Administer Absentee Voting for the 2026 Election Cycle, **Resolution 2026-120**
K. Charitable Gambling by Spartan End Zone Club
L. Rubbish Hauler License Renewal
M. Approval of Resolution for purchase of replacement squad, **Resolution 2026-121**
N. Approval of Summary Publication Resolution for Ordinance 1529- Stormwater System, **Resolution 2026-122**
O. Approve Resolution Granting Extension for the Filing Plat Deadline for Buckley Corner Townhomes 2nd Addition., **Resolution 2026-123**

Motion to approve Items A-0 by Scales; second by T'Kach.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

6. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

A. Application for New Tobacco Sales License

City Clerk Kiernan presented an application for a new tobacco sales license submitted by Smokeland LLC for its business located at 7781 Amana Trail, Suite 100. She stated that the application included the required license fee and supporting documentation, and that the Police Department had completed a background investigation that found no basis for denying the license. She recommended that the Council hold a public hearing and approve the tobacco sales license.

Mayor Dietrich opened the public hearing. There were no public comments.

Motion to close the Public Hearing by T'Kach; second by Scales.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

Motion to approve new tobacco sales license for Smokeland LLC at 7781 Amana Trail, Suite 100 by Gliva; second by Scales.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

7. <u>REGULAR BUSINESS:</u>

A. 2025 Annual Audit & Financial Report

Finance Director Hove introduced Assistant Finance Director Roberta Ellison and thanked her and the Finance Department team for their work on the audit process. She stated that the presentation would provide a recap of the City's 2025 financial results and introduced Bonnie Schweiger and Adam Owens from Abdo to present the audit highlights.

Bonnie Schweiger stated that the audit resulted in three opinion letters. An unmodified, or clean, opinion was issued on the City's financial statements, consistent with prior years, indicating that no significant issues were identified, internal controls were reviewed, and sufficient audit evidence was obtained to support the opinion.

A compliance review of Minnesota state statutes also resulted in a clean opinion, with no instances of noncompliance identified. In addition, because the City expended a sufficient amount of federal funding during the year, a single audit of federal programs was required. That review included federal compliance requirements and internal controls and did not identify any findings. Overall, the audit was completed with clean results in all areas reviewed.

Adam Owens reviewed General Fund balance trends, noting that the unassigned fund balance as a percentage of the following year's budgeted expenditures increased from 43% in 2023 to 51% in 2024 before declining to 48.8% in 2025. The fluctuation was primarily due to approximately $1.4 million in American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funding that was recognized as revenue in 2024, followed by a corresponding $1.4 million transfer to the Parks Acquisition and Development Fund in 2025. Despite the decrease, the City's unassigned fund balance remained above its policy target range of 40% to 45% of budgeted expenditures, ending the year at 48.8%.

General Fund budget-to-actual results for 2025 showed revenues were budgeted at $33.6 million and actual revenues totaled $34.4 million, exceeding budget by approximately $858,000. The positive revenue variance was primarily attributable to higher-than-anticipated license and permit revenue, investment earnings, and fire and police state aid.

Expenditures were budgeted at $34.9 million and actual expenditures totaled $33.7 million, coming in approximately $1.3 million under budget. Staffing vacancies in the Police, Engineering, and Planning departments reduced personnel costs, and several projects remained incomplete at year-end, with associated funding carried forward into the 2026 budget to support continued work. While the budget anticipated a deficit of approximately $1.4 million, actual operations generated an excess of revenues over expenditures of approximately $774,000.

Transfers in totaled $707,137 and transfers out totaled $2.2 million. The variance in transfers reflected a planned spend-down of fund balance, including the use of SAFER grant savings, rather than transfers from other City funds. As a result, the General Fund experienced a net decrease in fund balance of approximately $740,000 compared to the budgeted decrease of approximately $2.3 million. Fund balance ended the year at $20.2 million, approximately $1.6 million higher than budgeted.

General Fund revenues by type included taxes totaling $28.8 million, licenses and permits totaling approximately $1.5 million, transfers in totaling $707,137, and all other revenue sources totaling approximately $4.1 million. Revenue trends from 2023 through 2025 showed that the decrease in intergovernmental revenue from 2024 to 2025 was primarily attributable to the SAFER grant. Full-year grant reimbursements were received in 2023 and 2024, while only approximately $63,000 was received in 2025. The remaining revenue categories included assessments, charges for services, and other miscellaneous income.

General Fund expenditures by function included General Government expenditures of approximately $3.7 million, Public Safety expenditures of approximately $19.3 million, Public Works expenditures of approximately $4.8 million, and all other expenditures totaling approximately $8.1 million. Expenditure trends from 2023 through 2025 showed an increase in Public Safety expenditures, primarily attributable to the hiring of three police officers and three firefighters, as well as an increase in the Fire Department's central equipment allocation to build reserves for future capital and equipment needs. The "all other" expenditure category included the $1.4 million transfer to the Parks Acquisition and Development Fund, along with Community Development and Parks and Recreation expenditures.

Special Revenue Fund balances increased by $762,920, ending the year at approximately $8.0 million compared to $7.3 million in 2024. The Community Center Fund balance increased by $480,729 to $805,751, primarily due to capital project funding remaining on hand at year-end for projects that were still underway and carried forward into the 2026 budget. The Host Community Fund decreased by $57,373 to $5.6 million, while the Convention and Visitors Bureau Fund increased by $41,840 to $329,112. The Economic Development Authority Fund decreased by $56,418 to $479,674, and the Opioid Settlement Fund increased by $64,677 to $335,927. The Affordable Housing Fund increased by $289,465 to $491,911. The balance reflects state affordable housing aid, including $200,000 received in 2024 and remitted to the Dakota County CDA in 2025, while the $491,911 received in 2025 is scheduled to be remitted in 2026. Fund balance classifications included no spendable, restricted, and committed categories.

The Improvement Bonds Debt Service Fund had a cash balance of approximately $4.0 million and total assets of approximately $4.1 million at year-end. Outstanding bond obligations totaled $20.7 million, with approximately $3.7 million in future interest payments remaining. Principal and interest payment schedules were outlined through 2030, with the final bond maturity occurring in 2039.

Capital Projects Fund balances showed the Pavement Management Fund increasing by approximately $2.2 million to $16.6 million. The Local Improvement Construction Fund decreased by approximately $670,000 to $2.5 million, while the Closed Bond Fund decreased by approximately $1.1 million to $169,906. Among the nonmajor funds, Parks Capital Replacement decreased by approximately $125,000 to $704,215, Parks Acquisition and Development increased by approximately $1.8 million to $5.5 million, City Properties increased by approximately $2.4 million to $2.8 million, and Tree Preservation decreased by approximately $161,000 to $518,936.

The Tax Increment Financing Fund balance was reduced from approximately $4.3 million in 2024 to zero in 2025. Total Capital Projects Fund balances remained essentially unchanged, increasing by $3,474 to approximately $28.9 million.

Capital Projects Fund balances included both restricted and assigned classifications. Restricted balances are limited by external agreements or state statutes, while assigned balances provide greater flexibility for future capital needs. Assigned fund balances totaled approximately $27.0 million in 2025, along with additional restricted fund balances designated for specific purposes.

Internal Service Funds accumulate resources from City departments and other funds for specific future needs, functioning similarly to savings accounts. Operating receipts increased from approximately $5.9 million in 2022 to $7.5 million in 2025, while operating disbursements increased from approximately $4.2 million to $5.9 million during the same period. Combined cash balances for Central Equipment, Compensated Absences, Risk Management, City Facilities, Technology, and Central Stores grew from approximately $18.4 million in 2022 to $23.7 million in 2025. Much of the increase was attributable to growth in the Central Equipment Fund, which is accumulating reserves for future equipment purchases, including several large fire truck acquisitions planned over the next few years.

The Water Fund is intended to generate sufficient revenue to cover operating expenses, debt payments, and future infrastructure needs. In 2025, the Water Fund, including the Operating, Capital, and Northwest Area Capital Funds, reported operating receipts of approximately $4.5 million and operating disbursements of approximately $2.7 million, along with debt payments of $36,704. Operating revenues exceeded expenditures, allowing cash balances to continue growing. Combined cash balances increased from approximately $12.9 million in 2022 to $18.9 million in 2025. The Northwest Area Capital Fund was consolidated into a single capital fund in 2023, and a portion of the fund balance is maintained to meet operating cash targets, while the remaining balance is reserved for future capital infrastructure projects.

The Sewer Fund, including the Operating, Capital, and Northwest Area Capital Funds, reported operating receipts of approximately $5.3 million, operating disbursements of approximately $3.8 million, and debt payments of approximately $1 million in 2025. Operating receipts exceeded disbursements, allowing the fund to cover its debt obligations while continuing to build cash reserves. Combined cash balances increased from approximately $6.3 million in 2022 to $7.8 million in 2025, with the operating fund cash balance remaining at its minimum target level.

The Stormwater Fund, including the Operating, Capital, and Northwest Area Capital Funds, reported operating receipts of approximately $1.2 million and operating disbursements of approximately $972,000 in 2025. Operating receipts exceeded disbursements, allowing the fund to continue building reserves for future capital needs. Combined cash balances increased from approximately $2.1 million in 2022 to $6.4 million in 2025. All utility funds recently completed a utility rate study, and subsequent rate increases contributed to higher operating receipts and the accumulation of cash for future infrastructure and capital improvements.

The Golf Course Fund, including the Operating and Capital Funds, reported operating receipts of approximately $3.4 million and operating disbursements of approximately $2.4 million in 2025. Cash balances increased from approximately $2.0 million in 2024 to $2.4 million in 2025. Revenue trends reflected the golf course's anniversary sales event, which occurs every two years and contributed to higher receipts in 2023 and 2025 compared to 2022 and 2024. A separate capital fund was established in 2024 to distinguish cash needed for operations from funds reserved for capital improvements. The operating cash target is equal to 40% of the following year's operating budget.

Cash and investment balances by fund type increased from $111.6 million in 2023 to $116.7 million in 2024 and $118.2 million in 2025. Balances were reported for the General Fund, Capital Projects Funds, Special Revenue Funds, Debt Service Funds, Enterprise Funds, and Internal Service Funds. The distribution of balances illustrated that the majority of the City's cash is not held in the General Fund for day-to-day operations, but instead is set aside in Capital Projects, Enterprise, and Internal Service Funds for specific purposes such as future capital improvements, equipment purchases, infrastructure needs, and utility operations.

B. Resolution Approving Updated Stormwater Utility Rates

Public Works Director Connolly discussed the updated Stormwater Utility rates associated with City Project No. 2024-11, including the goals of the stormwater rate study, prior Council actions, rate study findings, the proposed rate structure, sample rate comparisons, implementation and public outreach efforts, and future considerations and next steps.

The stormwater rate study was initiated in February 2025 as a separate effort from the sanitary sewer and water utility studies completed in 2022 and 2023 due to the additional complexity associated with stormwater utilities. Citizen focus group meetings were held from May through September 2025, followed by discussion of draft findings and community feedback during a Council work session in November 2025. The final report was accepted on December 15, 2025, along with direction to proceed with implementation. Phase 2 began in January 2026 and included development of an impervious surface and property database, preparation of public outreach materials from January through March, publication of the first Insights feature article and launch of an updated website on April 1, 2026, and billing software updates along with quality assurance and quality control efforts from April through June 2026.

The stormwater rate study examined the City's utility needs over a 15-year period from 2026 through 2041, including projected revenue requirements to support ongoing operations, maintenance, and capital improvements. The process included development of a financial model, evaluation of rate design options, citizen focus group input, rate recommendations and projections, and preparation of a final report. The goal was to establish a rate structure that is equitable, practical to administer, and capable of providing sufficient funding for the City's long-term stormwater utility needs.

Implementation planning called for a mid-year 2026 launch, a complete reset of the stormwater rate structure for all properties rather than a phased implementation, and development of standards governing current and future rate applications by the Billing, Finance, and Public Works departments. Financial planning assumptions included gradually reducing reliance on the Host Community Fund by 2030 and addressing the backlog of capital improvement needs by 2045. While those assumptions can be adjusted over time, any changes are dependent on revenue performance and the City's ability to generate and collect sufficient revenue, making them the baseline assumptions used in the original financial model.

On December 15, 2025, the Council adopted Resolution 2025-286 accepting the Stormwater Rate Study and directing staff to utilize the study's analysis and recommendations in preparation for implementation of the new rate structure, with a target implementation date of July 1, 2026.

The City's current stormwater rate structure includes 45 billing rates across three categories and specialized rates for more than 200 properties, many of which were established in 2012 or 2013 with little or no supporting documentation. Additional inconsistencies exist in how properties are categorized and billed, including the use of both zoning and land use classifications and a mix of lot-based and area-based billing methods. These complexities and inconsistencies were identified as key reasons for pursuing a complete reset of the stormwater rate structure to create a more equitable and efficient system.

The proposed stormwater rate structure simplifies billing into two categories: single-family residential properties and all other properties, including multifamily and nonresidential uses. This approach reflects the fact that approximately 88% of stormwater customers are single-family residential properties, while the remaining 12% encompass a wide variety of land uses with significant impervious surface area. The recommended structure was selected from several alternatives considered during the rate study and was incorporated into the final report accepted by the Council.

Single family residential properties would pay a flat rate of $75 per year for the first acre and an additional $0.50 per 1,000 square feet of residential net area beyond one acre. Multifamily and non-residential properties would be charged $11.96 per 1,000 square feet of impervious area and $0.50 per 1,000 square feet of gross area, subject to a minimum annual bill of $75. Residential net area was defined as the area of a residential parcel exceeding one acre. Impervious area was defined in City Code 8-7-1 as hard surfaces such as structures, asphalt, compacted gravel, concrete, and other man-made surfaces that generate stormwater runoff. Gross area was defined as the total parcel area excluding right of way. The proposed structure was projected to generate approximately $1.5 million in revenue, matching the 2026 budget.

Results of the rate structure reset indicated that some utility bills would increase while most would decrease. Of the City’s 10,285 stormwater billing parcels, 3,178 parcels, or 31% would experience higher rates, while 7,098 parcels, or 69%, would see lower rates. Among parcels with increased rates, 635 properties would experience increases between 0 and 10%, 523 between 10 and 50%, 596 between 50 and 100%, and 1,433 would see increases greater than 100%. Of those properties, 1,275 currently have annual bills below $37.50, which is less than half of the proposed $75 minimum annual bill. Future annual rate increases beyond the reset would be applied uniformly across all user types.

Sample parcel comparisons demonstrated the effects of the proposed rate structure. A single-family residential property outside the Northwest Area with a parcel size of 0.29 acres would see its annual bill decrease from $77.70 to $75.00, a reduction of $2.70, or 3%, while a similarly sized residential PUD property in the Northwest Area would decrease from $117.00 to $75.00, a reduction of $42.00, or 36%. An estate lot zoned E-1 with a parcel size of 2.44 acres would increase from $99.91 to $106.41 annually, an increase of $6.50, or 6.5%, and a 2.31-acre rural residential property zoned R-1B would increase from $36.45 to $103.68, an increase of $67.23, or 184%. Hilltop Elementary would see its annual bill decrease from $3,734.76 to $3,555.03, a reduction of $179.73, or 4.8%, while an industrial trucking terminal would increase from $1,678.92 to $3,748.09, an increase of $2,069.17, or 123%.

Council Member T’Kach asked how the proposed rate structure would apply to property owners with 20 to 30 acres within the City.

Director Connolly explained that the rate would depend on the property's use. If the land is being used as a farm with a single-family residence, the property would pay the base residential rate of $75 for the first year, plus $0.50 per 1,000 square feet thereafter. Properties without a residence or those classified as business properties would be billed under the nonresidential or multifamily rate structure. He added that properties without impervious surface area would not be charged an impervious area surcharge and would only pay the gross area rate of $0.50 per 1,000 square feet.

Public outreach efforts associated with implementation included updates to the City’s website at www.ighmn.gov/RateChange, which became active in March 2026. The website focuses on community benefit, transparency and trust, and future focused planning. Insights newsletter articles included a preview article in April 2026, and a front-page article scheduled for July 2026, with distribution to all properties and online availability. Every property owner would receive a property specific mailed notice comparing existing and new rates, explaining how rates are calculated, providing links to additional information, and listing utility billing contact information. Rural residential properties, which typically receive annual bills in December, would receive a first half 2026 bill due to the mid-year implementation, accompanied by an informational bill insert.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether any public outreach events were planned.

Director Connolly stated that no public outreach events were currently planned because Council had not provided direction on what type of outreach would be desired. While funding had been set aside for implementation, much of it had already been used for enhanced outreach efforts, including mailings. He added that additional outreach could be pursued if directed by a majority of the Council.

He explained that public outreach events would have limited effectiveness because staff would not have access to the billing database and therefore could not provide property-specific bill information. General education about stormwater management, the purpose of the utility, and the reasons for the fees would be more feasible, but side-by-side bill comparisons would not be practical in that setting.

Council Member T'Kach stated that the need for additional outreach may depend on the volume of calls and concerns received from residents. She suggested that if there are significant questions about the importance of stormwater management and why properties with large amounts of green space still pay into the system, additional educational efforts may be worth considering.

Mayor Dietrich agreed and anticipated that many questions would arise after implementation when residents begin receiving bills under the new rate structure.

Director Connolly acknowledged that questions were likely and said that was one reason staff had focused on developing a robust website for both residents and City staff. He stated that it would not be possible to eliminate all questions through outreach efforts, but the City could be prepared with clear and consistent information. Utility Billing staff and administrative personnel who assist with customer inquiries are being trained to provide the same guidance and messaging during implementation.

Council Member T'Kach suggested that outreach could be targeted toward residents who may not use online resources, such as senior groups at local community centers. She indicated that presentations to those groups could help explain the purpose of the stormwater utility and address concerns from residents who might otherwise view the change simply as another increase in taxes or fees.

Director Connolly responded that staff would consider any feedback from Council but would need clear direction from a majority of the Council before pursuing additional outreach efforts. He noted that there had not previously been Council consensus on a specific outreach approach, so staff proceeded with the recommendations and implementation plan that had been approved.

He added that if Council wished to explore specific outreach activities, staff could obtain cost estimates and return with additional information. However, any such efforts would likely be above and beyond what had been budgeted for the implementation process to date.

Mayor Dietrich suggested that staff return with outreach recommendations, noting that Council members were not experts in public engagement strategies and may not know what approaches would be most effective.

Director Connolly responded that staff's recommendation had consistently been to provide information directly to residents through mailings and materials delivered to homes, as that was the most reliable way to ensure everyone received the information.

Mayor Dietrich asked that staff revisit the issue with their team, and Director Connolly agreed to do so.

The property billing notice schedule outlined the transition to the new stormwater rate structure. Quarterly accounts beginning with prefixes 01, 02, and 03 would receive their final bills under the existing system in late July, late August, and late September, followed by comparison notices in September, October, and November and their first bills under the new rates in late October, late November, and late December. Monthly accounts with prefix 04 would receive their final old-rate bill in late September, comparison notices in October, and their first new-rate bill in late October. Annual stormwater-only accounts with prefix 05 and quarterly stormwater-only accounts with prefix 06 would receive their final old-rate bills in late July, comparison notices in September, and their first new-rate bills in late October. The billing schedule table will also be included in the July 2026 Insights article.

The implementation schedule identified key milestones including study acceptance and adoption of the implementation resolution on December 15, 2025, approval of the implementation work order contract in January 2026, development of the property database and public outreach materials from January through March 2026, publication of the first Insights article and website launch on April 1, 2026, billing software updates and quality assurance activities from April through June 2026, City Code and utility billing rate resolutions during May and June 2026 Council meetings, and implementation of the new rate structure on July 1, 2026. Additional milestones include publication of the second Insights article on July 1, 2026, issuance of the final old rate bills from July through September 2026, comparative billing inserts from September through November 2026, and issuance of the first bills under the new rates from October through December 2026.

Future tasks include finalizing the implementation policy in September, updating the stormwater fiscal model annually beginning with the 2028 budget cycle in summer 2027, identifying recommended annual rate updates, and updating impervious surface GIS data every three years with the next update scheduled for 2029. Staff did not recommend adjusting stormwater rates in 2027, with the next potential update anticipated for 2028.

A request was made for Council adoption of the resolution approving the new stormwater rates effective July 1, 2026, and authorizing the transition to quarterly billing for all current annual residential stormwater-only accounts.

Council Member Scales thanked staff and the residents who participated in the focus group process, noting that although his own stormwater rate would increase significantly, he believed the change was necessary. He stated that both staff and residents took the process seriously and expressed appreciation for the substantial work and input that went into developing the new rate structure.

Motion to adopt Resolution 2026-124 Establishing Storm Water Utility Rates Effective July 1, 2026, by Scales; second by T'Kach.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

C. Resolution Authorizing Abatement of Hazardous Fire Damaged Building

Citty Attorney Nason presented a resolution Authorizing the Abatement of a Hazardous Fire-Damaged Building located at 7033 Delaney Avenue. The property was severely damaged by a fire in

2025, and photographs were provided showing the extent of the exterior and interior damage to the structure.

The property remains posted as uninhabitable. While some measures have been taken to secure the structure, the building has not been demolished or removed and remains in substantially the same condition as depicted in the photographs.

City actions to date have included efforts by the Building Official to contact the current record owner, Kazi Properties LLC, and its Chief Manager, Yunus Katundu. The Building Official has also remained in contact with the former owner, who was insured under the property's insurance policy. Through a Minnesota statutory process previously adopted by the City, a portion of the fire insurance proceeds was placed in escrow with the City to help ensure remediation of the fire-damaged property. The City has received more than $58,000 through that process and issued a letter requiring that the structure be razed. However, despite those actions, the structure has not been demolished.

Under Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 463, the City may order removal of the structure because it qualifies as a hazardous building. Adoption of the resolution would initiate that process and provide the owner with 20 days to remove the structure. If the owner fails to do so within that timeframe, the City will seek a District Court order authorizing demolition of the building. The City could then use the available insurance proceeds to offset the cost of removal and assess any remaining expenses against the property.

The requested Council action was approval of the Resolution Ordering the Abatement of a Hazardous Property and Structure and Public Nuisances located at 7033 Delaney Avenue.

Council Member Scales asked whether the property owner would continue to own the property if the City proceeded with demolition of the structure. He questioned whether the main difference was that the City would complete the demolition using insurance proceeds rather than requiring the owner to do so.

City Attorney Nason responded that the City could use available insurance proceeds or place a lien against the property to recover the costs of demolition.

Council Member Scales then asked whether the property would become forfeited because of the process.

City Attorney Nason explained that the property would remain in the hands of the current owner. She noted that it could eventually become tax-forfeited if property taxes were not paid for the required statutory period, but the owner would retain the ability to rebuild on the property if desired.

Motion to approve Resolution 2026-125 Ordering the Abatement of a Hazardous Property, Structure, and Public Nuisances located at 7033 Delaney Avenue by T'Kach; second by Scales.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

D. Early Voting Administration Options following State Legislative Changes

City Clerk Kiernan presented information regarding early voting administration following adoption of Minnesota HF 4240 during the 2026 legislative session. The legislation allows municipalities currently administering absentee voting to opt into an 18-day model of in-person early voting rather than administering the full 46-day in-person absentee voting period previously required under State

law. Under the new legislation, voters would continue to retain the ability to vote absentee by mail for the full 46-day voting period, with Dakota County administering mail voting.
The first option was to retain the current 46-day in-person absentee voting model. This option would maintain maximum in person access throughout the full 46-day period, require no County notification, and involve no operational transition. The current cost structure would continue, including staffing during the lower utilization first 28-days. Mail ballot access would remain unchanged and continue to be available throughout the full 46-day period.

The second option would transition to an 18-day early voting model. Staffing would be concentrated within a defined 18-day window and align with how voters already show up in person. The option would reduce facility use and administrative burden during the first 28-days of the voting period and was projected to save approximately $13,900 per election cycle. Mail ballot access would remain unchanged for the full 46-day voting period. Implementation of this option would require notification to Dakota County by June 12, 2026.

Election data from 2018, 2020, 2022, and 2024 compared total absentee voting, in person early voting, and mail ballot participation. In 2018, there were 4,820 absentee voters, including 3,005 in person early voters representing 81.5% of absentee voters and 1,815 mail ballot voters representing 22.5%. In 2020, there were 13,549 absentee voters, including 5,119 in person early voters representing 37.8% and 8,430 mail ballot voters representing 62.2%. In 2022, there were 4,771 absentee voters, including 2,919 in person early voters representing 61.2% and 1,852 mail ballot voters representing 38.8%. In 2024, there were 10,201 absentee voters, including 7,712 in person early voters representing 75.6% and 2,489 mail ballot voters representing 24.4%. The accepted ballot volume by day showed a dramatic increase in daily voting activity during the final 18 days before Election Day. The data also indicated strong participation in early in person voting in 2024, with 75.6% of absentee voters casting their ballots in person, while mail ballot voters would experience no change under either voting option.

The financial review estimated that the first 28-days of absentee voting require approximately $13,900 in staffing costs, while the final 18 days require approximately $14,300 and include election specialists, absentee specialists, and additional election judges during peak voting periods. The projected savings associated with the 18-day model were identified as a potential benefit to the election budget while maintaining voter services.

Election administration requirements continue to expand and now include two required Saturdays of voting, one required Sunday of voting, extended weekday voting hours through 7:00 p.m., increased staffing requirements for ballot security and operations, and implementation of new voting equipment. Based on those factors, staff recommends adopting a resolution electing to administer the 18-day in-person voting model in accordance with Minnesota election law and authorizing staff to notify Dakota County and implement this transition.

The requested Council action was approval of a resolution electing to administer early voting pursuant to Minnesota Election Law for the 18 days preceding an election or direction to continue administering the full 46-day in person absentee voting period.

Mayor Dietrich asked whether voting trends had returned to pre-pandemic levels.

Clerk Kiernan confirmed that voting patterns had returned to prior COVID trends.

Council Member Gliva stated that 18 days of in-person voting was sufficient and would help reduce costs, noting that approximately 75% of voters already cast ballots during that period.

Council Member Murphy agreed that the 18-day option made sense and pointed out that it would not affect mail-in voting.

Council Member T'Kach stated that 18 days of in-person voting was reasonable because Dakota County would continue to offer 46 days of in-person voting and mail-in voting would remain available for the same duration. She added that the shorter period would reduce costs and staff effort and could be reconsidered in the future if issues arose.

Council Member Scales stated that the cost savings were significant and supported the 18-day option.

Motion to adopt Resolution 2026-126 Electing to Administer Early Voting Pursuant to Minnesota Election Law by Scales; second by Murphy.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

E. Consider Second Reading of an Interim Ordinance Authorizing the Study of Data Centers and Imposing a Moratorium on the Construction or Expansion of Data Centers.

City Attorney Nason presented information regarding a proposed Interim Ordinance related to Data Centers. Under Minnesota Statute §462.355, an interim ordinance temporarily regulates, restricts, or prohibits development, use, or subdivision of land and provides the City with time to study and amend its Zoning Ordinance. The Statute requires that a moratorium be used to protect the planning process and the health, safety, and welfare of its citizens, not be implemented solely to delay or prevent a single project, not be unreasonable, arbitrary, or capricious, remain in effect for no more than 12 months, and include completion of identified studies.

Council direction provided at the May 4 Work Session authorized preparation of two interim ordinance options for Council consideration. The proposed ordinance would establish a duration of up to one year, apply throughout the entire City, authorize staff and consultants to conduct studies related to data centers, and pause data center development in the City to maintain the status quo during the study period.

On May 11, 2026, the Council approved the first reading of the interim ordinance that included the pending data center application. On May 26, 2026, the agenda item was continued to the June 8 meeting.

A procedural overview was provided regarding adoption of the interim ordinance. An interim ordinance requires three readings before adoption unless the requirement is waived. Two additional readings, including the June 8 meeting, would be required if the reading requirement remains in place. Waiving the three-reading requirement would require a motion to suspend rules to waive the three-reading requirement and move to approve the interim ordinance, with or without revisions, without further readings. Such a motion requires a 4/5th vote of the Council.

The requested Council action was approval of the second reading of the Interim Ordinance regarding Data Centers, either as presented or with revisions, which requires a simple majority vote of the Council. If the three-reading requirement is waived, the Council could also consider adoption of a Summary Publication Resolution, which requires a 4/5^{tHS} vote of the Council

The following residents provided comments regarding the proposed Data Center:

Pam Glenn, 6959 Archer Court, expressed concern about moving forward with a data center without additional study and urged the City to adopt a moratorium to allow time for research into potential environmental, health, air quality, water quality, and utility cost impacts. She also requested transparency regarding any communications between City officials and the company or related parties involved in the proposed project.

Carmen Gruber, 131 South Street East, South St. Paul, requested that future research include information on the noise generated by data centers, including both typical and maximum decibel levels and what those noise levels would sound like in practice. She stated that understanding the potential noise impacts would help residents evaluate effects on quality of life, health, and surrounding communities and suggested providing audio examples so residents could better understand the potential impacts.

Bjorn Johnson, 3212 78th Street, urged the City to adopt a moratorium on data center development to allow time for a thorough environmental review and evaluation of potential impacts. Drawing on his experience working with children with special needs, including autistic students, he expressed concern about the potential effects of continuous noise on nearby residents, students, wildlife, and pets. He stated that the City should carefully study all available data before moving forward, prioritize the well-being of the community over the interests of the developer, and oppose construction of a facility.

Mitch Hilsgen, 7091 River Road, expressed opposition to data center development, citing concerns he has heard in national news reports regarding water consumption, energy demand, and the broader impacts of artificial intelligence and data storage facilities. He questioned what benefits the community would receive in return for hosting a data center and raised concerns about potential effects on utility rates, water resources, and electrical infrastructure. He also voiced frustration with the decision-making process, referenced previous public opposition and petition efforts, and encouraged greater public awareness and engagement regarding the issue.

Anita Martinez, 5688 Brent Avenue, suggested that the City explore a community benefits agreement during any moratorium period to ensure residents receive tangible benefits from a potential data center project. She stated that her concern was not with technology itself, but with dedicating public resources to privately controlled facilities that primarily benefit corporate interests. She argued that the community should carefully consider who benefits from such developments and encouraged discussion of a community benefits agreement as part of the process.

Alysha Anderson, 6149 Carmen Avenue, expressed concerns about the potential impacts of a data center on residents, pets, and the surrounding community. She cited concerns about construction activity, operational noise from generators and cooling equipment, and the potential effects of continuous noise on quality of life and health. She also voiced concerns about the possibility of an accident at the facility and its impact on nearby homes and businesses. She urged City officials to carefully consider the health, safety, and well-being of community members, including children with special needs, when evaluating the proposal.

Kari Lundberg announced that a petition was being circulated requesting an Environmental Assessment Worksheet for the proposed data center. She emphasized that the effort was intended to support environmental protection and community involvement rather than oppose the project itself. She stated that the assessment would provide an opportunity to evaluate issues such as noise, water usage, electrical demand, and impacts on surrounding properties, while giving residents an opportunity to ask questions and obtain additional information before the project moves forward.

Ann Dixon, 7648 Addisen Path, urged the Council to maintain the proposed one-year data center moratorium and ensure it applies to the active Carmen Avenue application. She stated that the City should continue its review despite litigation threats and allow time to study potential environmental and public health impacts, including effects on water resources, wastewater systems, energy infrastructure, noise, and community health. She also referenced the citizen-led Environmental Assessment Worksheet petition and encouraged the City to allow those processes to conclude before making any final decisions.

Margaret Sullivan, a Minneapolis resident, encouraged the City to include resident representation on any study group formed as part of the moratorium process. She stated that data center development can have broader impacts on electrical grids, groundwater resources, and other issues affecting communities beyond Inver Grove Heights and emphasized the importance of public involvement in the review process.

Stephen Miller, 2174 67th Street East, requested that the City maintain the moratorium and continue researching potential health and environmental impacts associated with data centers. He specifically cited concerns regarding infrasound and its possible effects on cognitive development and cardiovascular health.

Motion to accept the correspondence received into the record by Scales; second by T'Kach.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

Motion to approve second reading of Ordinance 1527 An Interim Ordinance Authorizing a Study and Imposing a Moratorium on Data Centers by Scales; second by T'Kach.
Ayes: 3
Nays: 2 (Dietrich, Murphy) Motion carried.

F. CLOSED SESSION to Consider the Purchase of Real Property

The Council is asked to enter into a closed session pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, Section 13D.05, Subd. 3(c)(3) to develop or consider offers or counteroffers for the purchase of real property located on 65th Street West identified by parcel identification numbers 20-00600-25-015 and 20-00600-05-010 by T'Kach; second by Scales.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried

Motion to reconvene in open session by Scales; second by T'Kach.
Ayes: 4
Nays: 0 (Murphy left the meeting) Motion carried.

8. PUBLIC COMMENT:

Carol Halloran, 7868 Adler Trail, stated that a development proposal had not yet come before the City but had been discussed by a developer. She expressed concerns about the potential for high-density development on property currently occupied by a single-family home on agricultural land, citing potential impacts related to stormwater runoff, traffic, light and noise pollution, and the removal of existing trees. She also noted concerns about the effect of a multi-story building on nearby residents, many of whom are seniors, and stated that a petition opposing high-density development had received support from most residents in the neighborhood. She urged the City to consider lower-density development that would be more compatible with the existing community.

Mayor Dietrich clarified that no development application was currently before the City and encouraged residents to monitor the Planning Commission agenda, as any future proposal would first be reviewed by the Planning Commission.

A resident questioned whether the City should consider revising its zoning regulations if projects that comply with current zoning standards continue to generate significant public concern. She stated that Inver Grove Heights is a great community and encouraged the City to explore zoning or other solutions that could better align future development with community expectations while avoiding potential legal challenges.

9. MAYOR AND COUNCIL COMMENTS:

Council Member Scales noted that he attended the Founder's Day event at the historic Little White Church on Upper 55th Street and described it as a wonderful opportunity to learn about the City's history and the families who helped build the community. He encouraged residents interested in local history to learn more about the church and the important role it has played in Inver Grove Heights.

Mayor Dietrich shared that she had the opportunity to meet a local artist from Simley High School who created a large "Welcome to Inver Grove Heights" sign located near Fire Station No. 3 on Upper 55th Street. She encouraged residents to visit the display and noted that the event was well attended, with participation from the Convention and Visitors Bureau and public safety personnel.

10. ADJOURN:

Motion to Adjourn at 8:06 p.m. by Scales, second by T'Kach.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

Minutes prepared by Recording Secretary Tammy Greenlee

INVER GROVE HEIGHTS SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING
MONDAY, JUNE 22, 2026 4:00 P.M. – 8150 BARBARA AVENUE

1. CALL TO ORDER:

The City Council of Inver Grove Heights met in a special closed session on Monday, June 22, 2026.

Mayor Dietrich called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m. The Pledge of Allegiance was cited.

2. ROLL CALL:

Present In-Person: Mayor Dietrich; Council Members Gliva, Murphy, T'Kach, and Scales
Staff In Attendance: Interim City Administrator Hiniker, City Clerk Kiernan, City Attorney Nason, and Community Development Director Ziemer

3. REGULAR BUSINESS:

Motion to move into closed session pursuant to Minnesota State Statue 13D.05, subdivision 3(b), attorney-client privilege, to meet with litigation counsel regarding pending ligation involving QLevr, LLC and FIG Ion Timber and FIG Ion Timber LLC at 4:31 p.m. by Murphy; second by Gliva.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

Motion to move into open session at 5:00 p.m. by Scales; second by Gliva.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

4. ADJOURN:

Motion to Adjourn at 5:01 p.m. by Scales; second by T'Kach.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

Minutes prepared by Recording Secretary Tammy Greenlee

INVER GROVE HEIGHTS SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL HEARING
MONDAY, JUNE 22, 2026 - 5:00 P.M. – 8150 BARBARA AVENUE

1. CALL TO ORDER:

The City Council of Inver Grove Heights met in a special City Council Hearing on Monday, June 22, 2026.

Mayor Dietrich called the meeting to order at 5:01 p.m. The Pledge of Allegiance was cited.

2. ROLL CALL:

Present In-Person: Mayor Dietrich; Council Members Gliva, Murphy, T'Kach, Scales, and Judy Thill, Ex-Officio Member.

Staff In Attendance: Interim City Administrator Hiniker, City Clerk Kiernan, City Attorney Nason, Community Development Director Ziemer, Fire Chief Thill, and Fire Marshal Oswald.

3. REGULAR BUSINESS:

A. Hearing regarding request for appeal and variance from order of fire inspector for property located at 5300 Robert Trail South, # 300 (Nowhere Haunted House).

City Fire Marshal Oswald gave a brief presentation on a fire code related inspection of Nowhere Haunted House, located at 5300 Robert Trail S, Unit #300. The request by the Fire department is to remove plastic paneling covering the walls in the escape house.

Galen McKay presented a request on behalf of Nowhere Entertainment seeking a variance from the current fire testing requirements for Vacuform panels used in one of the facility's escape room sets. He requested an exception to the current fire testing requirements for the panels. If the variance is denied, he requested financial assistance to cover demolition and reconstruction costs needed for compliance. As an alternative, he requested an extension of the requirements until July 2027, aligning with the lease expiration.

In June 2022, 24 - 4" by 8" Vacuform panels were installed in one of the facility's six themed escape room sets. Later that year, Fire Marshal Schadegg conducted a walkthrough inspection and reported no issues related to the Vacuform panels. Interim Fire Marshal Owens subsequently completed multiple walkthrough inspections without identifying any concerns. Between 2023 and 2025, Fire Marshal Oswald also conducted multiple walkthrough inspections, during which no issues regarding the Vacuform panels were reported.

Several inspections conducted by various Fire Marshals between 2022 and 2025 confirmed compliance with applicable fire safety codes. Throughout that period, no violations related to the Vacuform panels were identified, reinforcing the business's understanding that the installation met applicable code requirements. The business had also invested significant time and resources in constructing the escape room.

The October 2025 inspection timeline showed that a property inspection result received on October 9, 2025, confirmed the property's status without mentioning any concerns related to the Vacuform panels. A reinspection was scheduled for October 16, 2025, to address outstanding issues and ensure all requirements were met. On October 27, 2025, the business received a completed Property Inspection Result establishing the next inspection for on or after September 22, 2026, to maintain ongoing compliance with regulations.

A Property Inspection Result received on October 29, 2025, stated "completed with fail" and required the removal of the plastic paneling covering the escape room walls. It was explained that this was the first notification indicating the Vacuform panels were considered non-compliant after more than three years of inspections and operation.

Following the objection to the inspection findings, an additional site visit was conducted by Fire Marshal Oswald, Derek McNamara, and City Building Official Johnson. Video evidence demonstrating that the panels had been installed since 2022 was requested and provided. During the discussion, Fire Marshal Oswald sought input from City Building Official Johnson who indicated that because the panels had been present since the facility opened in 2022, he would not consider them a violation. It was noted that the statement was paraphrased but accurately reflected the substance of the discussion.

Vacuform panels were described as being commonly used throughout the themed entertainment and escape room industries to quickly transform ordinary spaces into immersive themed environments that enhance visitor experiences. The products are sold nationwide by theatrical set manufacturers, including Nightscream Studios, Nethercraft Studios, and Sketch-Lite Productions.

Fire testing information indicated that NFPA 286, ASTM E84, and UL 723 testing had not been performed on the products by theatrical studios. However, ASTM D648 heat testing had been completed, providing some assurance regarding product performance under heat exposure. The widespread use of the products in themed attractions and entertainment venues was also cited as evidence of industry confidence.

Vacuform panels have been used in similar venues for more than two decades, demonstrating a long history of reliability and safety. No reported fire safety incidents involving the panels were identified, and the panels installed at the facility have remained in place without incident since 2022, further supporting their safety record.

Potential financial impacts were estimated at approximately $12,000 in lost revenue, along with demolition and reconstruction costs ranging from $10,000 to $15,000. Business interruption was also expected to result in lost customer bookings and fewer attraction offerings, bringing the projected financial impact to more than $25,000.

As justification for the variance request, the applicant stated that multiple inspections over more than three years identified no issues with the installation and that the business relied on those inspections in good faith. Significant investments were made in the facility based on the understanding that it was complying. The request further stated that similar products are widely used throughout the industry, removal of the panels would create a substantial financial hardship, and no safety incidents have occurred during the operation of the escape room.

Three possible forms of relief were requested. The primary request was approval to allow the existing Vacuform panels to remain in place without modification. If the variance were denied, assistance with demolition and reconstruction expenses was requested. As a final alternative, an extension until July 2027 was requested to coincide with the expiration of the current lease.

Galen McKay of Nowhere Entertainment expressed appreciation to the City Council for its time and consideration and requested a fair and practical resolution that balanced public safety concerns with the significant investment made in the business, its operational needs, and the hardship associated with removing the panels. He stated that the requested outcome would recognize both the community's interests and the substantial impact the decision would have on the business and family.

B. Action on appeal and request for variance from order of fire inspector

Fire Marshal Oswald reviewed the variance request submitted by Nowhere Entertainment, LLC, for interior finish materials at 5300 South Robert Trail, Suites 200 and 300. He explained that the identified violation involved Chapter 8 of the 2020 Minnesota State Fire Code, which governs interior finishes, decorative materials, and furnishings. He stated that Section 803.1 establishes requirements limiting the allowable fire performance and smoke development of interior wall and ceiling finishes in existing buildings based on the building's location and occupancy classification.

Section 807.2.1, a Minnesota amendment to the International Fire Code, states that fixed or movable walls and partitions, paneling, wall pads, and crash pads applied structurally or for decoration, acoustical correction, surface insulation, or other purposes covering more than 10 percent of the wall or ceiling area are considered interior finish, must comply with Section 803, and are not considered decorative materials or furnishings. The exception for existing buildings provides that fixed or movable walls and partitions, paneling, wall pads, and crash pads applied for those same purposes are not considered interior finish unless they cover more than 20 percent of the wall or ceiling area, provided the room or area is protected with an approved automatic fire sprinkler system installed in accordance with Section 903.3.1.

The required interior wall and ceiling finish classifications vary by occupancy and whether the building is sprinklered. For Group A-3 occupancies in sprinklered buildings, interior exit stairways, ramps, exit passageways, corridors, exit access stairways and ramps require a Class B finish, while rooms and enclosed spaces require a Class C finish. In non-sprinklered Group A-3 occupancies, interior exit stairways, ramps, and exit passageways, as well as corridors, exit access stairways, and ramps require a Class A finish, while rooms and enclosed spaces require a Class C finish.

The Fire Code identifies acceptable testing methods for interior finish materials, noting that not all tests are the same. Section 803.1.1.1 establishes the acceptance criteria for NFPA 286, requiring that during the 40 kW exposure flames do not spread to the ceiling, the flame does not spread to the outer extremity of the sample on any wall or ceiling, flashover as defined in NFPA 286 does not occur, the peak heat release rate throughout the test does not exceed 800 kW, and the total smoke released throughout the test does not exceed 1,000 square meters. Section 803.1.2 provides that interior wall and ceiling finish materials tested in accordance with ASTM E84 or UL 723 are classified based on flame spread and smoke-developed indices, with Class A having a flame spread index of 0-25 and a smoke-developed index of 0-450, Class B having a flame spread index of 26-75 and a smoke-developed index of 0-450, and Class C having a flame spread index of 76-200 and a smoke-developed index of 0-450. Section 803.9 further requires that high-density polyethylene (HDPE) and polypropylene (PP) used as interior finish materials comply with the NFPA 286 requirements in Section 803.1.1.

The Fire Code Commentary explains that combustible furnishings, contents, and decorative materials in Group A occupancies are regulated because of the high occupant load and the lack of familiarity occupants have with the building. A fast-moving fire or significant smoke could prevent occupants from safely exiting the building. Fire code updates are intended to address lessons learned from incidents involving loss of life or substantial property damage rather than to create regulations unnecessarily.

Most construction projects require certified design plans prepared under the supervision of a Minnesota licensed design professional (e.g., an architect or engineer), who is responsible for reviewing the project for compliance with applicable state requirements. Identifying code deficiencies before construction begins allows them to be corrected at a lower cost, while attempting to determine compliance during inspections can result in more costly corrections and missed safety concerns. In this case, the paneling was not identified as non-compliant when originally installed and was not reevaluated during subsequent inspections because it was assumed to meet code requirements. When significant changes were later made to the escape room, inspectors initially believed the paneling was newly installed, and further investigation determined that it did not comply with fire code requirements.

Under 2025 Minnesota Statutes Section 299F.011, State Fire Code; Administration and Enforcement, Subdivision 5, Appeal Policy; Variance, the State Fire Marshal may upon application, grant variances from the minimum requirements specified in the code if there is substantial compliance with the provisions of the code, the safety of the public and occupants of the building will not be jeopardized, and undue hardship will result to the applicant unless the variance is granted. The statute further provides that no appeal to the State Fire Marshal for a variance from orders issued by a local fire official under the State Fire Code will be accepted until the applicant has first made application to the local governing body and the local unit has acted on the application. The State Fire Marshal must consider any decisions or recommendations of the local governing body, and any person aggrieved by a decision made by the Fire Marshal under this subdivision may proceed before the Fire Marshal as with a contested case in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act.

The statute provides that local governing bodies may appoint boards of appeal to hear and rule on appeals from orders issued under the State Fire Code. If a board of appeal is not appointed, appeals from those orders must be made directly to the governing body. The statute also states that local boards of appeal and governing bodies are not liable for damages in connection with granting variances, abatements, denials, or modifications of fire code orders when those actions are made in good faith.

When considering variance requests, the local board of appeal, governing body, State Fire Marshal, State Administrative Law Judge, or Court must consider the benefits of complying with the Fire Marshal's orders, the effect on affordable housing, and whether the spirit of the code is maintained while ensuring public safety.

Guidance from the State Fire Marshal's Office states that the phrase, "provided the spirit of the code is complied with and public safety secured," means a code requirement should not simply be waived because it is undesirable, costly, or for similar reasons. Instead, if compliance is determined to be impractical or unreasonable due to specific circumstances, some form of equivalent level of protection should be provided that maintains the spirit and intent of the code and does not diminish life safety.

Any approved variance must include the rationale for granting the variance as well as any conditions attached to the variance.

The next steps include hearing from the applicant, Galen McKay, allowing the Board of Appeals to ask questions of staff or the applicant, and having the Board of Appeals make its decision. Proposed resolutions were included in the meeting packet for possible adoption.

Motion to Approve Fire Code Board of Appeals Resolution 2026-001 granting appeal and request for variance from Order of Fire Inspector with condition that no more panels be installed by Murphy; second by Scales.
Ayes: 4
Nays: 2 (T'Kach, Thill) Motion carried.

4. ADJOURN:

Motion to Adjourn at 5:43 p.m. by Gliva; second by Scales.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

Minutes prepared by Recording Secretary Tammy Greenlee

INVER GROVE HEIGHTS CITY COUNCIL MEETING
MONDAY, JUNE 22, 2026 – 6:00 P.M. &
CONTINUED MEETING FRIDAY, JUNE 26, 2026 - 8 :00 A.M.
8150 BARBARA AVENUE

1. CALL TO ORDER:

The City Council of Inver Grove Heights met in regular session on Monday, June 22, 2026, in person. Mayor Dietrich called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

2. ROLL CALL:

Present In-Person: Mayor Dietrich; Council Members Gliva, Murphy, T'Kach, and Scales
Staff In Attendance: Interim City Administrator Hiniker, City Clerk Kiernan, City Attorney Nason, Parks & Recreation Director Lares, Police Chief Chiodo, Assistant Police Chief Haugland, Planning Manager Shay, Senior Planner Schneider, Clubhouse Superintendent Moynihan, and City Engineer Merchlewicz.

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

Motion to move item 7E to 7B by T'Kach – failed for a second.

Motion to approve agenda as published Monday, June 22, 2026, by Murphy; second by Scales.
Ayes: 4
Nays: 1 (T'Kach) Motion carried.

4. PRESENTATIONS:

Police Chief Chiodo announced the appointment of Dennis Haugland as Deputy Chief of Police, expressing confidence in his leadership, professionalism, and character. She noted that he joined the Inver Grove Heights Police Department as a Police Officer in 2005 and served in a variety of roles, including patrol officer, field training officer, crime scene technician, instructor, investigator, patrol sergeant, and commander. Throughout his career, he earned the respect of both the department and law enforcement agencies across Dakota County through his integrity, sound judgment, humility, and commitment to public service.

She also recognized his dedication to his family, acknowledging the support of his wife, Katie, and their sons, Dillon and Jake, and remarked that his values at home are reflected in his leadership and service to the community. She stated that, as Deputy Chief, he would continue to lead with fairness, compassion, courage, and integrity while supporting department employees, strengthening community partnerships, and serving the residents of Inver Grove Heights.

5. CONSENT AGENDA:

- **A.** Approval of Minutes of the May 26, 2026, City Council Regular Meeting
- **B.** Approval of Disbursements **Resolution 2026-127**
- **C.** Personnel Actions
- **D.** Approval of Storm Water Facilities Maintenance Agreement for 6070 Argenta Trail.
- **E.** Resolution Approving the Purchase of a Leased Police Vehicle for Veterans Memorial Community Center Operations **Resolution 2026-128**
- **F.** Approve Tipping Policy for Inver Wood Golf Course Food and Beverage Employees
- **G.** Approve Software Contract for City Project No. 2023-05 - Advanced Meter Infrastructure (Phase 1)
- **H.** Resolution Approving Preorder of Replacement Plow Truck – 2027 Central Equipment Purchases **Resolution 2026-129**

- **I.** Contract Authorization – Pavement Rating Software Contract
- **J.** Approve Easement Agreements for City Project 2026-09G
- **K.** Approval Regional Solicitation Letters of Support for Dakota County Greenway Projects
- **L.** Resolutions Approving a Major Site Plan Review and Conditional Use Permit Amendment for Two Mini-Storage Facilities at 10125 Courthouse Boulevard **Resolutions 2026-130, 131 & 132**
- **M.** Resolution Approving Variances for Fence Height and Fence Transparency in a Front Yard at 7948 Cooper Court **Resolution 2026-133**
- **N.** Resolutions Awarding Contract and Approving Budgets for City Projects 2026-09H and 2026-09J **Resolution 2026-134,135 & 136**
- **O.** Renewal of Body Art License

Motion to approve Items A through O by Scales; second by T'Kach.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

6. <u>PUBLIC HEARINGS:</u> None

7. <u>REGULAR BUSINESS:</u>

A. Award Contract with Bolton & Menk for 2050 Comprehensive Plan Update.

Planning Manager Shay explained that all jurisdictions within the seven-county Twin Cities Metropolitan area are required to update their Comprehensive Plan every 10 years. The Comprehensive Plan serves as the City's guiding document for forecasting future growth and planning improvements and development. Three proposals were received in response to the request for proposals, and two firms were interviewed. Following the evaluation process, Bolton & Menk was unanimously selected as the preferred consultant. The Planning Division has budgeted $40,000 for the project in 2026, with additional funding anticipated in 2027 and 2028 to complete the work. Final adoption of the 2050 Comprehensive Plan is scheduled to occur before the end of 2028.

Community engagement will be led by City staff with support from the selected consultant. The first step will be developing a Public Engagement Plan to establish a timeline and identify outreach opportunities, which could include an online Story Map, a GIS-based comment board, an online survey, World Café sessions focused on the City's overall vision, Community Café discussions on specific topics, and open houses. The engagement process will include a variety of both online and in-person participation opportunities, with in-person events offered at different times and locations to encourage broad public involvement. The City Council will also have the opportunity to review the community engagement plan before it is finalized.

Motion to award contract with Bolton & Menk for the 2050 Comprehensive Plan Update by Gliva; second by T'Kach.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

B. Approve a First Amendment to the Purchase Agreement with Ace in the Hole Limited Partnership.

Community Development Director Ziemer reviewed a proposed amendment to the purchase agreement for the Minnesota Hockey Hall of Fame (MNHHOF) project. The amendment would revise several provisions of the agreement by extending the closing date to December 31, 2027, moving the first earnest money payment to September 1, 2027, and shifting other key project milestones into 2027. While the total purchase price would remain unchanged, the amendment would separate the purchase price between the development area and the Argenta Boulevard right-of-way (ROW). It would also require the buyer, the City, to pay for crop damages if crop removal is necessary before harvest. In addition, the seller would have the option to remove the ROW area if development is proposed on the remaining property or terminate the agreement if the MNHHOF project does not proceed.

The proposed amendment would provide an additional 17 months to continue advancing the MNHHOF project. During that time, the project would move into the second phase of engineering design using grant funding, while the developer continues refining the project and its funding plan, with an update anticipated in August. The amendment would also defer an additional $100,000 City cash outlay for the earnest money payment.

Approval of the purchase agreement amendment was recommended, including adoption of the First Amendment to the Purchase Agreement with Ace in the Hole Limited Partnership.

Motion to approve Resolution 2026-140, the First Amendment to Purchase agreement with Ace in the Hole Real Estate Limited Partnership by Scales; second by Gliva.
Ayes: 4
Nays: 1 (T'Kach) Motion carried.

C. Consider a Request for a Preliminary Plat, Preliminary Planned Unit Development, and Rezoning for a 46-Unit Townhome Development at 7927 Argenta Trail.

Senior Planner Schneider presented a request for a preliminary plat, preliminary planned unit development (PUD), and rezoning for the proposed Dawson Woods development. The 8.1-acre property is located at the southwest corner of Argenta Boulevard, Yankee Doodle Road, and Argenta Trail. It is guided for Low-Medium Density Residential but is currently zoned Agricultural. The proposal includes 46 owner-occupied townhome units and requests approval of a Preliminary Plat, Preliminary Planned Unit Development, and Rezoning.

The property is guided for LMDR, Low-Medium Density Residential, which allows between 4-8 units per acre. The proposed development would have a density of 5.7 units per acre, which falls within that range. The area has been guided for this density since 1998, is located within the Municipal Urban Services Area (MUSA), and the 2024 Dakota County Housing Needs Assessment identified a gap of housing units in the community.

The application includes a request to rezone the property from Agricultural (A) to R-3A/PUD, Multiple-Family Residential and Planned Unit Development.

The proposed development consists of 9 townhome buildings, including a combination of 4-unit and 6-unit buildings, creating 46 individually platted lots and 7 outlots. The site plan includes a private fire pit, a 6-foot sidewalk, a sidewalk connection to the Yankee Doodle Trail, retention of the existing private driveway along the southern property line and is located within the Eagan Joint Powers Agreement boundary.

The proposal meets all setback, parking, and natural area/open space requirements. While 1.6 acres of natural area/open space is required, the development provides 3.9 acres. It also exceeds minimum requirements by providing 1.3 acres of undisturbed natural area compared to the required 0.8 acres and 2.5 acres of contiguous open space compared to the required 1.2 acres.

The landscape plan preserves 66 existing trees around the perimeter of the site. Although 1,378 caliper inches of tree mitigation are required, 578 inches are provided on-site, with a fee in lieu proposed for the remaining 800 inches. The landscaping plan also includes 206 new trees, significantly exceeding the minimum requirement of 46 trees.

Three PUD flexibility requests are included with the application. These consist of increasing impervious surface coverage from the permitted 35% to 46.6%, allowing 5 of the 9 buildings to contain 6 units instead of the maximum 4 units normally permitted, and increasing the maximum allowable cul-de-sac or dead-end street length from 500 feet to 725 feet.

The Planning Commission voted 6-2 to recommend denial of the project, with one member abstaining. Two neighboring residents spoke in opposition during the public hearing. Concerns raised by the Commission and residents included the proposed density, requested PUD flexibilities, traffic impacts, tree preservation, and lighting.

In response to concerns about density, it was explained that the property and surrounding area have been guided for the proposed density since adoption of the Comprehensive Plan in 1998 and that the 2024 Dakota County Housing Needs Assessment identified a shortage of housing in Inver Grove Heights. Concerns that neighboring properties could also be developed were addressed by noting that future development would only occur if individual property owners chose to sell their land.

Regarding the requested PUD flexibilities, it was explained that PUD are intended to allow creative site design and that flexibility requests are common. The proposal provides more than twice the required natural area and open space and includes 159 more trees than required by City Code.

The request to allow 6-unit buildings was addressed by noting that the proposed density remains near the lower end of the permitted 4-to-8-unit-per-acre range. All buildings comply with setback and separation requirements, total building coverage is 13.8% compared to the maximum permitted 20%, the proposed landscaping helps reduce concerns about building mass, and a mix of building sizes creates greater visual interest.

The request to exceed the maximum impervious surface standard was supported by the fact that all stormwater runoff would be treated through a central infiltration basin, the development provides 3.9 acres of open space compared to the required 1.6 acres, and only the minimum right-of-way width necessary for roads and sidewalks is proposed.

The requested increase in the allowable dead-end street length was supported by the site's natural low point, the need for the roadway to accommodate future development to the west, the fact that homes would be located on only one side of the roadway to reduce emergency service demand, and the inclusion of Ghost Plats demonstrating how the two adjacent developable parcels could connect in the future.

Traffic concerns were addressed with a trip generation analysis estimating 22 morning peak-hour trips and 23 afternoon peak-hour trips, which Planning and Engineering staff considered a minimal increase. The proposal also includes a sidewalk connection to the County trail north of the site to improve pedestrian safety.

Questions regarding tree preservation focused on the proposed fee in lieu for the remaining 800 caliper inches of required mitigation. City Code specifically allows a cash payment when a site does not have sufficient space to accommodate all required replacement trees. Questions regarding lighting were addressed by noting that the development would be required to comply with all City lighting standards.

Approval was recommended through adoption of an Ordinance rezoning the property from Agricultural to R-3A, Multiple-Family Residential and PUD, Planned Unit Development, along with a resolution approving the Preliminary Plat and Preliminary Planned Unit Development for the 46-unit townhome project.

Council Member Murphy asked whether the Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission had recommended accepting a cash park dedication and whether that recommendation was based on the size of the parcel.

Planning Manager Shay explained that the recommendation was based on both the size of the parcel and its location at the edge of the park search area identified in the Comprehensive Plan. He stated that a park would ideally be located closer to the center of the service area, and, for that reason, the Advisory Commission recommended accepting a cash dedication.

Council Member Murphy asked where the cash in lieu of park dedication would go based on the property's location.

Planning Manager Shay explained that, under the Joint Powers Agreement, the payment would go to the City of Eagan rather than the City of Inver Grove Heights because the property falls within the agreement boundary. He added that the agreement has been in place since 1997.

Council Member Murphy asked whether the Joint Powers Agreement included an expiration date.

Planning Manager Shay responded that the agreement does not have an expiration date.

Council Member Murphy commented that the City would not receive any of the park dedication funds for the project.

Planning Manager Shay confirmed that the City would not receive the funds because Eagan's current park dedication formula is higher than Inver Grove Heights'. He explained that the agreement requires the higher of the two cities' park dedication formulas to apply. He added that Inver Grove Heights had higher fees in the past, allowing the City to retain a portion of the park dedication revenue, but Eagan's 2026 fee schedule is now higher.

Council Member Murphy suggested the City consider adding an expiration date to the Joint Powers Agreement.

Planning Manager Shay responded that staff could include that topic as part of a broader discussion regarding the agreement.

Council Member Murphy asked whether a previous development near Casey's had also resulted in a substantial payment to the City of Eagan.

City Attorney Nason explained that, under the Joint Powers Agreement, developers paid the Inver Grove Heights park dedication fee when it was higher than Eagan's. Eagan then received the amount required under the agreement, while Inver Grove Heights retained the remaining portion. She added that the Robert Curve apartment and townhome development generated significant park dedication fees.

Council Member Murphy asked whether the property had previously been proposed for a light industrial development.

Planning Manager Shay recalled that an industrial proposal had been submitted several years earlier but was denied by the City.

Council Member Gliva asked whether tree replacement fees associated with the development would be paid to the City of Eagan or the City of Inver Grove Heights.

Planning Manager Shay responded that he believed Inver Grove Heights would receive the fees but did not want to confirm without reviewing the Joint Powers Agreement.

Council Member T'Kach asked about the dollar amount associated with the required 800 caliper inches of tree replacement.

Planning Manager Shay explained that the fee is based on the cost of planting the trees under City Code and noted that the industry standard is approximately $125 per caliper inch.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether the planned County trail connection at the end of the cul-de-sac would eventually connect to an underpass beneath Yankee Doodle Road.

Planning Manager Shay confirmed that the proposed sidewalk would connect to the trail and explained that Dakota County plans to construct an underpass beneath Yankee Doodle Road in the future, providing neighborhood residents with direct access.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether the trail would generate significant bicycle and pedestrian traffic through the neighborhood, noting that Yankee Doodle Road appeared to be the primary access point.

Planning Manager Shay responded that while some non-residents could use the trail, the area immediately south of the development is largely undeveloped aside from the cemetery. He anticipated the trail would primarily be used by neighborhood residents.

Council Member T'Kach observed that sidewalks already exist along Yankee Doodle Road and stated that the planned trail connection appears to be part of Dakota County's broader effort to connect the regional greenway across the county.

Motion to accept correspondence into record by T'Kach; second by Gliva.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

Haley Daily-Sievers, representing Pulte Homes, 1650 West 82nd Street, Suite 300, Bloomington, Minnesota, stated that staff had provided a thorough presentation of the proposal. She explained that the site is particularly challenging due to the surrounding roadways and the property's topography, with the lowest point located in the center of the site. She added that the development team had worked to address those site constraints in the proposed design.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether consideration had been given to purchasing the property west of the site identified as the ghost plat.

Haley Daily-Sievers responded that Pulte Homes is always open to purchasing additional land; however, there is currently no agreement or arrangement in place to acquire the property to the west.

Motion to approve Ordinance 1531 amending Title 10, Chapter 4 (Zoning Map) from A, Agricultural to R-3A, Multiple-Family residential and PUD, Planned Unit Development and Resolution 2026-137 approving the Preliminary Plat and Preliminary Planned Unit Development for a 46-unit townhome to be known as Dawson Woods by Murphy; second by Gliva.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

Council Member T'Kach stated that the proposal was a difficult decision because the City needs additional housing, including entry-level and moderately priced housing. She noted her understanding that the owner-occupied townhomes would be priced between approximately $400,000 and $425,000.

Planning Manager Shay confirmed that the applicant had identified that price range during the public hearing.

Council Member T'Kach acknowledged that the development would represent a significant change for the surrounding area but noted that much of the land in the area could develop similarly under the current zoning. She stated that, while she remained most concerned about the requested impervious surface flexibility, she understood that the increase was largely attributable to streets, sidewalks, and other infrastructure rather than the buildings themselves.

Senior Planner Schneider confirmed that the impervious surface calculation includes all hard surfaces, including buildings and roadways.

Council Member T'Kach stated that, although the impervious surface flexibility was difficult to support, she understood that development standards for streets and related infrastructure contributed to that need. She indicated she would support the proposal, recognizing the public concerns and correspondence received regarding how the development would change the area. She then asked whether land east of Argenta Trail was expected to receive City sewer and water service in the near future.

Senior Planner Schneider explained that the area is within the MUSA line but not within the Joint Powers Agreement boundary.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether expansion of Inver Grove Heights utilities into that area was considered imminent.

Senior Planner Schneider responded that he would not describe utility expansion as imminent and did not want to speculate on Engineering staff's future plans.

Community Development Director Ziemer explained that developers have occasionally inquired about land south of the site, but there are currently no City utilities serving that area. He stated that any future development would need to extend utilities across Highway 55 and that there are no immediate development proposals or City plans to extend utilities there.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether the ghost plats shown on either side of the development could eventually become developable once utilities are available.

Senior Planner Schneider explained that the ghost plats are located within the Joint Powers Agreement area and could potentially be developed. He added that land across Argenta Trail lies outside the Eagan utility service area, with Argenta Trail serving as the approximate boundary.

D. Consider Third Reading of an Interim Ordinance Authorizing the Study of Data Centers and Imposing a Moratorium on the Construction or Expansion of Data Centers and Summary Publication Resolution

Motion to Recess to Friday, June 26, 2026 at 8:00 a.m. by Murphy; second by Dietrich.
Ayes: 3
Nays: 2 Motion carried.

Recessed at 6:50 p.m.

1. <u>RECONVENE AT 8:00 AM ON JUNE 26, 2026:</u>
Mayor Dietrich called the meeting to order at 8:00 a.m.

2. <u>ROLL CALL:</u>
<u>Present In-Person</u>: Mayor Dietrich; Council Members ., Murphy, T’Kach, and Scales.
<u>Staff Present</u>: City Attorney Nason (remote), Interim City Administrator Hiniker, Clerk Kiernan, Parks & Recreation Director Lares, Police Chief Chiodo, Fire Chief Thill, and Senior Planner Schneider
<u>Others Present</u>: LMC appointed Attorney Jason Kuboushek, Iverson Reuvers Attorneys at Law.

Mayor Dietrich reviewed the meeting procedures, emphasizing that all attendees would be given a fair opportunity to speak in a respectful and safe environment. She stated that cheering, booing, clapping, or other disruptions would not be permitted and could result in the meeting being recessed until order was restored. She noted that the City had received comments from more than 200 residents throughout the development process and assured those in attendance that all perspectives had been carefully considered. Although the meeting was not a public hearing, she stated that public comment would be accepted for up to one hour, if necessary, before encouraging everyone to remain respectful, recognize their shared commitment to the community, and treat one another with dignity and courtesy.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

7. REGULAR BUSINESS:

D. Consider Third Reading of an Interim Ordinance Authorizing the Study of Data Centers and Imposing a Moratorium on the Construction or Expansion of Data Centers and Summary Publication.

Attorney Jason Kuboushek, Iverson Reuvers Attorneys at Law, explained that he and his firm were retained by the League of Minnesota Cities Insurance Trust after the City received a May 19 letter from the project applicant's attorney threatening litigation over the proposed Interim Ordinance. He stated that his role was to evaluate the legal risks presented by the claims and, if litigation were filed, represent the City throughout the court process.

The letter alleged that the Interim Ordinance was improperly enacted, unfairly targeted the applicant, constituted a regulatory taking under the State and Federal constitutions, and interfered with contractual and business interests. It was noted that successful constitutional claims could also require the City to pay the applicant's attorney fees and litigation costs in addition to any damages.

The applicant claimed damages could exceed $150 million if the project were prevented from moving forward. While emphasizing that the amount had not been verified because litigation had not been filed, he explained that the City must evaluate the potential financial exposure. He noted that the City's liability coverage through the League of Minnesota Cities Insurance Trust is limited to $1 million, with any amount exceeding that limit becoming the City's responsibility.

Regarding the legal merits of the case, he explained that, although the Interim Ordinance was similar to others used by municipalities, no attorney could guarantee it would ultimately be upheld by a court. He added that regulatory takings claims are highly fact-specific and could result in lengthy litigation, significant defense costs, and substantial attorney fee awards if the applicant prevailed.

As part of the City's evaluation, the options of proceeding with litigation or seeking a resolution that addressed community concerns while reducing legal risk were considered. He noted that because the data center is a permitted use under the current zoning ordinance, the City is legally required to approve the application if it meets all code requirements and cannot impose additional conditions through the zoning process alone.

To address public concerns, the City negotiated a recordable Declaration of Covenants and Restrictions with the applicant. The agreement includes additional commitments related to building design, landscaping, noise mitigation, mechanical yard improvements, generator testing, and ongoing noise monitoring. It also requires additional testing after future project phases or material modifications and obligates the applicant to correct any deficiencies if noise standards are not met. He added that the agreement would be enforceable by the City, including recovery of enforcement costs if necessary.

He recommended that the Council amend the Interim Ordinance to exclude the pending data center application and approve the Major Site Plan Review subject to the recording of the negotiated covenants and restrictions.

Attorney Kuboushek reported that, after his original recommendation had been prepared, the City received a petition from residents requesting an Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW). He explained that the Minnesota Environmental Quality Board had designated the City as the Responsible Governmental Unit, requiring the Council to consider the petition through the established review process.

He stated that, under State law, receipt of the EAW petition prevents the Council from acting on the Major Site Plan application until a determination has been made on the petition. As a result, he recommended tabling both the Interim Ordinance and the Major Site Plan application until the EAW petition has been reviewed and acted upon.

Mayor Dietrich asked what the effect would be if the City were unsuccessful in defending the 12-month Interim Ordinance in court and how that would affect future data center proposals.

Attorney Kuboushek explained that if a court ruled in favor of the project applicant and invalidated the Interim Ordinance, the entire ordinance would be struck down rather than only its application to the pending project. In that event, the City would revert to its previous zoning regulations, under which data centers are a permitted use.

Mayor Dietrich asked whether, under that scenario, data centers could be legally developed in areas where they are currently permitted.

Attorney Kuboushek responded that both the current project and any future applications meeting the City's zoning requirements could proceed as permitted uses.

Council Member Murphy asked about the origin of the Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) petition.

Attorney Kuboushek explained that an EAW petition requires at least 100 signatures from City residents. The petition was prepared by a resident and submitted to the Environmental Quality Board (EQB), which reviewed the procedural requirements, including the number of signatures and required documentation, before designating the City as the Responsible Governmental Unit. He added that the petition itself originated from the resident petitioners.

Council Member Murphy asked whether the petition signers were required to be Inver Grove Heights residents.

Attorney Kuboushek explained that the signatures are typically from City residents, although the individual signatures had not yet been fully reviewed.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether, if the Interim Ordinance were found to be invalid, the City could pursue amendments to its zoning ordinance before receiving another data center application.

Attorney Kuboushek explained that the answer would depend on the specific court ruling. If the court simply invalidated the Interim Ordinance, the City could potentially begin the process of adopting a new Interim Ordinance or pursuing zoning amendments, although any new ordinance could also be subject to legal challenge. He noted that the exact legal path would depend on the circumstances.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether the EAW petition automatically extends the July 1 deadline for action on the Major Site Plan application.

Attorney Kuboushek explained that the July 1 deadline resulted from Minnesota's 120-day review period for land use applications after the City exercised its allowable extension under the 60-day rule. Because State law prohibits action on the Major Site Plan while the EAW petition is pending, the filing of the petition pauses that review period, allowing the Council to act after the environmental review process has concluded.

Council Member T'Kach asked how long the EAW decision process would take.

Attorney Kuboushek explained that the City has 15 business days to act on the petition, with the option to extend the review by an additional 15 business days. Staff intended to use the full 30 business days to thoroughly evaluate the petition with assistance from staff and outside consultants before returning it to the Council.

Council Member Scales asked about the anticipated timeline if the Interim Ordinance and Major Site Plan application were tabled and what decision points would occur during the process.

Attorney Kuboushek explained that the recommendation to table both items was intended to keep the litigation strategy and Major Site Plan review aligned. Following the Council's determination on the EAW petition, action on the Major Site Plan would likely occur shortly thereafter, although the exact timeline was still being reviewed with the City Attorney.

Council Member Scales asked whether the City could continue reviewing and discussing potential zoning changes for data centers while the matter was tabled.

Attorney Kuboushek explained that the City has had the ability since the first reading of the Interim Ordinance to review its zoning regulations, including whether data centers should remain permitted, become conditional uses, or be prohibited in certain districts. That review could continue regardless of whether the matter was tabled.

Council Member Scales then asked whether the City could adopt zoning amendments during that period or only discuss them.

Attorney Kuboushek explained that the City could move forward with zoning amendments, provided it follows the required public notice, hearing, and adoption procedures established for zoning ordinance changes.

Kari Lundberg stated that she submitted the Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) petition, which received more than 700 signatures, primarily from residents of Inver Grove Heights and South St. Paul. She encouraged the Council to support a moratorium that includes the proposed data center, describing it as a responsible pause that would allow additional time to evaluate the project's environmental and human impacts. She stated that the EAW and moratorium complement one another by providing an opportunity for a transparent review process before irreversible decisions are made and urged the Council to continue working together to fully understand the project's potential impacts.

Residents of both Inver Grove Heights and South St. Paul urged the Council to adopt a moratorium that includes the pending data center application and complete an Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) before making a final decision. Speakers expressed concerns about potential impacts on nearby neighborhoods, including property values, public health, noise, water resources, environmental quality, and the facility's proximity to homes, childcare centers, assisted living facilities, and other sensitive land uses. Many emphasized the importance of allowing additional time to study the project's impacts before proceeding and requested greater transparency regarding project documents and the review process.

Residents also questioned whether the developer's projections for water consumption, electrical demand, and other operational impacts had been independently verified. Concerns were raised about the potential strain on public utilities, infrastructure, and the electrical grid, particularly in light of existing power outages experienced by some residents. Several speakers stated that the City should complete additional due diligence before deciding and not allow the threat of litigation to influence its review of the project.

Many speakers encouraged the Council to consider the long-term effects of the proposal on future generations, community character, and residents' quality of life. They advocated for updating the City's zoning ordinance to establish regulations specific to data centers, arguing that existing zoning standards do not adequately address facilities of this type or their proximity to residential neighborhoods. Others questioned the status of the permitting process and whether any approvals had already been granted, while emphasizing that future data center proposals should be subject to clearer standards and a more comprehensive public review process.

Council Member Murphy asked staff to explain when the City's data center ordinance was originally adopted and to outline the review process for a data center application, noting that several residents had asked how the proposal reached its current stage.

Senior Planner Schneider explained that the City adopted an ordinance in 2017 allowing data centers as a permitted use and establishing a definition for the use. He outlined the review process, explaining that applicants submit a Major Site Plan Review application, which staff evaluates for compliance with City Code and other applicable ordinances. Once staff determines the application is complete, it is scheduled for a public hearing before the Planning Commission, which forwards a recommendation to the City Council. The Council then considers staff's analysis, the Planning Commission's recommendation, and public input before making the final decision.

Council Member Murphy stated that the Council now had a decision to make regarding the Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) petition and observed that residents were requesting additional information through that process. Based on the legal recommendation, he moved to table both the Interim Ordinance and the Major Site Plan application pending completion of the EAW review.

Council Member Scales stated that his decisions are based on what he believes is in the City's best interest. Referring to a recent community meeting, he said residents demonstrated an understanding of the legal risks and issues surrounding the proposal and expressed support for the City. He added that he would prefer the moratorium include the pending data center application.

Council Member Gliva asked whether the Council would not be deciding on the Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) at the current meeting and whether only the Interim Ordinance and Major Site Plan application would be tabled.

Attorney Kuboushek confirmed that the EAW petition was not before the Council for action because it had only recently been submitted and designated to the City for review. He explained that the City, as the Responsible Governmental Unit, has up to 30 business days to evaluate the petition and determine whether an EAW should be required.

Council Member Gliva then asked how adopting the Interim Ordinance to include the current data center application would affect the EAW process.

Attorney Kuboushek explained that adopting the Interim Ordinance would not eliminate the need to act on the EAW petition. He stated that the EAW process only delays action on the Major Site Plan application and that the Council would still be required to decide on that application after completing the environmental review. He added that, if the Interim Ordinance were adopted to include the current application, it would become a factor in the Council's eventual consideration of the Major Site Plan.

Council Member Gliva stated that she was trying to better understand the timeline and whether tabling both items would allow the Council to complete the EAW review first, providing additional information that could assist the City in making a more informed decision on the pending application.

Attorney Kuboushek explained that if the Council adopted the Interim Ordinance before completing the Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) process, information developed through the environmental review generally could not later be used to defend the ordinance in court. He stated that judicial review is typically limited to the administrative record that exists at the time the ordinance is adopted, including meeting records, staff reports, ordinances, and other supporting documents.

Council Member T'Kach stated that, based on the legal explanation, tabling both the Interim Ordinance and the Major Site Plan application until completion of the EAW appeared to be an appropriate option. She then asked for an overview of the litigation process if the Council adopted an Interim Ordinance that included the pending data center application and the applicant filed suit, as well as an explanation of the EAW process.

Attorney Kuboushek explained that the Council could either act on the Interim Ordinance or table it until after the environmental review. If the ordinance were adopted to include the pending application, he anticipated the applicant would immediately file suit in Dakota County District Court and could seek an injunction or ask the court to rule on the validity of the ordinance. He stated that the court would generally review the administrative record and legal arguments before issuing a decision, which typically occurs within 90 days. He added that discovery and depositions could also become part of the litigation, although that would depend on the court proceedings.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether, if the City were unsuccessful in court, it would have an opportunity to amend the Interim Ordinance before a judge issued a final ruling.

Attorney Kuboushek explained that an injunction would only suspend the effect of the challenged Interim Ordinance and would not prevent the City from adopting another Interim Ordinance during the legal process. He cautioned, however, that doing so could expose the City to additional financial and legal risks, including potential damages or challenges from future applicants, and stated those risks were part of the City's overall evaluation.

Council Member T'Kach asked whether the City could review and challenge the applicant's calculation of damages if litigation occurred.

Attorney Kuboushek responded that the discovery process would allow the City to examine the basis for any claimed damages, including supporting documentation and expert testimony. He noted, however, that additional discovery could increase litigation costs and, if the applicant prevailed, those attorney fees and expert costs could also become the City's responsibility.

Council Member T'Kach then asked for an explanation of the Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) process.

Attorney Kuboushek explained that the Environmental Quality Board (EQB) first determines whether an EAW petition meets the required statutory filing requirements, such as signatures and proper documentation. Once accepted, the EQB designates the Responsible Governmental Unit, which in this case is the City of Inver Grove Heights. The City then has up to 30 business days to review the petition and determine whether an EAW should be ordered based on the applicable statutory criteria.

Council Member Scales stated that, rather than focusing solely on the potential outcome of litigation, the Council should recognize that the process includes numerous decision points. He noted that each stage of the legal and environmental review would provide opportunities for the Council to evaluate its options and make decisions that could minimize the City's potential risks and financial exposure.

Motion to table Interim Ordinance in lieu of Environmental Assessment Worksheet received June 25, 2026, by Murphy; second by Dietrich.
Ayes: 2
Nays: 3 (T'Kach, Gliva, Scales) Motion failed.

Motion to Approve Third and Final Reading of Interim Ordinance 1527 authorizing a study of data centers and imposing a moratorium on the construction or expansion of data center including the current application by Scales; second by T'Kach.
Ayes: 3
Nays: 2 (Dietrich, Murphy) Motion carried.

Motion to approve Resolution 2026 -139 Summary Publication of Interim Ordinance 1527 by T'Kach; second by Gliva.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

C. Consider Major Site Plan Request for Development of an Approximately 54,070-Square Foot Data Center Located at 5890 Carmen Avenue.

Motion to continue consideration of a Major Site Plan Request for Development of Data Center by T'Kach; second by Scales.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

8. PUBLIC COMMENT:

Heather Thornton suggested that the Council consider moving the public comment period for items not on the agenda to the beginning of future meetings. She noted that many neighboring communities use that format, allowing residents with routine concerns to address the Council before the regular agenda begins.

Stephen Miller requested that the City's 2017 data center ordinance be made publicly available, stating that he would like to better understand its provisions and how it differs from current data center operations.

9. MAYOR AND COUNCIL COMMENTS:

10. ADJOURN

Motion to Adjourn at 10:06 a.m. by Scales; seconded by Gliva.
Ayes: 5
Nays: 0 Motion carried.

Minutes prepared by Recording Secretary Tammy Greenlee

Agenda Item 5.G.

CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS

Request for Council Action

SUBJECT: **Approve Release of Escrow Funds for 9980 Arkansas Path**

MEETING DATE: July 13, 2026
ITEM TYPE: Consent Agenda
CONTACT:

ACTION REQUESTED
City Council is asked to approve release of escrow funds for 9980 Arkansas Path

BACKGROUND
On November 2, 2025, 9980 Arkansas Path sustained 100% fire loss to both the house and detached garage per Inver Grove Heights fire officials. Due to the nature of the fire loss, the City received the fire escrow directly from the insurance company as surety for the repair, replacement, and removal of the remnants of the structures. Permits for the work were obtained by licensed contractors and the work has been performed satisfactorily and is 100% completed.

The Acknowledgement and Agreement for 9980 Arkansas Path is attached for your review.

FISCAL IMPACT
None.

RECOMMENDATION
City Staff recommends approval of the Acknowledgement and Agreement for 9980 Arkansas Path.

ATTACHMENTS
1. #1102309-Acknowledgement_and_Agreement_(Release_of_Fire_Escrow_Funds)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND AGREEMENT

This Acknowledgement and Agreement ("Agreement") is entered into as of this ___ day of _________________, 2026, by and between the City of Inver Grove Heights, a Minnesota municipal corporation ("City") and Richard C. Mickelson (the "Owner") (collectively, the "Parties").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Owner is a fee owner of certain real property located at 9980 Arkansas Path, Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota 55077 and legally described on the attached Exhibit A ("Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Property contained remnants of a residential structure that was destroyed by fire on November 2, 2025 (the "Structure"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, section 65A.50, the City holds in escrow $123,598.50 in insurance settlement proceeds as surety for the repair, replacement, and removal of the remnants of the Structure (the "Fire Escrow Account"); and

WHEREAS, the City provided a notice to the Owner that the remnants of the Structure were structurally unsound and posed an immediate threat to public health and safety, that the Owner was required to repair, replace, and remove the remnants of the Structure (the "Abatement Work"), and of its intent to use the funds in the Fire Escrow Account; and

WHEREAS, the Owner has since completed the Abatement Work; and

WHEREAS, the City has incurred $3,577.50 in administrative expenses to secure, repair, or demolish the damaged or destroyed structure and clear the property in question, so that the structure and property are in compliance with local code requirements and applicable ordinances of the City; and

WHEREAS, by entering into this Agreement, the Parties instead wish to jointly facilitate the return of the remaining funds from the Fire Escrow Account; and

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and promises set forth in this Agreement, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are acknowledged by the Parties, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

AGREEMENT

1. **<u>Recitals</u>.** The Parties agree that the foregoing Recitals are true and correct and are fully incorporated into this Agreement.

2. **<u>The Property</u>.** The Owner represents that they have a valid interest in the Property and that they have the full legal power and authority to enter into this Agreement.

3. Use and Return of Fire Escrow Account Proceeds. The Owner acknowledges that the City incurred administrative expenses totaling $3,577.50. The City shall be reimbursed for these expenses through the withdrawal of insurance proceeds in the Fire Escrow Account. Any other insurance proceeds in the Fire Escrow Account that are not necessary to refund the City's administrative expenses shall be returned to the Owner.

4. Indemnification; Waiver of Claims. The Owner agrees to indemnify, hold harmless, and defend the City, its officials, employees, contractors, and agents from and against any and all liability, loss, costs, damages, expenses, claims, actions, or judgments, including reasonable attorneys' fees which they, or their agents or contractors may hereinafter sustain, incur, or be required to pay, arising out of or by reason of the Abatement Work, the return of the Insurance Settlement Proceeds to Owner, or this Agreement. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as a waiver by the City of any immunities, defenses, or other limitations on liability to which the City is entitled by law, including but not limited to the maximum monetary limits on liability established by Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 466 or otherwise.

5. General Provisions. This Agreement represents the entire agreement between the Parties and supersedes in all respects all prior agreements of the Parties, whether written or otherwise, with respect to the Property. No change, modification or waiver of any provisions of this Agreement will be binding unless it is in writing and signed by both Parties. This Agreement shall be construed according to the laws of the state of Minnesota. This Agreement may be executed and delivered in multiple counterparts. Each counterpart shall be an original, but such counterparts shall together constitute the same instrument and agreement. If any term, provision, or condition contained in this Agreement shall, to any extent, be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this Agreement (or the application of such term, provision, or condition to persons or circumstances other than those in respect to which it is invalid or unenforceable) shall not be affected, and each term, provision, or condition of this Agreement shall be valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law.

6. Compliance with Laws and Regulations. In performing all obligations contained herein, the Parties must abide by all applicable federal, state, and local statutes, ordinances, rules, and regulations.

7. Termination of Agreement. This Agreement shall terminate upon final payment of the escrow funds, except that the provisions of sections 3 and 4 shall survive the termination.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed in their names and on their behalf on or as of the dates indicated herein.

THE CITY:

By: ________________________________
Brenda Dietrich, Mayor

By: ________________________________
Rebecca Kiernan, City Clerk

STATE OF MINNESOTA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF DAKOTA)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this ___ day of ______________, 2026, by Brenda Dietrich, the Mayor of the City of Inver Grove Heights, a Minnesota municipal corporation, on behalf of the City.

____
Notary Public

STATE OF MINNESOTA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF DAKOTA)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this ___ day of ______________, 2026, by Rebecca Kiernan, the City Clerk of the City of Inver Grove Heights, a Minnesota municipal corporation, on behalf of the City.

____
Notary Public

THE OWNER:

By: _________________________________
Richard C. Mickelson

STATE OF _____________________)
) ss.
COUNTY OF ___________________)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this ___ day of ______________, 2026, by Richard C. Mickelson, the Owner.

____
Notary Public

THIS INSTRUMENT DRAFTED BY:

Kennedy & Graven, Chartered (SBK)
150 South Fifth Street, Suite 700
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(612) 337-9300

Agenda Item 5.H.

Request for Council Action

SUBJECT: **Approve Joint Powers Agreement for City Project No. 2026-09H - Upper 55th St from Blaine Ave to Cahill Ave and 57th St from Cahill to Carmen Ave**

MEETING DATE: July 13, 2026
ITEM TYPE: Consent Agenda
CONTACT: Paul Merchlewicz, City Engineer, 651.450.2572

ACTION REQUESTED

The Council is asked to approve the attached Joint Powers Agreement with the City of South St. Paul for City Project No. 2026-09H - Upper 55th St from Blaine Ave to Cahill Ave and 57th St from Cahill to Carmen Ave.

BACKGROUND

City Project 2026-09H was initiated through the City's Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) process and started in 2024. During the feasibility phase, the City of South St. Paul indicated an interest in partnering with the City to complete reconstruction work on 57th Street between Cahill and Carmen Ave. to accommodate new utilities. Scope and estimated costs associated with the work in South St. Paul were subsequently included in the feasibility study (2026 PMI North).

In May 2025, the City accepted the feasibility and ordered City Project No. 2026-09H (Resolution No. 2025-114). A Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) for Final Design and Specifications was drafted and approved by South St. Paul and the City in June 2025 (Resolution No. 2025-141).

On June 22, 2026, City Project Nos. 2026-09H and 2026-09J were jointly bid under a combined package. Council approved the award of the projects to A-1 Excavating. Under the same action, the Council approved budgets for the projects which also included expected costs splits for both cities (Resolution Nos. 2026-134, 2026-135, and 2026-136 respectively).

FISCAL IMPACT

Fiscal impacts were estimated as part of the resolution for approving the budget for City Project No. 2026-09H (Resolution No. 2026-135). Cost for construction will be based on the actual construction costs. Costs for Legal, Engineering, Administrative, and Finance (LEAF) will be determined by the overall project cost participating percentage (prorated). Estimated total cost splits for City Project No. 2026-09H are as follows:

FUNDING SOURCES	2026-09H
Municipal State Aid System (MSAS) Funding	$1,859,932.47
City of Inver Grove Heights	$637,355.69
Special Assessments	$1,067,742.00
City of South St. Paul JPA	$1,098,817.80
Total:	**$4,663,847.96**

RECOMMENDATION
Staff recommend Council approval of the attached Resolution for the Joint Powers Agreement for City Project No. 2026-09H.

ATTACHMENTS
1. Resolution - JPA with SSP for Project 2026-09H Const
2. 2026 PMI_Construction SSP JPA _ SSP Signed

CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS
DAKOTA COUNTY, MINNESOTA

RESOLUTION NO. _______

RESOLUTION TO ENTER INTO A JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF SOUTH ST. PAUL FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF CITY PROJECT NO. 2026-09H – UPPER 55TH (FROM BLAINE AVE TO CAHILL AVE) & 57TH ST

WHEREAS, the City of Inver Grove Heights and the City of South St. Paul desire to rehabilitate/reconstruct Upper 55th Street, from Blaine Avenue to Cahill Avenue, and 57th Street; and,

WHEREAS, through a separate Joint Powers Agreement (JPA), the City of Inver Grove Heights completed the final design and specifications and accepted bids for the rehabilitation of Upper 55th Street and 57th Street in Inver Grove Heights and South St. Paul; and

WHEREAS, the City of Inver Grove Heights will be the lead agency for the construction for the project, and the cost share for the work will be based on a combination of actual construction costs for work performed in each City and a prorated percentage of construction administration costs associated with actual total bid costs within each respective City to the overall total bid amount; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Inver Grove Heights and the City of South St. Paul have drafted a Joint Powers Agreement identifying the cost and execution responsibilities of each agency with respect to the construction and construction administration for the construction of Upper 55th from Blaine Avenue to Cahill Avenue, and 57th Street, to be executed by the two parties.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE INVER GROVE HEIGHTS CITY COUNCIL, THAT:

1. The Joint Powers Agreement with the City of South St. Paul for Construction and Construction Administration for City Project No. 2026-09H is hereby approved.
2. The Mayor and City Clerk are hereby authorized to carry out the agreement on the City's behalf.
3. Costs for construction will be based on the actual costs of construction in each city and costs for construction administration will be prorated based on actual bid costs, currently estimated to be $3,565,030.16 for the City of Inver Grove Heights and $1,098,817.80 for the City of South St. Paul for the improvements at the values as identified in the Joint Powers Agreement.

Approved by the City Council of the City of Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota this 13th day of July 2026.

____
Brenda Dietrich, Mayor

ATTEST:

____
Rebecca Kiernan, City Clerk

JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT
BETWEEN THE CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS AND THE CITY OF SOUTH ST. PAUL FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF UPPER 55TH ST/57TH ST REHABILITATION PROJECT
IGH CITY PROJECT NO. 2026-09H
SSP CITY PROJECT NO. 2025-07

THIS JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is entered into between the **CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS**, a Minnesota municipal corporation (hereinafter referred to as "Inver Grove Heights") and the **CITY OF SOUTH ST. PAUL**, a Minnesota municipal corporation (hereinafter referred to as "South St. Paul"), with the parties collectively hereinafter referred to as the "Cities".

WHEREAS, as part of the Inver Grove Heights 2026 Pavement Management Initiative, Upper 55th Street from Blaine Avenue to Cahill Avenue will undergo full depth reclamation, ADA upgrades, spot replacement of storm sewer structures, and spot replacement of sidewalk (Inver Grove Heights Project No. 2026-09H); and

WHEREAS, South St. Paul desires to fully reconstruct 57th Street between 7th Avenue South and 5th Avenue South due to the repair of sanitary sewer and replacement of watermain between 8th Avenue South and 5th Avenue South in South St. Paul (South St. Paul Project No. 2025-07); and

WHEREAS, both Cities desire to combine both projects to take advantage of economies of scale for construction bidding and minimize repeated disturbances due to separate construction contracts; and

WHEREAS, Minn. Stat. § 471.59 authorizes two or more governmental units to enter into agreements to jointly or cooperatively exercise any power common to the contracting parties or any similar power.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of their mutual covenants the Cities agree as follows:

1. **Purpose.** Inver Grove Heights and South St. Paul enter into this Agreement for the purpose of completing the bid award, construction administration, and construction of the Project in the manner provided herein.

2. **Administration.** Inver Grove Heights will enter into and administer the contract with a contractor (the "Contractor") to perform construction of the Project. Inver Grove Heights will also enter into and administer a contract with a consulting engineer firm (the "Consulting Engineer") and will coordinate the construction administration work of the Consulting Engineer with South St. Paul.

3. **Construction Costs.** The Cities' out-of-pocket costs relating to the construction of the Project (excluding Engineering Costs as identified in Section 4 of this Agreement), shall be based on the Cities' share of the awarded bid costs (attached as Exhibit 2), and any construction contract change order or amendments that are approved during the Project directly applicable to the portion of work required on each section of road within each Cities' respective city limits.

4. **Engineering Costs.** The Cities' out-of-pocket costs relating to the administration of the Project, including public involvement, agency involvement, contract administration, State Aid coordination, material testing, survey, and project inspection (collectively, "Engineering Costs"), shall be shared based on each Cities' share of the Construction Costs as identified in Section 3 of this Agreement.

5. **Plans, Specifications, and Award of Contract.** Inver Grove Heights may award the contract for construction to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder in accordance with state law, and upon receipt of written approval from South St. Paul. If a bid is not awarded, this Agreement shall

terminate for the specific project that is not awarded, and all costs incurred as of the date of termination shall be apportioned in accordance with the terms of this Agreement. For reference, the Plans and Specifications are those dated 04/17/2026, and any addendums thereto, and are on file with the Inver Grove Heights Engineering Division (referred to in this Agreement as the "Plans").

6. **Payment.** Inver Grove Heights will administer the contract and act as the paying agent for all payments to the Contractor and Consulting Engineer. Payments to the Contractor and Consulting Engineer will be made as the Project work progresses and when certified by the Inver Grove Heights Authorized Representative. Inver Grove Heights, in turn, will bill South St. Paul for their share of the Construction Costs and Engineering Costs. Upon presentation of an itemized invoice by Inver Grove Heights, the receiving entity shall reimburse Inver Grove Heights for its share of the costs incurred under this Agreement within 35 days from the presentation of the invoice. If any portion of an itemized invoice is questioned by the receiving entity, the remainder of the invoice shall be promptly paid and accompanied by a written explanation of the amounts in question. Payment of any amounts in dispute will be made following good faith negotiation and documentation of actual costs incurred in carrying out the work.

7. **Change Order or Contract Amendments.** Any change orders, supplemental agreements, or amendments to the contract with the Contractor or the Consulting Engineer that affect the Project cost participation must be approved by both Cities in writing prior to execution. Both Cities shall endeavor to provide timely approval of change orders, supplemental agreements, or amendments so as to not delay construction operations.

8. **Amendments.** Any amendments to this Agreement will be effective only after approval by each governing body and execution of a written amendment document by duly authorized officials of each body.

9. **Final Completion.** Final completion and acceptance of the Project work must be approved by both Cities in writing.

10. **Maintenance.** Upon Final Completion of the Project, the maintenance responsibilities of the infrastructure installed shall be the responsibility of each City as it pertains to those improvements constructed in each Cities' limits.

11. **Effective Dates.** This Agreement will be effective upon execution by duly authorized officials of each governing body and shall continue in effect until all work to be carried out in accordance with this Agreement has been completed. Absent an amendment, however, in no event shall this Agreement continue in effect after December 31, 2028.

12. **Rules and Regulations.** The Cities shall abide by Minnesota Department of Transportation standard specifications, rules and contract administration procedures unless amended by the contract specifications.

13. **Indemnification.** Each City will be solely responsible for its own acts and omissions and the results thereof, to the extent authorized by law. The Cities mutually agree to indemnify and hold harmless each other from any claims, losses, costs, expenses, or damages resulting from the acts or omissions of the respective offices, agents, or employees related to activities conducted by each City under this Agreement. Both Cities recognize that liability for any tort claims arising under this Agreement are subject to the provisions of the Minnesota Municipal Tort Claims Law; Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 466. In the event of any tort claims or actions filed against either City, nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to allow a claimant to obtain separate judgments or separate liability caps from the individual Cities. Both Cities shall be listed as additional insured in the contract documents.

14. Employees of Cities. Any and all persons engaged in the work to be performed by one of the Cities shall not be considered employees of the other City for any purpose, including Worker's Compensation, or any and all claims that may or might arise out of said employment context on behalf of said employees while so engaged. Any and all claims made by any third party as a consequence of any act or omission on the part of a City's employees while so engaged on any of the work contemplated herein shall not be the obligation or responsibility of the other City.

15. Audits. Pursuant to the Minnesota Statutes Sec 16C.05, Subd. 5, any books, records, documents, and accounting procedures and practices of each City relevant to the Agreement are subject to examination by either City and either the Legislative Auditor or State Auditor as appropriate. Each City agrees to maintain these records for a period of six years from the date of performance of all services covered under this Agreement. Inver Grove Heights will be financially responsible for the cost of the audit.

16. Integration and Continuing Effect. The entire and integrated agreement of the Cities contained in this Agreement shall supersede all prior negotiations, representations or agreements between the Cities regarding the Project; whether written or oral. All agreements for future maintenance or cost responsibilities shall survive and continue in full force and effect after completion of the improvements provided for in this Agreement.

17. Authorized Representatives. The authorized representatives for the purpose of the administration of this Agreement are:

City of Inver Grove Heights

Paul Merchlewicz, City Engineer
City of Inver Grove Heights
8150 Barbara Avenue
Inver Grove Heights, MN 55077
Office: 651-450-2500
pmerchlewicz@ighmn.gov

City of South St. Paul

Kelsey Gelhar, City Engineer
City of South St. Paul
125 3rd Avenue North
South St. Paul, MN 55075
kgelhar@sspmn.org

All notices or communications required or permitted by this Agreement shall be either hand delivered or mailed by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the above addresses. Any City may change its address by written notice to the other Parties. Mailed notice shall be deemed complete two business days after the date of mailing.

18. Cooperation. The Cities agree to cooperate in the use of resources, including available right-of-way to complete the Project as a whole, to the extent feasible and to the extent permitted by law. The Cities further agree to cooperate in the administration of the contract and completion of the Project, including cooperating in resolving any disputes the Cities may have with the contractor(s) both during the project and following completion of the Project.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Cities have caused this agreement to be executed by their duly authorized officials.

CITY OF SOUTH ST. PAUL

BY: Jimmy P. Francis
Its Mayor

AND: Deanna Werner
Its City Clerk

CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS

BY: ______________________________

Its Mayor

AND: ______________________________

Its City Clerk

Agenda Item 5.I.

CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS

Request for Council Action

SUBJECT: **Resolution Appointing Election Judges for the 2026 State Primary Election**

MEETING DATE: July 13, 2026
ITEM TYPE: Consent Agenda
CONTACT: Rebecca Kiernan, City Clerk, 651.450.2513

ACTION REQUESTED
The Council is asked to adopt the attached Resolution, appointing election judges for the 2026 State Primary Election.

BACKGROUND
Minnesota Statue 204B.21 provides that election judges for precincts in a municipality shall be appointed by the governing body of the municipality and the appointments shall be made at least 25 days before the election at which they will serve.

FISCAL IMPACT
none

RECOMMENDATION
Staff recommends approval of the attached Resolution.

ATTACHMENTS
1. 2026 Appointing EJs Resolution

CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS

DAKOTA COUNTY, MINNESOTA

RESOLUTION NO. 2026-_____

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING ELECTION JUDGES

WHEREAS, Minnesota Statute provides that election judges for precincts in a municipality shall be appointed by the governing body of the municipality; and

WHEREAS, the appointments shall be made at least 25 days before the election at which the election judges will serve;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota, that the individuals listed on the attached Exhibit A are hereby appointed to serve as election judges for the Minnesota State Primary Election on August 11, 2026 at the hourly rate of $14.00 for election judges and $16.00 for head judges; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the election judges hereby appointed shall be in addition to those previously appointed by action of the City Council and may be further supplemented by subsequent action of the City Council; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Clerk and/or the Deputy Clerk are hereby authorized to assign duly appointed election judges to individual precincts and to specific tasks and duties as needed for the effective and efficient conduct of election operations and to designate Head Election Judges from within those election judges appointed by the City Council.

DATED this 13th day of July 2026.

____
Brenda Dietrich, Mayor

Attest:

____
Rebecca Kiernan, City Clerk

EXHIBIT A: 2026 Election Judges for the City of Inver Grove Heights, MN

Alan Egging	David Steimer	Joseph Kastens
Alan Wentkiewicz	Dawn LaBrosse	Judith Isaac
Alexander Neuhauser	Dawn Quirk	Justin Pyon
Alice Lesney	Debra Cook	Kaitlyn Heisick
Alicia Linscheid	Debra Kammerer	Kara Rudek
Allan Hunting	Derek Nelson	Karen Bryngelson
Amy Delgado	D'on Maroney	Karen Haehnel
Anastasia Dobs	Donna Patterson	Kari Schuch
Andrew Van Houten	Dwight Illk	Katherine Myers
Angela Cheyne	Eugene Jensen	Katherine Rother
Angela High-Pippert	Frank Alexander	Kathleen Fischer
Annette Adam	Gabriel Monsour	Kathleen Miles
Annika Torralba	Gail Castro	Kathleen Pearson
Anthony Zepeda	Gary Lothenbach	Kathy Michels
Ashley Hogenson	Greg Malecha	Kathy Schowalter
Ashley Kimlinger	Gregory Boyle	Kaye Wohlwend
Ashley Scott	Gwen Weiler	Kristen Potuznik
Bill Laramy	Heather Pickett	Kymn Paulson
Bob Schams	Heather Schaus	Leroy Both
Bradley Mathison	Heidi Winkelman	Leslie Krona
Carol Brunzell	Hiba Rahman	Linda Baker
Carol Schoeneck	Irving Lamansky	Levi Beebe
Catherine Tuione	Jack Lonto	Linda Both
Charles Turner	Jack Pence	Lindsay Atkins
Cheryl Anderson	Jacquelyn Schreiber	Lindsey Engrav
Cheryl Jacobsen	James Kellgren	Lori DeMaio
Cheryl Wilson	James Marlow	Lori Jaeger
Chris Grinsteinner	Jane Weber	Lyla Faust
Chris Wadzinski	Janet Ulve	Lynn Waska
Claire Bambenek	Jason Cooke	Mahbubur Khan
Clifford MacDonald	Jeanne Tschida	Marcia Murphy
Dan Dahlke	Jeanne Zimmer	Maria Dols
Dani Winterhart	Jeffrey Gould	Marilyn Porter
Daniel Fitzgerald	Jeffrey Pelletier	Marilyn Rosberg
Daniel Passer	Jenelle Suchy	Mark Brossart
Daniel Valverde	Jeri Lastoka	Mark Sampson
Danielle Moynihan	Jerry Biese	Marsha Workman
Darrell Johnson	Jill Clark	Mary Becht
David Berrisford	Joan Elbert	Mary DiMarco
David Corniea	JoEllen Schwake	Mary Egging
David Leick	John Patterson	Mary Fobes

David Morgan	Jon Marsden	Mary Garfield
Mary Gisch	Renee Briguet	Sue Titel
Mary Hartman	Renee Petersen	Susan Barry
Mary McArdle	Rhonda Hillstrom	Susan Marsden
MaryAnn Kressin	Richard Almena	Susanne Franklin
Mason Wichner	Richard Webb	Ted Trenzeluk
Megan Torgerson	Rihanna Rivas Ruiz	Thomas Farris
Michael Pliml	Rita Clare	Thomas Johnson
Michele Wenner	Robert Jordan	Thomas Rasmussen
Monica Kurr	Roxanne Donnelly	Tom Kieffer
Monica Nicholson	Roxanne Sonnek	Traci Leffner
Nadine Clark	Salma Dalmar	Trinia Shannon
Nancy Duescherl	Sam Gernentz	Wayne Ogorek
Nancy Schneider	Sandra Honerbrink	Wendy Badger
Nicholas Fox	Sara Skar	William Thornton
Nicholas Garnsworthy	Scott Adams	
Nicole Briguet	Scott Zemke	
Phyllis Stratman	Sharon Hinrichs	
Portia Wodarz	Sharon Karels	
Ramy Mansour	Shelley McLees	
Randy Sampson	Stacy Notch	
Raymond Peplinski	Stephanie Savoie	

CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS

Agenda Item 5.J.

Request for Council Action

SUBJECT: Approval of Disbursements

MEETING DATE: July 13, 2026
ITEM TYPE: Consent Agenda
CONTACT: Amy Hove, Finance Director, 651.450.2521

ACTION REQUESTED

The Council is asked to adopt the attached resolution, approving disbursements for the period of June 17, 2026 – July 7, 2026.

BACKGROUND

The following chart represents a summary by fund type of the disbursements processed during the period of June 17, 2026 – July 7, 2026. Disbursement details are attached to this memo.

Fund Type	Amount
General Fund	$696,909.86
Special Revenue	479,308.56
Debt Service	-
Capital Projects	1,121,953.95
Enterprise	255,324.01
Internal Service	140,608.66
Escrows	19,921.76
Total - All Funds	$2,714,026.80

FISCAL IMPACT
The disbursements are within adopted budgets for the associated funds or have otherwise been approved by the council.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval of the disbursements as presented.

ATTACHMENTS
1. Resolution 7.13.2026
2. Disbursements Listing (6.17.2026 - 7.7.2026)

CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS
DAKOTA COUNTY, MINNESOTA

RESOLUTION NO. _____

RESOLUTION APPROVING DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD OF JUNE 17, 2026 – JULY 7, 2026.

WHEREAS, a detailed listing of disbursements for the period of June 17, 2026 – July 7, 2026 was presented to council for approval.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS: Payment of the disbursements for the following fund types are approved:

Fund Type	Amount
General Fund	$696,909.86
Special Revenue	479,308.56
Debt Service	-
Capital Projects	1,121,953.95
Enterprise	255,324.01
Internal Service	140,608.66
Escrows	19,921.76
Total - All Funds	$2,714,026.80

Approved by the City of Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota this 13th day of July 2026.

____
Brenda Dietrich, Mayor

ATTEST:

____
Rebecca Kiernan, City Clerk

City of Inver Grove Heights

Expense Approval Report
By Fund

Payment Dates 6/17/2026 - 7/7/2026

Vendor Name	Payable Number	Post Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
Fund: 101 - GENERAL FUND					
MN FIRE SERVICE CERT BOARD	15150	06/25/2026	Firefighter II Retest - Fordice	101.2200.000.5340	75.00
TRICIA HAYNES	5/10/2026	06/25/2026	Inver Groove Music in the Park..	101.4300.453.5310	850.00
MES I ACQUISITION, INC.	IN2518225	06/25/2026	Uniforms - Anderson & Dealy	101.2200.000.5175	73.98
OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH CEN…	104417359	06/25/2026	Firefighter Exam - Kayser	101.2200.000.5340	868.00
TAHO SPORTSWEAR	25TS02294	06/25/2026	Work Shirts - Parks	101.4200.000.5175	105.25
MINNESOTA/WISCONSIN PLA…	2026133	06/25/2026	Playground equipment replac…	101.4200.000.5400	254.90
LELS	INV0241341	06/12/2026	UNION DUES (LELS LIEUTENA…	101.0000.000.2041	219.00
INT'L UNION OF OPERATING …	INV0241342	06/12/2026	UNION DUES IUOE-49 REGUL…	101.0000.000.2041	210.00
INT'L UNION OF OPERATING …	INV0241343	06/12/2026	UNION DUES IUOE-49 WORKI…	101.0000.000.2041	103.98
IUOE	INV0241344	06/12/2026	UNION DUES IUOE-70	101.0000.000.2041	1,937.00
LELS LOCAL #84	INV0241345	06/12/2026	UNION DUES (LELS)	101.0000.000.2041	2,701.00
LELS	INV0241346	06/12/2026	UNION DUES (LELS)	101.0000.000.2041	64.66
LELS	INV0241347	06/12/2026	UNION DUES (LELS)	101.0000.000.2041	258.64
LELS	INV0241348	06/12/2026	UNION DUES (LELS SGT)	101.0000.000.2041	584.00
RIVER HEIGHTS MARINA	13607	06/25/2026	Metered electric service - Boat..	101.2200.000.5310	2.46
SOLDO CONSULTING PC	6/17/2026	06/25/2026	investigation consultation serv..	101.2000.000.5310	2,358.20
VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS BE…	6/17/2026	06/25/2026	2026 volunteer firefighter dues	101.2200.000.5335	310.00
PRECISE MRM	IN200-2013590	06/25/2026	GPS Data Plan 5.2026	101.3200.000.5310	120.00
MN DEPT OF ADMINISTRATION	00000930829	06/18/2026	Data Practices Training - Nor…	101.2000.000.5340	250.00
MN DEPT OF ADMINISTRATION	00000930830	06/18/2026	Data Practices Training - Clayp…	101.2000.000.5340	250.00
HERITAGE LANDSCAPE SUPPLY..	0025677751-002	06/18/2026	Chemicals, fertilizers & pestici…	101.4200.000.5135	21,626.54
HERITAGE LANDSCAPE SUPPLY..	0025677751-003	06/18/2026	Insecticide (204 Oz)	101.4200.000.5135	1,183.20
REVOLUTIONARY SPORTS, LLC	0950	06/18/2026	RevSports Baseball Classes 5.…	101.4300.454.5310	1,048.80
EMERGE ENTERPRISES	1157	06/18/2026	Clean Up Day Recycling Matre…	101.5000.420.5389	3,354.00
LANGUAGE LINE SERVICES	11941615	06/18/2026	Interpretation Services 5.2026	101.2000.000.5310	674.26
WEBBER RECREATIONAL DESI…	1685	06/18/2026	playground parts	101.4200.000.5400	862.40
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	#10 Window Envelopes (47.5…	101.3100.000.5105	546.72
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	Community Development Env…	101.5000.000.5105	57.55
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181155	06/18/2026	Business Cards - Carlson & Go…	101.2000.000.5105	120.00
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181696	06/18/2026	Special event yard signs (Musi…	101.4300.459.5190	480.00
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193811	06/18/2026	General City Business Legal Fe…	101.1000.000.5305	19,048.41
BITUMINOUS ROADWAYS, INC.	20138	06/18/2026	Paving Undercut - Oakwood P…	101.4200.000.5415	4,950.00
HINIKER, ELLEN	2026-05	06/18/2026	Interim CA Services 5.4-5.30.2…	101.1100.000.5310	14,600.00
MINNESOTA CHIEFS OF POLICE..	23696	06/18/2026	Handgun acquisition permits	101.2000.000.5190	137.00
TRIDISTRICT COMMUNITY ED	2526-1342	06/18/2026	Basketball facility rental 11.3.…	101.4300.454.5430	135.00
PINE BEND PAVING, INC.	261140	06/18/2026	Asphalt Patching	101.3200.000.5125	954.88
TAHO SPORTSWEAR	26T02802	06/18/2026	Volleyball League Championsh…	101.4300.455.5190	349.10
DAKOTA AWARDS & ENGRAV…	31003	06/18/2026	Sustainable IGH Awards - Laser..	101.5000.000.5190	158.00
CIVICPLUS, LLC	355909B	06/18/2026	2026 Virtual webmaster Q1 a…	101.1300.000.5310	2,147.80
MN GLOVE & SAFETY, INC.	357241	06/18/2026	Work & Nitrile Gloves	101.3200.000.5175	101.87
ASPEN MILLS	377011	06/18/2026	Uniform re-patched - Eid	101.2000.000.5175	32.00
ASPEN MILLS	377094	06/18/2026	Uniform patch replacements -…	101.2000.000.5175	6.00
ASPEN MILLS	377126	06/18/2026	Patch Replacements - Williams	101.2000.000.5175	18.00
IMPERIAL BAG & PAPER CO LLC	41009207	06/18/2026	Restroom cleaning machine	101.4200.000.5160	12,810.74
IMPERIAL BAG & PAPER CO LLC	41009207	06/18/2026	Cleaner for cleaning machine	101.4200.000.5190	522.44
CINTAS CORPORATION	4271779778	06/18/2026	Uniforms Laundry - Streets	101.3200.000.5175	155.53
CINTAS CORPORATION	4271779778	06/18/2026	Uniforms Laundry - Parks	101.4200.000.5175	26.16
CAMPBELL KNUTSON, P.A.	5/31/2026	06/18/2026	Prosecution Services 5.2026	101.2000.000.5306	17,294.78
CAMPBELL KNUTSON, P.A.	5/31/2026	06/18/2026	Code Violations Services 5.20…	101.2000.000.5306	98.47
MN NCPERS LIFE INSURANCE	542000062026	06/18/2026	4.2026-5.2026 Retro Premium…	101.0000.000.2024	-32.00
MN NCPERS LIFE INSURANCE	542000062026	06/18/2026	6.2026 Premium	101.0000.000.2024	192.00
SOCCER SHOTS	6/1/2026	06/18/2026	April-May Sport Classes & Jers…	101.4300.454.5310	4,905.00
DAJ ENTERPRISES LLC (ECO W…	8558	06/18/2026	Fertilizer	101.4200.000.5135	7,827.20

Expense Approval Report **Payment Dates: 6/17/2026 - 7/7/2026**

Vendor Name	Payable Number	Post Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
ECKBERG LAMMERS, P.C.	ELT5156	06/18/2026	Gun Laws Training - Norman, K...	101.2000.000.5340	357.00
INDEPENDENT BLACK DIRT & ...	IN001545	06/18/2026	Topsoil	101.4200.000.5125	760.00
SIR LINES-A-LOT, LLC.	PL26-0713-001	06/25/2026	Parking lot striping	101.4200.000.5415	660.00
QUICKSCORES LLC	261402	06/25/2026	pickleball league website fees	101.4300.455.5335	56.00
EMERGENCY TECHNICAL DEC...	00001443	06/25/2026	decon and repair of turnout g...	101.2200.000.5175	82.00
CENTURY COLLEGE	1378199	06/25/2026	Live burn instructor class -Chri...	101.2200.000.5340	900.00
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193812	06/25/2026	HR legal services 5.2026	101.1120.000.5305	831.25
FIRE PROTECTION SERVICES, I...	2042	06/25/2026	review shop drawings and cal...	101.2200.000.5310	120.00
CLAREY'S SAFETY EQUIPMENT	223323	06/25/2026	Wrenches & spanner wrenches	101.2200.000.5160	1,466.50
BLOOMINGTON SECURITY SO...	251746	06/25/2026	Door Repairs - Heritage Village...	101.4200.000.5400	368.00
ACROSS THE STREET PRODUCT...	31451	06/25/2026	Blue card instructor renewal -...	101.2200.000.5335	1,000.00
ASPEN MILLS	377238	06/25/2026	Body armor carrier - Gohr	101.2000.000.5175	899.00
ASPEN MILLS	377671	06/25/2026	New patch work - Meade	101.2000.000.5175	12.00
CINTAS CORPORATION	4272549224	06/25/2026	Uniform laundry - streets	101.3200.000.5175	155.26
CINTAS CORPORATION	4272549224	06/25/2026	Uniform laundry - parks	101.4200.000.5175	26.68
BCA BUREAU OF CRIMINAL A...	47053	06/25/2026	Crime Reporting Training - Sta...	101.2000.000.5340	300.00
ABDO, LLP	525487	06/25/2026	Lease Calculations-Crunchafi	101.1800.000.5310	737.10
ABDO, LLP	525487	06/25/2026	Progress Billing-2025 Audit	101.1800.000.5310	9,975.00
ABDO, LLP	525487	06/25/2026	Single Audit Services-2025 Aud...	101.1800.000.5310	5,250.00
ABDO, LLP	525547	06/25/2026	OSA Financial Reporting Form	101.1800.000.5310	1,050.00
CINTAS CORPORATION	5342052716	06/25/2026	Disinfectant - Rich Valley	101.4200.000.5190	8.03
ENTERPRISE FM TRUST	587711A-060326	06/25/2026	Vehicle leases 6.2026	101.2000.000.5430	20,145.79
INVER GROVE HEIGHTS YOUTH...	6/17/2026	06/25/2026	Spring 2026 Lacrosse Coaching	101.4300.454.5310	1,950.00
DAKOTA COUNTY FIRE CHIEFS...	6/18/2026	06/25/2026	2026 annual dues	101.2200.000.5335	100.00
MASTER PLUMBING SERVICES	6/9/2026	06/25/2026	Refund permit #PRPL2026001...	101.0000.000.2207	1.00
MASTER PLUMBING SERVICES	6/9/2026	06/25/2026	Refund permit #PRPL2026001...	101.5200.000.4186	64.00
HILLYARD INC	90181773	06/25/2026	paper towels & toilet paper	101.2200.000.5125	855.28
COMMERCIAL INFRASTRUCTU...	I11021	06/25/2026	Install outlets & communicati...	101.3200.000.5310	906.24
BRITTANY MISKOWIEC	IGHPD-06182026	06/25/2026	PD therapy sessions 5.2026	101.2000.000.5312	577.50
INNOVATIVE OFFICE SOLUTIO...	IN5137362	06/25/2026	Binder, paper & notepads	101.2200.000.5105	277.30
INNOVATIVE OFFICE SOLUTIO...	IN5138088	06/25/2026	Flashlight - Office Use Only	101.2200.000.5160	15.84
INNOVATIVE OFFICE SOLUTIO...	IN5141466	06/25/2026	Office organizer	101.2200.000.5105	18.17
KODEX INC	MCXSTVES-0001	06/25/2026	Subscriber account searches -...	101.2000.000.5310	100.00
ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST - 4...	INV0242130	06/26/2026	457 -AGE <49 %	101.0000.000.2038	11,244.96
ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST - 4...	INV0242130	06/26/2026	457 - ROTH AGE <50	101.0000.000.2038	1,225.00
ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST - 4...	INV0242130	06/26/2026	457 PLAN -AGE 50+ %	101.0000.000.2038	1,650.00
ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST - 4...	INV0242130	06/26/2026	457 -AGE 50+	101.0000.000.2038	1,900.29
ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST - 4...	INV0242130	06/26/2026	457 - ROTH AGE <50 %	101.0000.000.2038	2,562.27
ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST - 4...	INV0242130	06/26/2026	457 -AGE <49	101.0000.000.2038	5,825.38
ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST - 4...	INV0242130	06/26/2026	457 - ROTH AGE 50+	101.0000.000.2038	20.00
ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST - 4...	INV0242130	06/26/2026	ROTH IRA (AGE 49 & UNDER)	101.0000.000.2039	4,085.38
ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST - 4...	INV0242130	06/26/2026	ROTH-AGE <49 %	101.0000.000.2039	223.93
ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST - 4...	INV0242130	06/26/2026	ROTH IRA (AGE 50 & OVER)	101.0000.000.2039	838.46
AFSCME COUNCIL 5	INV0242131	06/26/2026	UNION DUES (AFSCME FULL S...	101.0000.000.2041	727.72
AFSCME COUNCIL 5	INV0242131	06/26/2026	UNION DUES (AFSCME FULL S...	101.0000.000.2041	47.46
MN DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN...	INV0242132	06/26/2026	CASE #001570640001	101.0000.000.2220	136.59
MN DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN...	INV0242132	06/26/2026	CASE #000115468905	101.0000.000.2220	73.37
MN DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN...	INV0242132	06/26/2026	CASE #001563363401	101.0000.000.2220	445.31
TEXAS STATE DISBURSEMENT...	INV0242133	06/26/2026	CASE #0012022247	101.0000.000.2220	230.77
IGH FIRE RELIEF ASSN	INV0242134	06/26/2026	MEMBERSHIP DUES - FIRE REL...	101.0000.000.2041	523.45
IGH FIRE RELIEF ASSN	INV0242134	06/26/2026	MEMBERSHIP DUES - FIRE REL...	101.0000.000.2041	98.40
MEDSURETY ACH ONLY	INV0242135	06/26/2026	HSA ELECTION-SINGLE	101.0000.000.2031	7,292.01
MEDSURETY ACH ONLY	INV0242135	06/26/2026	HSA ELECTION-FAMILY	101.0000.000.2031	5,106.19
IGH PROFESSIONAL FIREFIGHT...	INV0242136	06/26/2026	UNION DUES (IAFF)	101.0000.000.2041	542.78
MN STATE RETIREMENT SYST...	INV0242137	06/26/2026	MINNESOTA DEFERRED COM...	101.0000.000.2038	2,095.00
MN STATE RETIREMENT SYST...	INV0242137	06/26/2026	457 ROTH IRA (AGE 49 & UND...	101.0000.000.2038	1,668.00
MN STATE RETIREMENT SYST...	INV0242137	06/26/2026	457 ROTH IRA (AGE 49 & UND...	101.0000.000.2038	407.41
MN STATE RETIREMENT SYST...	INV0242137	06/26/2026	MINNESOTA DEFERRED COM...	101.0000.000.2038	832.07
PERA	INV0242138	06/26/2026	PERA COORDINATED PLAN	101.0000.000.2225	59,892.92
PERA	INV0242138	06/26/2026	EMPLOYER SHARE (PERA DEFI...	101.0000.000.2225	15.77

Vendor Name	Payable Number	Post Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
PERA	INV0242138	06/26/2026	EMPLOYER SHARE (EXTRA PE…	101.0000.000.2225	4,607.16
PERA	INV0242138	06/26/2026	PERA DEFINED PLAN	101.0000.000.2225	15.77
PERA	INV0242138	06/26/2026	PERA POLICE & FIRE PLAN	101.0000.000.2225	40,907.14
PERA	INV0242138	06/26/2026	EMPLOYER SHARE (POLICE & F…	101.0000.000.2225	61,360.71
EFTPS	INV0242139	06/26/2026	SOCIAL SECURITY WITHHOLDI…	101.0000.000.2210	74,405.48
EFTPS	INV0242139	06/26/2026	MEDICARE WITHHOLDING	101.0000.000.2211	27,429.08
EFTPS	INV0242139	06/26/2026	FEDERAL WITHHOLDING	101.0000.000.2213	90,520.73
MN DEPT OF REVENUE (PAYR…	INV0242140	06/26/2026	STATE WITHHOLDING	101.0000.000.2215	41,221.79
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	10k Lakes Icc Steven Joh WF 5…	06/29/2026	10K Lakes Conf. - Johnson, Ste…	101.5200.000.5340	600.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	5.11 Tactical Monica Chi WF 5…	06/29/2026	new uniform pants + one retu…	101.2200.000.5175	125.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Ace Hardware 693 Cory Rosen…	06/29/2026	epoxy	101.2200.000.5190	11.99
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Ace Hardware 693 Kyle Ravn …	06/29/2026	Socket, Pruning Baldes, Ratch…	101.4200.000.5125	82.45
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Ace Hardware 693 Mike Sperl…	06/29/2026	Surge protector	101.4200.000.5160	19.99
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mark 1p7lf9qx3 Cora…	06/29/2026	Mousepad & Crocheted Anima…	101.1120.000.5178	37.98
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mark Bj07t2841 Judy…	06/29/2026	Flagging Tape	101.3100.000.5190	88.97
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mark Bj2253tk1 Al V…	06/29/2026	practice tennis balls	101.4300.454.5190	43.99
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mark Bj6m25eu0 Judy…	06/29/2026	Table clips, spotlight & stress …	101.3100.000.5190	79.97
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mark Bj7t826t0 Acco…	06/29/2026	AA batteries & Post-it Notes	101.1800.000.5105	21.48
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mark Bj7t826t0 Acco…	06/29/2026	Extension cord cover	101.1800.000.5190	15.95
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mark Bs2vh22r0 Cora…	06/29/2026	New hire notebooks & candy …	101.1120.000.5178	44.23
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mark Ca0z04qh3 Judy…	06/29/2026	Blue flagging tape	101.3100.000.5190	21.99
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktpl 8m2qy9e63 Pu…	06/29/2026	Chanisaw loggings, helmets, g…	101.3200.000.5125	224.21
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktpl Bj0ey7uu1 Rac…	06/29/2026	Table, wagon, cones, arrow ho…	101.4300.454.5190	290.19
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktpl Bv4870sl0 Mon…	06/29/2026	Kleenex & 9V Batteries	101.2200.000.5105	83.48
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktpl Bv4x76bt1 Publ…	06/29/2026	bathroom signs for parks	101.4200.000.5125	12.03
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktpl Bv64g2ah0 Sta…	06/29/2026	Folders & Notebooks	101.5000.000.5105	44.77
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktpl Bv6dx36j0 Rac…	06/29/2026	Paper towels & hand wipes - …	101.4300.453.5190	18.93
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktpl Bv6dx36j0 Rac…	06/29/2026	Prize drop disc game - Touch-a…	101.4300.459.5190	104.83
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktpl H92d17jp3 Ad…	06/29/2026	Hand Puppets - Comm. Dept	101.1300.000.5190	24.98
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktpl Qu5kp6el3 Jud…	06/29/2026	Steel Toe Boots - Nolan	101.3100.000.5175	39.02
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktpl Wa2us32o3 M…	06/29/2026	Body wipes	101.2200.000.5190	47.28
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktplace Pmts Judy …	06/29/2026	cancelled - marking paint order	101.3100.000.5190	-345.59
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Reta 3p5b42dz3 Judy…	06/29/2026	Steel Toe boots - Nolan	101.3100.000.5175	117.98
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Reta 3p5b42dz3 Judy…	06/29/2026	Refund - returned boots - Nol…	101.3100.000.5175	-110.99
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Reta Bj1b65qk1 Judy…	06/29/2026	spray marking paint - Nick Ha…	101.3100.000.5190	281.76
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon.Com Bj24y7j00 Moni…	06/29/2026	toilet bowl cleaner	101.2200.000.5125	18.26
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon.Com Xh0298413 Mon…	06/29/2026	laundry pods	101.2200.000.5125	50.55
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	American Planning Asso Kevin…	06/29/2026	Monthly APA License 5.2026 - …	101.5100.000.5335	33.70
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	American Planning Asso Kevin…	06/29/2026	APA Membership Final Portion…	101.5100.000.5335	134.80
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Atlantis Casino Resort Emily H…	06/29/2026	NASRO conference hotel room…	101.2000.000.5340	215.57
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Atlantis Casino Resort Emily H…	06/29/2026	NASRO conference hotel room…	101.2000.000.5340	215.57
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Bca Training Education Emily …	06/29/2026	BCA CANAM Conference - De…	101.2000.000.5340	275.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Board Of Aelslagid Judy Wonic…	06/29/2026	Board of AELSLAGID Certificat…	101.3100.000.5335	122.50
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Board Of Aelslagid Judy Wonic…	06/29/2026	Board of AELSLAGID Certificat…	101.3100.000.5335	122.50
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Brennan Industries B2b Rachel…	06/29/2026	Archery arrows and bow main…	101.4300.454.5190	1,050.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Brunsons Emily Heid WF 5/26	06/29/2026	St Paul ICS Training Lunch - St…	101.2000.000.5340	49.48
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Builders Association T Steven …	06/29/2026	BOL Continuing Education - Br…	101.5200.000.5340	96.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Burger King #765 Q07 Monica…	06/29/2026	Training Lunch - Bryant	101.2200.000.5340	15.79
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Carnitas Don Tacho Emily Heid…	06/29/2026	St Paul ICS Training Lunch - He…	101.2000.000.5340	18.32
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Carnitas Don Tacho Emily Heid…	06/29/2026	ICS Training Lunch - Steenberg…	101.2000.000.5340	28.30
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Caseys #3934 Melissa Ch WF 5…	06/29/2026	Fuel purchase 5.10.2026	101.2000.000.5340	60.27
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Cat Footwear.Com Judy Wonic…	06/29/2026	Steel Toe Boots - Nolan	101.3100.000.5175	110.99
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Chinese Duck House Rebecca …	06/29/2026	IIMC Conference Lunch - Kiern…	101.1140.000.5340	18.70
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Ck Holiday # 03537 Emily Heid…	06/29/2026	Snow cone ice - PD open house	101.2000.000.5182	27.96
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Crown Trophy Cora Henke WF…	06/29/2026	Cornhole trophy plating	101.1120.000.5178	18.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Cub Foods #1639 Emily Heid …	06/29/2026	Hot dogs, buns & ketchup - PD…	101.2000.000.5182	106.31
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Cub Foods #1639 Monica Chi…	06/29/2026	Food, drinks & supplies for FD …	101.2200.000.5190	72.42
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Cub Foods #1639 Monica Chi…	06/29/2026	Cupcakes & plates - FD badgin…	101.2200.000.5190	34.76
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Cub Foods Joshua Oti WF 5/26	06/29/2026	Donuts & Cake for EOC training	101.2000.000.5190	16.97
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Delta 00624280115561 Adam …	06/29/2026	Airfare-NPRA Conf Lares 9.20…	101.4200.000.5340	253.41

Vendor Name	Payable Number	Post Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Delta 00624280115561 Adam ...	06/29/2026	Airfare-NPRA Conf Lares 9.20...	101.4300.459.5340	50.68
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Delta 00624288257655 Julie ...	06/29/2026	Flight to NRPA Event - Dorshak	101.4300.459.5340	506.81
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Delta 00641523157542 Emily ...	06/29/2026	NASRO conference flights seat...	101.2000.000.5340	39.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Delta 00641523974991 Emily ...	06/29/2026	NASRO conference flights seat...	101.2000.000.5340	39.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Delta 00674811836845 Emily ...	06/29/2026	NASRO conference flight - Taa...	101.2000.000.5340	548.80
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Delta 00674811836856 Emily ...	06/29/2026	NASRO conference flight - Eid	101.2000.000.5340	548.80
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Denver Credit Card Fee Jessica...	06/29/2026	CC fee (online option available...	101.2000.000.5310	0.55
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Denver Police & Safety Jessica...	06/29/2026	Gun Permit Data Request from...	101.2000.000.5310	22.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Department Of Labor An Stev...	06/29/2026	CBO License Renewal - Bravo	101.5200.000.5335	45.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Dollartree Public Wor WF 5/26	06/29/2026	Buckets, cutlery holder & table...	101.3100.000.5190	36.49
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Dps Firefighter Licens Eric Ber...	06/29/2026	firefighter license renewal (x2)	101.2200.000.5335	150.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Dps Firefighter Licens Eric Ber...	06/29/2026	firefighter license renewal (x3...	101.2200.000.5335	2,775.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Dps Firefighter Licens Eric Ber...	06/29/2026	firefighter license renewal - Be...	101.2200.000.5335	75.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Dps Firefighter Licens Eric Ber...	06/29/2026	firefighter license renewal (x3)	101.2200.000.5335	225.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Eb 2026 Minnesota Juv Haugl...	06/29/2026	Juv Conference - Eid & Taruud	101.2000.000.5340	633.40
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Ecomm Most Dependable Publ...	06/29/2026	Water fountain parts	101.4200.000.5125	242.07
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Expedia 73442807651675 Emi...	06/29/2026	NASRO conference rental car -...	101.2000.000.5340	668.74
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Facebk Fe3rfmddx2 Amy Looz...	06/29/2026	Facebook ad - Cops & Bobbers...	101.1300.000.5330	181.16
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Fsp Craguns-Pms Steven Joh ...	06/29/2026	10K Lake Conf Hotel - Steenbe...	101.5200.000.5340	921.26
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Grand View Lodge Dane Wing...	06/29/2026	CanAm Lodging - Steinberg	101.2000.000.5340	426.80
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Grand View Lodge Dane Wing...	06/29/2026	CanAM lodging - Derosier	101.2000.000.5340	426.80
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Grand View Lodge Haugland D...	06/29/2026	CANAM Conference Lodging -...	101.2000.000.5340	426.80
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Great Basin Brewing Co Rebec...	06/29/2026	IIMC Conference Dinner - Kier...	101.1140.000.5340	27.36
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Great Divide Con C Den Rebec...	06/29/2026	IIMC Conference Breakfast - K...	101.1140.000.5340	15.79
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Guestrs Holidayinn Joshua Oti...	06/29/2026	2026 MN JOA Conference Hot...	101.2000.000.5340	1,876.30
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Holiday Inn & Suites Monica C...	06/29/2026	Training Hotel Room - Bryant	101.2200.000.5340	241.84
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Holiday Inn & Suites Monica C...	06/29/2026	Training Hotel Room - McNam...	101.2200.000.5340	241.84
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Hometown Meats Llc Mike Sp...	06/29/2026	Food for PW employee's lunch	101.3100.000.5190	559.40
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Hydrocad Software Solu Judy...	06/29/2026	Hydrocad Software Renewal	101.3100.000.5335	300.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Hy-Vee Eagan 1165 Monica Ch...	06/29/2026	cake & ice cream - FD badging...	101.2200.000.5190	67.95
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Iimc Rebecca Ki WF 5/26	06/29/2026	2026-2027 IIMC Dues - Malott	101.1140.000.5335	135.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Iimc Rebecca Ki WF 5/26	06/29/2026	2026-2027 IIMC Dues + Dinner...	101.1140.000.5335	235.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Jimmy Johns 1436 - Eco Admin...	06/29/2026	Council/Staff Commissioner A...	101.1000.000.5190	83.47
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Just Between Friends Chelsea ...	06/29/2026	Toy trucks for touch a truck e...	101.4300.453.5190	45.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Kwik Star #1086 Melissa Ch W...	06/29/2026	Fuel purchase 5.8.2026	101.2000.000.5340	42.75
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Laundry Loops Inc Monica Chi...	06/29/2026	loops for FD laundry bags	101.2200.000.5190	175.20
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Loves #0685 Outside Scott Os...	06/29/2026	Fuel to drive to training in St L...	101.2200.000.5340	103.14
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Maggie Obriens Scott Oswa W...	06/29/2026	Dinner at training in St Louis -...	101.2200.000.5340	22.90
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Mediterranean Bae Rebecca Ki...	06/29/2026	IIMC Conference Dinner - Kier...	101.1140.000.5340	12.76
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Menards West St Paul M Amy ...	06/29/2026	Welcome to IGH billboard	101.1300.000.5160	99.99
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Menards West St Paul M Joel ...	06/29/2026	Plywood for artwork stands at...	101.1300.000.5190	44.38
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Menards West St Paul M Joel ...	06/29/2026	Duct Tape and Zip ties	101.3200.000.5190	47.58
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Menards West St Paul M Joel ...	06/29/2026	cable ties, straps, putty knifes...	101.3200.000.5190	113.96
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Mn Recreation And Park Public...	06/29/2026	Playground Maintenance Cour...	101.4200.000.5340	250.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Mnafaa Scott Oswa WF 5/26	06/29/2026	MBFTE training - Oswald	101.2200.000.5340	20.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	National Association O Emily ...	06/29/2026	NASRO Conference (early bird ...	101.2000.000.5340	100.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	National Association O Emily ...	06/29/2026	NASRO conference registratio...	101.2000.000.5340	650.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Nevada Taco Grill Rebecca Ki...	06/29/2026	IIMC Conference Dinner - Kier...	101.1140.000.5340	20.86
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Nrpa Conference Adam Lares...	06/29/2026	Registration NPRA Conf: Lares...	101.4200.000.5340	397.50
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Nrpa Conference Adam Lares...	06/29/2026	Registration NPRA Conf: Lares...	101.4300.459.5340	79.50
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Nrpa Conference Julie Dors W...	06/29/2026	NRPA Conference Registration...	101.4300.459.5340	795.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Oreilly 1767 Cory Rosen WF 5...	06/29/2026	depth finder wiring - boat 2	101.2200.000.5125	37.21
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Parking Meter St Paul Jason Zi...	06/29/2026	Capitol Parking-MN HHOF Legi...	101.5000.000.5340	9.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Peppermill Biscottis R Rebecca...	06/29/2026	IIMC Conference Breakfast - K...	101.1140.000.5340	26.94
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Peppermill Front Desk Rebecc...	06/29/2026	IIMC Conference Hotel Stay - K...	101.1140.000.5340	1,029.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Pioneer Press Circ Amy Looze...	06/29/2026	Pioneer Press online subscript...	101.1300.000.5335	34.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Post Board Licensing Emily He...	06/29/2026	POST Lic. Renewal - Koeppen	101.2000.000.5335	90.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Red Wing Shoe #728 Judy Wo...	06/29/2026	Safety Boots - Sabrina Vang	101.3100.000.5175	178.34
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	River Heights Marina I Cory Ro...	06/29/2026	fuel for boat 1	101.2200.000.5130	472.14
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Sams Club #4738 Emily Heid ...	06/29/2026	Chips, Bottled Water - PD Ope...	101.2000.000.5182	69.90

Vendor Name	Payable Number	Post Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Sams Club.Com Public Wor WF...	06/29/2026	Food, drinks & supplies for PW...	101.3100.000.5190	263.46
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Sams Club.Com Public Wor WF...	06/29/2026	Veggie Tray - PW Lunch	101.3100.000.5190	19.94
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Sams Club.Com Rachel Lon WF...	06/29/2026	Breakfast food for Touch-a-Tr...	101.4300.453.5190	53.71
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Samsclub #4738 Emily Heid W...	06/29/2026	Food, drinks & supplies - PD O...	101.2000.000.5182	308.95
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Sensible Land Use Coal Stacy ...	06/29/2026	Lunch n' Learn - Schneider	101.5100.000.5340	58.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Southwes 5264328746731 Re...	06/29/2026	IIMC Conference Checked bag ...	101.1140.000.5340	35.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Southwes 5264329865166 Re...	06/29/2026	IIMC Conference Checked Bag ...	101.1140.000.5340	35.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Sp Flags Usa Monica Chi WF 5...	06/29/2026	flag for Station 2 training room	101.2200.000.5190	145.81
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Sq State Of Fun Julie Dors WF ...	06/29/2026	Inflatable Rental - HVP Grand ...	101.4300.459.5430	462.83
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Sq Wakota Caer Monica Chi ...	06/29/2026	CAER Luncheon (3 Attendees)	101.2200.000.5340	61.80
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Starbucks 09479 Rachel Lon ...	06/29/2026	Coffee - Touch-a-Truck	101.4300.453.5190	46.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Target T-2519 Accounts P WF ...	06/29/2026	Bottled water for interviews	101.1800.000.5190	3.29
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Target T-2519 Administra WF ...	06/29/2026	Treats for Dennis Halverson's ...	101.1100.000.5190	35.83
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Target T-2519 Cora Henke WF...	06/29/2026	Candy & Spritz - Wellness Ince...	101.1120.000.5178	10.99
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Target T-2519 Jessica St WF 5...	06/29/2026	SunScreen for Open House	101.2000.000.5182	14.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	The Corner Store Eric Bergu ...	06/29/2026	fuel for boat 2	101.2200.000.5130	22.93
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	The Home Depot #2843 Brian ...	06/29/2026	Loctite Power Grab Ult Clear ...	101.4200.000.5125	26.96
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	The Home Depot #2843 Joe H...	06/29/2026	Cleaners & Stain Remover	101.4200.000.5125	18.24
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	The Home Depot #2843 Joe H...	06/29/2026	Garbage bags	101.4200.000.5125	79.88
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	The Home Depot #2843 Joe H...	06/29/2026	Towels, wipes & cleaners	101.4200.000.5125	133.68
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	The Home Depot #2843 Joel J...	06/29/2026	key rings and AAA batteries	101.3200.000.5105	27.83
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	The Home Depot #2843 Joel J...	06/29/2026	Hand rakes	101.3200.000.5160	35.96
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	The Ups Store 0372 Monica Ch...	06/29/2026	shipping of broken SCBA part	101.2200.000.5325	25.48
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Tlf Glassing Florist Amy Looze...	06/29/2026	Flower props for Facebook vid...	101.1300.000.5190	40.55
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Tractor-Supply-Co #019 Craig ...	06/29/2026	Safety vest for Intern	101.3100.000.5175	38.98
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Tractor-Supply-Co #019 Kyle R...	06/29/2026	Spray Gun	101.4200.000.5125	54.99
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Tst Boulder Tap House Monica...	06/29/2026	Training dinner - McNamara &...	101.2200.000.5340	57.97
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Tst Caribou Coffee - Jessica St...	06/29/2026	Coffee - swearing in	101.2000.000.5190	99.95
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Tst Caribou Coffee - Joshua Oti...	06/29/2026	Coffee for EOC training	101.2000.000.5190	21.61
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Tst Inver Grove Brewi Judy W...	06/29/2026	Dakota County Staff Lunch – C...	101.3100.000.5340	45.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Tst Superfruit Republ Rebecca...	06/29/2026	Breakfast - before flight home ...	101.1140.000.5340	16.92
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Twin Cities Hr Associa Cora H...	06/29/2026	TCSHRM Membership	101.1120.000.5335	70.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Union Station Hotel Scott Osw...	06/29/2026	Hotel at training in St Louis - O...	101.2200.000.5340	1,064.45
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Ups 1z542bbt0334543421 Emi...	06/29/2026	Shipping charges - West Allis ...	101.2000.000.5325	16.95
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Ups 1z542unt0322705028 Emi...	06/29/2026	Shipping charges to West Allis...	101.2000.000.5325	16.95
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Vcn Quickservlicen035c Emily...	06/29/2026	Title Transfer #25002049 - Cha...	101.2000.000.5310	29.62
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Walgreens #4882 Jessica St W...	06/29/2026	Department Photo - Ghor	101.2000.000.5310	4.49
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Wal-Mart #1472 Jessica St WF...	06/29/2026	Chocolate, water, confetti & t...	101.2000.000.5190	29.09
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Wal-Mart #5089 Emily Heid W...	06/29/2026	Bottled water - PD Open House	101.2000.000.5182	20.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Wal-Mart #5089 Eric Bergu WF...	06/29/2026	Water and snacks for NDTA liv...	101.2200.424.5190	128.84
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Wal-Mart #5089 Melissa Ch ...	06/29/2026	Water/Snacks for Community...	101.2000.000.5182	34.15
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Wal-Mart #5089 Monica Chi ...	06/29/2026	laundry soap & glass cleaner	101.2200.000.5125	200.32
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Wal-Mart #5089 Monica Chi ...	06/29/2026	dish washer pods and dryer s...	101.2200.000.5125	185.46
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Wal-Mart #5089 Ryan Prail WF...	06/29/2026	Bobbers, hooks & scissors - C...	101.2000.000.5182	15.09
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Walmart.Com Rachel Lon WF ...	06/29/2026	5 gallon bucket & paint marke...	101.4300.453.5190	18.65
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Westin Alexandria Old Judy S...	06/29/2026	NVFC Spring Board Conf Lodgi...	101.2200.000.5340	658.65
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Westin Alexandria Old Judy S...	06/29/2026	Disputed charge	101.2200.000.5340	219.55
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Wild River Grille Rebecca Ki W...	06/29/2026	IIMC Conference Lunch - Kiern...	101.1140.000.5340	34.69
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Www.Iafc.Org Judy Smith WF ...	06/29/2026	IAFC Membership- Thill	101.2200.000.5335	176.67
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Www.Ui.Com Marc Gade WF ...	06/29/2026	UAP access point for PD break ...	101.2000.000.5190	97.60
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Zoom.Com 888-799-966 Melis...	06/29/2026	Chief's Zoom Account 5.2026	101.2000.000.5395	15.99
TYLER TECHNOLOGIES, INC	025-557417	07/01/2026	Payroll System Consulting 6.16...	101.1800.000.5310	290.00
OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH CEN...	104421924	07/01/2026	pre-employment screening	101.1120.000.5310	183.00
DAKOTA COUNTY TECHNICAL ...	1366946	07/01/2026	Pursuit Refresher Class & Squ...	101.2000.000.5340	700.00
DAKOTA COUNTY TECHNICAL ...	1368549	07/01/2026	Pursuit Refresher Class - Ande...	101.2000.000.5340	600.00
DAKOTA COUNTY TECHNICAL ...	1370158	07/01/2026	Pursuit Refresher Class - Lindell	101.2000.000.5340	300.00
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	182029	07/01/2026	Business cards - Keeton	101.2000.000.5105	75.00
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193815	07/01/2026	2645 Boudreau Court Property...	101.1000.000.5305	1,828.01
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193815	07/01/2026	Culvers Land Use Applications	101.5100.000.5305	577.50
CASTLE ROCK CONTRACTING ...	5013	07/01/2026	Tree clearing and grubbing - N...	101.3200.000.5415	22,125.00

Vendor Name	Payable Number	Post Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
AMERICAN LEGAL PUBLISHING...	51796	07/01/2026	Codification of Ord 1517 - 1526	101.1140.000.5310	2,427.64
CINTAS CORPORATION	5343348301	07/01/2026	Medical supplies refill - VMCC	101.4300.459.5190	76.40
MN DEPT OF LABOR & INDUS...	7/1/2026	07/01/2026	Surcharge 6.2026	101.0000.000.2207	5,764.76
MN DEPT OF LABOR & INDUS...	7/1/2026	07/01/2026	Surcharge 6.2026 - Less Amou...	101.0000.000.4300	-115.30
STERICYCLE INC	8014578642	07/01/2026	Document Shreding Services 5...	101.2000.000.5310	123.53
INNOVATIVE OFFICE SOLUTIO...	IN5148200	07/01/2026	Card Holder, Paper & Pens	101.2000.000.5105	100.15
ST. PAUL PIONEER PRESS	SPA78461	07/01/2026	Publication of Ord 1528 - State...	101.5100.000.5330	71.91
ST. PAUL PIONEER PRESS	SPA83675	07/01/2026	NoPH: New Officers Outback S...	101.1140.000.5330	20.05
ST. PAUL PIONEER PRESS	SPA83676	07/01/2026	2025 Summary Financials	101.1800.000.5330	1,482.00
ST. PAUL PIONEER PRESS	SPA83678	07/01/2026	Pub Ordinance 1527- Int Mora...	101.5100.000.5330	76.57
SAFE RESTRAINTS INC	TGP062526INHPD	07/01/2026	Demo/training Wraps, 6 ankle...	101.2000.000.5179	3,740.12
				Fund 101 - GENERAL FUND Total:	**696,909.86**
Fund: 201 - C.V.B. FUND					
RIVER HEIGHTS CHAMBER OF ...	6/12/2026	06/18/2026	Close CVB Fund-Final Payment	201.5600.000.5490	126,112.76
				Fund 201 - C.V.B. FUND Total:	**126,112.76**
Fund: 205 - COMMUNITY CENTER-OPERATING FUND					
OXYGEN SERVICE COMPANY, ...	'0008947876	06/25/2026	CO2 Rental - Pool	205.4420.000.5125	390.30
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523375	06/25/2026	Hand towels, Wax liners & Nitr...	205.4420.000.5125	303.47
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523375	06/25/2026	Hand towels, Wax liners & Nitr...	205.4430.000.5125	202.32
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523375	06/25/2026	Hand towels, Wax liners & Nitr...	205.4440.000.5125	379.34
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523375	06/25/2026	Hand towels, Wax liners & Nitr...	205.4450.481.5125	126.45
SHERWIN-WILLIAMS CO.	84076121130626	06/25/2026	Arena paint	205.4440.000.5125	29.97
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION & EQU...	46012	06/25/2026	Replace condenser disconnect...	205.4440.000.5400	380.22
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION & EQU...	46012	06/25/2026	Replace condenser disconnect...	205.4450.481.5400	126.74
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION & EQU...	46015	06/25/2026	AHU fuse replacement - Grove...	205.4420.000.5400	242.81
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION & EQU...	46015	06/25/2026	AHU fuse replacement - Grove...	205.4430.000.5400	161.88
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523466	06/25/2026	Toilet cleaner & metal cleaner...	205.4420.000.5125	39.02
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523466	06/25/2026	Toilet cleaner & metal cleaner...	205.4430.000.5125	26.01
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523466	06/25/2026	Toilet cleaner & metal cleaner...	205.4440.000.5125	48.77
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523466	06/25/2026	Toilet cleaner & metal cleaner...	205.4450.481.5125	16.26
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523467	06/25/2026	Liquid Cleanser - Arena	205.4440.000.5125	68.17
THE WORKS	071526A	06/18/2026	Kids ROCK Field Trip Entrance ...	205.4450.483.5343	945.50
TAHO SPORTSWEAR	26T03275	06/18/2026	Kids ROCK Camp T-shirts - Kids...	205.4450.483.5190	1,137.75
HENKE, LAUREL	5/27/2026	06/18/2026	Refund for Tai Chi Class	205.4430.000.4374	65.00
BRIDGET RUSSELL	Oct-26	06/18/2026	Lifeguard Instructor Training	205.4420.000.5310	400.00
APEC	126568	06/25/2026	HVAC Filters - Arena	205.4440.000.5125	396.36
APEC	126568	06/25/2026	HVAC Filters - Arena	205.4450.481.5125	132.12
LVC COMPANIES, INC.	199862	06/25/2026	Replace monitor module – spl...	205.4420.000.5400	398.24
AMERICAN RED CROSS	23322371	06/25/2026	Lifeguard Recertification (x8)	205.4420.000.5335	192.00
AMERICAN RED CROSS	23322371	06/25/2026	Lifeguard Recertification (x8)	205.4420.000.5335	192.00
DANIEL BAUER - TRUE MECH...	2941	06/25/2026	Grove Boiler Monthly Check 5...	205.4420.000.5310	243.00
DANIEL BAUER - TRUE MECH...	2941	06/25/2026	Grove Boiler Monthly Check 5...	205.4430.000.5310	162.00
DANIEL BAUER - TRUE MECH...	2950	06/25/2026	Grove Side AHU 2 repair	205.4420.000.5400	7,800.00
DANIEL BAUER - TRUE MECH...	2950	06/25/2026	Grove Side AHU 2 repair	205.4430.000.5400	5,200.00
IGH NATIONAL GUARD ARMO...	6/16/2026	06/25/2026	2026 National Guard Armory ...	205.4450.481.5430	12,000.00
HAWKINS, INC.	7451741	06/25/2026	Pool Chemicals	205.4420.000.5135	4,497.32
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	004 Hardees Eau Claire Aaron ...	06/29/2026	Meal at NARCE Conference - Fi...	205.4440.000.5340	13.38
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Ace Hardware 693 Katelyn Br...	06/29/2026	VMCC Key Copies	205.4430.000.5190	19.96
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Amazon Mark 1k2rf0ry3 Al Va...	06/29/2026	puzzles for puzzle challenge	205.4450.480.5190	261.84
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Amazon Mark Bv8o85m52 Che...	06/29/2026	Bulletin board, white board & ...	205.4450.483.5160	65.96
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Amazon Mktpl Bf0fx1xd2 Aar...	06/29/2026	Single test pressure gauge	205.4440.000.5160	60.03
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Amazon Mktpl Bj0za70d1 Mar...	06/29/2026	Indoor network cameras	205.4430.000.5160	698.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	American Red Cross Denzel Flo...	06/29/2026	Lifeguard Manuals & Books	205.4420.000.5190	170.87
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Chipotle 4889 Jamie Musc WF...	06/29/2026	Chips & Guac for staff meeting	205.4410.470.5190	25.09
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Citgo Travel Mart Defo Aaron ...	06/29/2026	Gas for NARCE Conference- Fit...	205.4440.000.5340	67.25
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Cub Foods #1639 Jamie Musc...	06/29/2026	Chips, salsa, queso & pop/wat...	205.4410.470.5190	49.99
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Delta 00624280115561 Adam ...	06/29/2026	Airfare-NPRA Conf Lares 9.20...	205.4410.470.5340	101.36
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Delta 00624285110492 Jamie...	06/29/2026	Airfare to NRPA 2026 - Muscha	205.4410.470.5340	506.81
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Hyatt Regency Milwauke Aaro...	06/29/2026	Hotel for NARCE Conference- F...	205.4440.000.5340	471.67
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Interstate All Battery Patrick T...	06/29/2026	Batteries for vmcc fire panels	205.4420.000.5125	40.39

Vendor Name	Payable Number	Post Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Interstate All Battery Patrick T…	06/29/2026	Batteries for vmcc fire panels	205.4430.000.5125	40.39
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Interstate All Battery Patrick T…	06/29/2026	Batteries for vmcc fire panels	205.4440.000.5125	40.39
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Interstate All Battery Patrick T…	06/29/2026	Batteries for vmcc fire panels	205.4450.481.5125	40.38
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Just Between Friends Chelsea …	06/29/2026	Misc toys & supplies - Kids Ro…	205.4450.483.5190	111.24
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Just Between Friends Chelsea …	06/29/2026	Misc toys & supplies - Kids Ro…	205.4450.483.5190	167.69
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Nrpa Conference Adam Lares…	06/29/2026	Registration NPRA Conf: Lares…	205.4410.470.5340	159.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Nrpa Conference Jamie Musc…	06/29/2026	NRPA 2026 Conference - Mus…	205.4410.470.5340	795.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Sams Club #4738 Denzel Flo …	06/29/2026	Food, drinks & condiments - …	205.4420.000.5190	253.06
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Savers - 1149 Denzel Flo WF 5…	06/29/2026	Swim Shorts for VAT doll	205.4420.000.5190	1.99
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Sp Freshwatersystems Rick Ro…	06/29/2026	Pool filter room connector part	205.4420.000.5125	35.84
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Sp Marine Bolt Supply Rick Ro…	06/29/2026	Washer kits for pool repairs	205.4420.000.5125	67.90
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Target T-2519 Denzel Flo WF 5…	06/29/2026	Food, condiments & cleaners -…	205.4420.000.5190	33.84
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	The Home Depot #2813 Rick …	06/29/2026	Muriatic acid for Pools	205.4420.000.5125	239.52
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	The Home Depot #2813 Rick …	06/29/2026	Soccer goal repair parts	205.4440.000.5125	280.23
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	The Home Depot #2843 Joshu…	06/29/2026	Misc supplies for Storage racks…	205.4420.000.5160	84.56
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	The Home Depot #2843 Joshu…	06/29/2026	Misc supplies for Storage racks…	205.4430.000.5160	56.38
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	The Home Depot #2843 Joshu…	06/29/2026	Storage shelves - RTUs	205.4440.000.5125	231.72
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	The Home Depot #2843 Joshu…	06/29/2026	Storage shelves - RTUs	205.4450.481.5125	77.24
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	The Home Depot #2843 Rick …	06/29/2026	Roller tray & liner	205.4440.000.5125	15.38
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	The Home Depot #2843 Rick …	06/29/2026	Sealant & Drill Bits	205.4450.481.5125	25.72
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Tst Oak Barrel Public Aaron Fit…	06/29/2026	Meal at NARCE Conference - Fi…	205.4440.000.5340	25.32
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Tst Whos On Third Aaron Fitz…	06/29/2026	Meal at NARCE Conference - Fi…	205.4440.000.5340	22.64
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	United States Plastic Rick Roa…	06/29/2026	fittings for pool filter room	205.4420.000.5125	48.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	United States Plastic Rick Roa…	06/29/2026	fittings for pool filter room	205.4420.000.5125	272.06
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Wal-Mart #5089 Al Vandeho …	06/29/2026	Puzzles & supplies for puzzle c…	205.4450.480.5190	93.04
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Wal-Mart #5089 Denzel Flo W…	06/29/2026	Binders for Lifeguard Instructo…	205.4420.000.5105	25.94
OXYGEN SERVICE COMPANY, …	0008951328	07/01/2026	CO2 Rental - VMCC Pool	205.4420.000.5125	455.26
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523564	07/01/2026	Towel Rolls, TP & Trash Bags	205.4420.000.5125	750.38
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523564	07/01/2026	Towel Rolls, TP & Trash Bags	205.4430.000.5125	500.25
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523564	07/01/2026	Towel Rolls, TP & Trash Bags	205.4440.000.5125	937.97
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523564	07/01/2026	Towel Rolls, TP & Trash Bags	205.4450.481.5125	312.66
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523652	07/01/2026	Auto scrubber repair	205.4440.000.5405	292.50
TWIN SOURCE SUPPLY	00523652	07/01/2026	Auto scrubber repair	205.4450.481.5405	97.50
STZR HOLDINGS LLC	0093405-IN	07/01/2026	Zamboni Blade Sharpening	205.4440.000.5405	40.00
ALL FLAGS, LLC	111293	07/01/2026	Nylon Flags - VMCC	205.4420.000.5190	61.21
ALL FLAGS, LLC	111293	07/01/2026	Nylon Flags - VMCC	205.4430.000.5190	40.80
ALL FLAGS, LLC	111293	07/01/2026	Nylon Flags - VMCC	205.4440.000.5190	76.51
ALL FLAGS, LLC	111293	07/01/2026	Nylon Flags - VMCC	205.4450.481.5190	25.50
MEI TOTAL ELEVATOR SOLUTI…	1193534	07/01/2026	Elevator Repairs - VMCC	205.4420.000.5400	595.37
MEI TOTAL ELEVATOR SOLUTI…	1193534	07/01/2026	Elevator Repairs - VMCC	205.4430.000.5400	396.92
MEI TOTAL ELEVATOR SOLUTI…	1193535	07/01/2026	Elevator Repairs - VMCC	205.4420.000.5400	637.50
MEI TOTAL ELEVATOR SOLUTI…	1193535	07/01/2026	Elevator Repairs - VMCC	205.4430.000.5400	425.00
MEI TOTAL ELEVATOR SOLUTI…	1193536	07/01/2026	Elevator Repairs - VMCC	205.4420.000.5400	708.85
MEI TOTAL ELEVATOR SOLUTI…	1193536	07/01/2026	Elevator Repairs - VMCC	205.4430.000.5400	472.57
PRO-TEC DESIGN INC.	124180	07/01/2026	Double door card reader repai…	205.4420.000.5400	861.00
PRO-TEC DESIGN INC.	124180	07/01/2026	Double door card reader repai…	205.4430.000.5400	574.00
PRO-TEC DESIGN INC.	124180	07/01/2026	Double door card reader repai…	205.4440.000.5400	1,076.25
PRO-TEC DESIGN INC.	124180	07/01/2026	Double door card reader repai…	205.4450.481.5400	358.75
APEC	126574	07/01/2026	HVAC Filters - VMCC	205.4440.000.5125	244.37
APEC	126574	07/01/2026	HVAC Filters - VMCC	205.4450.481.5125	81.46
CULLIGAN	157011438908x063026	07/01/2026	Softener Salt - VMCC	205.4420.000.5125	296.12
CULLIGAN	157011438908x063026	07/01/2026	Softener Salt - VMCC	205.4430.000.5125	197.41
CULLIGAN	157011438908x063026	07/01/2026	Softener Salt - VMCC	205.4440.000.5125	158.64
CULLIGAN	157011438908x063026	07/01/2026	Softener Salt - VMCC	205.4450.481.5125	52.88
FRATTALONE COMPANIES INC	2606014	07/01/2026	Pool Concrete Disposal	205.4420.000.5389	20.00
DANIEL BAUER - TRUE MECH…	2975	07/01/2026	Boiler Repair - VMCC	205.4420.000.5400	555.00
DANIEL BAUER - TRUE MECH…	2975	07/01/2026	Boiler Repair - VMCC	205.4430.000.5400	370.00
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION & EQU…	46199	07/01/2026	Emergency stop replacement -…	205.4420.000.5400	1,429.93
CINTAS CORPORATION	5343348301	07/01/2026	Medical supplies refill - VMCC	205.4410.470.5190	166.92
CINTAS CORPORATION	5343348301	07/01/2026	Medical supplies refill - VMCC	205.4430.000.5190	28.18

Vendor Name	Payable Number	Post Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
CINTAS CORPORATION	5343348301	07/01/2026	Medical supplies refill - VMCC	205.4440.000.5190	30.96
PREINER, KEN	6/15/2026	07/01/2026	Swim Lesson Refund for Grant…	205.4420.000.4374	83.00
METHANY, ANNE	6/15/2026	07/01/2026	Refund - Class Cancelled Due …	205.4430.000.4374	70.00
LEITCH, KAREN	6/17/2026	07/01/2026	Refund - Class Cancelled Due …	205.4430.000.4374	70.00
HAWKINS, INC.	7467338	07/01/2026	Hydrochloric Acid - VMCC Pool	205.4420.000.5135	2,220.73
VIKING ELECTRIC SUPPLY	S010325795.001	07/01/2026	Electrical Fuses - VMCC	205.4420.000.5125	98.24
VIKING ELECTRIC SUPPLY	S010325795.001	07/01/2026	Electrical Fuses - VMCC	205.4430.000.5125	65.50
			Fund 205 - COMMUNITY CENTER-OPERATING FUND Total:		**58,036.18**
Fund: 206 - COMMUNITY CENTER-CAPITAL FUND					
UNIQUE EDGE LLC	283	06/18/2026	Brightside Room Epoxy	206.4410.470.5605	7,702.50
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION & EQU…	46011	07/01/2026	Electrical Remodeling - VMCC …	206.4410.470.5605	23,573.87
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION & EQU…	60255058.1	07/01/2026	Brightside Room Build Out-1st…	206.4410.470.5605	88,650.00
			Fund 206 - COMMUNITY CENTER-CAPITAL FUND Total:		**119,926.37**
Fund: 290 - EDA-OPERATING FUND					
BOLTON & MENK, INC.	0395911	06/18/2026	MN HHOF Prelim Design & Util..	290.5800.000.5310	172,281.00
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193815	07/01/2026	MN Hockey Hall of Fame Real …	290.5800.000.5305	1,348.50
ALLIANT ENGINEERING, INC.	85013	07/01/2026	Survey & Platting: Hwy 52 & B…	290.5800.000.5310	585.00
ALLIANT ENGINEERING, INC.	85481	07/01/2026	Survey & Platting: Hwy 52 & B…	290.5800.000.5310	1,018.75
			Fund 290 - EDA-OPERATING FUND Total:		**175,233.25**
Fund: 401 - PARK CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND					
MCNAMARA CONTRACTING I…	5/31/2026	06/18/2026	2026 PMI Construction (Salem…	401.4210.000.5610	2,137.50
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	508378	07/01/2026	2613 PMI - Const Admin	401.4210.000.5310	12.26
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	510421	07/01/2026	2613 PMI - Const Admin 5.20…	401.4210.000.5310	230.66
			Fund 401 - PARK CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND Total:		**2,380.42**
Fund: 402 - PARK ACQUISITION & DEVELOPMENT FUND					
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193817	07/01/2026	Communication with Weber r…	402.4210.000.5305	52.50
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193817	07/01/2026	Park acquisition corresponden…	402.4210.000.5305	25.50
			Fund 402 - PARK ACQUISITION & DEVELOPMENT FUND Total:		**78.00**
Fund: 436 - 117TH STREET RECONSTRUCTION					
UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD	90163844	07/01/2026	117th Street - UP Rail Crossing…	436.3210.000.5310	5,436.56
			Fund 436 - 117TH STREET RECONSTRUCTION Total:		**5,436.56**
Fund: 437 - PW FACILITY REMODEL/EXPANSION					
BOLTON & MENK, INC.	0397939	06/18/2026	Maint. Facility Platting - BMI	437.0000.000.5310	1,780.00
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193813	06/18/2026	Legal Services (Site Titles) 5.2…	437.0000.000.5305	1,419.00
			Fund 437 - PW FACILITY REMODEL/EXPANSION Total:		**3,199.00**
Fund: 440 - PAVEMENT MGMT-LOCAL STREETS					
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	Project Mailings	440.3210.000.5325	176.02
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	Project Mailings	440.3210.000.5325	125.84
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	Project Mailings	440.3210.000.5325	73.89
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	Project Mailings	440.3210.000.5325	3.53
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	Project Mailings	440.3210.000.5325	22.44
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	Project Mailings	440.3210.000.5325	1.77
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	Project Mailings	440.3210.000.5325	29.00
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	Project Mailings	440.3210.000.5325	32.03
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	Project Mailings	440.3210.000.5325	4.79
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	Project Mailings	440.3210.000.5325	34.55
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	Project Mailings	440.3210.000.5325	16.14
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	Project Mailings	440.3210.000.5325	8.07
FIRST IMPRESSION GROUP	181067	06/18/2026	Project Mailings	440.3210.000.5325	18.66
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193813	06/18/2026	Groveland Park Legal Services…	440.3210.000.5305	453.75
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193813	06/18/2026	Blackberry South Legal Service…	440.3210.000.5305	82.50
MCNAMARA CONTRACTING I…	5/31/2026	06/18/2026	2026 PMI Construction (Alme…	440.3210.000.5610	658,302.59
MCNAMARA CONTRACTING I…	5/31/2026	06/18/2026	2026 PMI Construction (52nd …	440.3210.000.5610	427.49
MCNAMARA CONTRACTING I…	5/31/2026	06/18/2026	2026 PMI Construction (Audre…	440.3210.000.5610	1,710.00
MCNAMARA CONTRACTING I…	5/31/2026	06/18/2026	2026 PMI Construction (85th S..	440.3210.000.5610	15,122.10
MCNAMARA CONTRACTING I…	5/31/2026	06/18/2026	2026 PMI Construction (S Blac…	440.3210.000.5610	25,426.56
MCNAMARA CONTRACTING I…	5/31/2026	06/18/2026	2026 PMI Construction (Alta A…	440.3210.000.5610	44,700.59
MCNAMARA CONTRACTING I…	5/31/2026	06/18/2026	2026 PMI Construction (Angus…	440.3210.000.5610	166,336.34

Vendor Name	Payable Number	Post Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	510419	06/18/2026	2026 PMI Final Design & Supp...	440.3210.000.5310	6,815.63
WSB & ASSOCIATES, INC.	R-036242-000-1	06/18/2026	Construction Materials Testing	440.3210.000.5310	2,396.29
WSB & ASSOCIATES, INC.	R-036243-000-1	06/18/2026	Construction Materials Testing..	440.3210.000.5310	811.13
WSB & ASSOCIATES, INC.	R-036249-000-1	06/18/2026	2026 PMI Construction Testing..	440.3210.000.5310	7,363.91
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	508378	07/01/2026	2609D PMI - Const Admin	440.3210.000.5310	2.46
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	508378	07/01/2026	2609F PMI - Const Admin	440.3210.000.5310	256.41
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	508378	07/01/2026	2609E PMI - Const Admin	440.3210.000.5310	954.31
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	508378	07/01/2026	2609L PMI - Const Admin	440.3210.000.5310	3,776.15
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	508378	07/01/2026	2609G PMI - Const Admin	440.3210.000.5310	9.81
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	508378	07/01/2026	2609K PMI - Const Admin	440.3210.000.5310	86.74
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	508378	07/01/2026	2609I PMI - Const Admin	440.3210.000.5310	145.85
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	510421	07/01/2026	2609D PMI - Const Admin 5.2...	440.3210.000.5310	46.13
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	510421	07/01/2026	2609K PMI - Const Admin 5.2...	440.3210.000.5310	1,631.88
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	510421	07/01/2026	2609I PMI - Const Admin 5.20...	440.3210.000.5310	2,743.87
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	510421	07/01/2026	2609F PMI - Const Admin 5.20...	440.3210.000.5310	4,823.79
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	510421	07/01/2026	2609G PMI - Const Admin 5.2...	440.3210.000.5310	184.53
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	510421	07/01/2026	2609L PMI - Const Admin 5.20...	440.3210.000.5310	70,939.69
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	510421	07/01/2026	2609E PMI - Const Admin 5.2...	440.3210.000.5310	17,953.16
			Fund 440 - PAVEMENT MGMT-LOCAL STREETS Total:		**1,034,050.39**
Fund: 441 - PAVEMENT MGMT-PARTNERSHIP PROJECTS					
SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON..	510146	06/18/2026	Babcock Trail Construction A...	441.3220.000.5310	1,744.23
KIMLEY-HORN & ASSOCIATES, ...	35950174A	07/01/2026	Realignment Study (80th St) 5...	441.3220.000.5310	72,275.35
			Fund 441 - PAVEMENT MGMT-PARTNERSHIP PROJECTS Total:		**74,019.58**
Fund: 443 - TREE REPLACEMENT FUND					
GERTENS	182048/6	06/18/2026	Purchased Trees (Preservation...	443.4210.000.5190	2,790.00
			Fund 443 - TREE REPLACEMENT FUND Total:		**2,790.00**
Fund: 510 - WATER-OPERATING FUND					
MN GLOVE & SAFETY, INC.	357307	06/25/2026	New Jacket - Mckenzie	510.7100.000.5175	209.99
HACH COMPANY	15030046	06/18/2026	Flat Washers - Water Plant Re...	510.7100.000.5125	8.50
MN DEPT OF HEALTH	6/10/2026	06/18/2026	2026 2nd Qtr Water Test Fee	510.0000.000.2207	33,206.00
USABLUEBOOK	INV01071255	06/18/2026	Chlorine & Fluoride Testing Kits	510.7100.000.5125	1,331.25
DEZURIK, INC	INV311858	06/18/2026	Well #5 Air Relief	510.7100.000.5405	1,356.00
FERGUSON WATERWORKS	0566746	06/25/2026	New water line locator	510.7100.000.5160	4,966.00
HACH COMPANY	15035556	06/25/2026	Sample glass container - Wate...	510.7100.000.5125	9.95
LION'S SHARE MAINTENANCE	6/8/2026	06/25/2026	Permit #2605-Less Sales Tax	510.0000.000.2205	-187.25
LION'S SHARE MAINTENANCE	6/8/2026	06/25/2026	Permit #2605-Original Deposit	510.0000.000.2350	2,500.00
LION'S SHARE MAINTENANCE	6/8/2026	06/25/2026	Permit #2605-Less Water Usa...	510.7100.000.4720	-2,304.60
HAWKINS, INC.	7456658	06/25/2026	Chemicals - Water Plant	510.7100.000.5135	31,133.70
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	2026-2027 Public Works Public..	06/29/2026	Water school - Olson	510.7100.000.5340	781.41
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Sensaphone Scott Guba WF 5...	06/29/2026	back up dialer subscription re...	510.7100.000.5335	299.40
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	The Home Depot #2843 Scott...	06/29/2026	truck (#411) tool holder	510.7100.000.5125	136.37
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Tractor-Supply-Co #019 Scott ...	06/29/2026	Work pants & shirts - Olson	510.7100.000.5175	163.98
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON	26552	07/01/2026	Bacteria Samples 5.2026	510.7100.000.5310	560.00
GOODIN COMPANY	2730268-00	07/01/2026	Plumbing Parts - Water Plant	510.7100.000.5125	1,165.17
FIRST SUPPLY LLC	3921339-00	07/01/2026	Couplings - water plant	510.7100.000.5125	78.57
FIRST SUPPLY LLC	3921353-00	07/01/2026	Reaming pen	510.7100.000.5160	9.97
HAWKINS, INC.	7473271	07/01/2026	Chlorine - Water Plant	510.7100.000.5135	2,019.20
WALKER LAWN CARE, INC.	9741	07/01/2026	Water Main Break Repair	510.7100.000.5415	4,711.00
LONE OAK COMPANIES	99521	07/01/2026	6.2026 UB Delinquent Notices ..	510.7100.000.5310	140.00
LONE OAK COMPANIES	99532	07/01/2026	6.2026 UB Billing (50%)	510.7100.000.5310	357.17
USABLUEBOOK	INV01086897	07/01/2026	Gas cartridges, Mask respirato...	510.7100.000.5125	492.46
CORE & MAIN LP	J000026791	07/01/2026	Water Meter Retrofit Kit	510.7100.000.5158	1,069.85
			Fund 510 - WATER-OPERATING FUND Total:		**84,214.09**
Fund: 520 - SEWER-OPERATING FUND					
LONE OAK COMPANIES	99521	07/01/2026	6.2026 UB Delinquent Notices ..	520.7200.000.5310	140.00
LONE OAK COMPANIES	99532	07/01/2026	6.2026 UB Billing (50%)	520.7200.000.5310	357.18
			Fund 520 - SEWER-OPERATING FUND Total:		**497.18**
Fund: 530 - STORMWATER-OPERATING FUND					
SAVATREE	'001914065	06/25/2026	Arbor Balance Treatment	530.7300.000.5310	1,102.00

Vendor Name	Payable Number	Post Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
SAVATREE	'001914771	06/25/2026	Systemic Soil Treatment	530.7300.000.5310	435.00
SAVATREE	'001915531	06/25/2026	Fertilization treatment	530.7300.000.5310	432.00
PRAIRIE RESTORATIONS, INC.	INV-019347	06/25/2026	Site Mowing 6.5.2026 - Prairie…	530.7300.000.5310	430.00
TYLER TECHNOLOGIES, INC	025-556093	06/18/2026	Stormwater Rate Structure Ch…	530.7300.000.5310	725.00
ADVANCED ENGINEERING AN…	111597	06/18/2026	Stormwater Utility Rate Study…	530.7300.000.5310	17,957.50
SHAKOPEE MDEWAKANTON S…	4189	06/18/2026	Tub grinding pile of felled trees	530.7300.000.5310	12,640.00
GERTENS	834452/1	06/18/2026	Shovel & Trowels (2)	530.7300.000.5160	47.85
ST. PAUL PIONEER PRESS	SPA78462	06/18/2026	Summary Publication of Ord 1…	530.7300.000.5310	40.66
			Fund 530 - STORMWATER-OPERATING FUND Total:		**33,810.01**
Fund: 531 - STORMWATER-CAPITAL FUND					
PEMBER COMPANIES, INC.	6/25/2026	07/01/2026	64th St Outfall-Final Pay Vouc…	531.7310.000.5420	101,154.04
BRAUN INTERTEC CORPORATI…	IN1018236	07/01/2026	Construction Testing - 64th St…	531.7310.000.5310	938.00
			Fund 531 - STORMWATER-CAPITAL FUND Total:		**102,092.04**
Fund: 550 - GOLF COURSE-OPERATING FUND					
MTI DISTRIBUTING CO	1522495-00	06/25/2026	Low pressure Irrigation soleno…	550.7700.000.5125	185.77
SHAMROCK GROUP	262-00002	06/25/2026	Ice for beverage resales 6.9.2…	550.7600.000.5197	277.82
PHILLIPS WINE & SPIRITS	5187919	06/25/2026	Seltzers for resale	550.7600.000.5199	661.70
TITLEIST	923396594	06/25/2026	Golf balls for resale	550.7600.000.5195	317.64
SHAMROCK GROUP	181-02369	06/25/2026	Ice for beverage resales 6.16.…	550.7600.000.5197	219.55
PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP …	525863	06/25/2026	Trash bags, towels & tissues	550.7600.000.5190	241.13
PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP …	525863	06/25/2026	food & trays for food resales	550.7600.000.5197	1,263.92
DRAFT TECHNOLOGIES	06102602	06/18/2026	beer line cleaning	550.7600.000.5405	85.00
COLLEGE CITY BEVERAGE	1267818	06/18/2026	beer order for resale	550.7600.000.5199	463.70
UNIFIRST CORPORATION	1410235706	06/18/2026	Uniforms & towels laundry - …	550.7700.000.5175	58.41
MTI DISTRIBUTING CO	1521947-00	06/18/2026	Turf guard & V-belt to repair …	550.7700.000.5125	264.20
MN GOLF ASSOCIATION, INC.	260189	06/18/2026	usga handicap fees	550.7600.000.5488	8,580.00
M. AMUNDSON LLP	425255	06/18/2026	candy & snacks order for resale	550.7600.000.5197	406.56
PHILLIPS WINE & SPIRITS	5191805	06/25/2026	Alcohol for resale	550.7600.000.5199	493.85
PHILLIPS WINE & SPIRITS	5192148	06/25/2026	Alcohol for resale	550.7600.000.5199	250.80
PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP …	522246	06/18/2026	food order for resale	550.7600.000.5197	1,383.89
PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP …	522259	06/18/2026	food order for resale	550.7600.000.5197	63.66
COCA COLA BOTTLING COMP…	52772992015	06/18/2026	Pop order for resale	550.7600.000.5197	1,840.47
COCA COLA BOTTLING COMP…	52883253021	06/18/2026	CO2 tank return	550.7600.000.5197	-130.00
COCA COLA BOTTLING COMP…	52883253022	06/18/2026	Pop order for resale	550.7600.000.5197	1,910.47
DENNY'S 5TH AVENUE BAKERY	69150	06/18/2026	bread order for resale 6.11.20…	550.7600.000.5197	117.88
DENNY'S 5TH AVENUE BAKERY	69345	06/18/2026	bread order for resale 6.13.20…	550.7600.000.5197	149.38
DENNY'S 5TH AVENUE BAKERY	69346	06/18/2026	bakery order for resale 6.16.2…	550.7600.000.5197	126.88
DENNY'S 5TH AVENUE BAKERY	69347	06/18/2026	bread order for resale 6.18.20…	550.7600.000.5197	117.88
TITLEIST	922185827	06/18/2026	golf balls for resale	550.7600.000.5195	448.44
TITLEIST	923244783	06/18/2026	golf balls for resale	550.7600.000.5195	79.41
COPY RIGHT	99616	06/18/2026	GC Score Cards (x500)	550.7600.000.5190	177.55
MANSFIELD SERVICE PARTNE…	IN-00403098	06/18/2026	golf cart fuel	550.7600.000.5130	1,516.81
SHAMROCK GROUP	255-00082	06/25/2026	Ice for beverage resales 6.19.…	550.7600.000.5197	143.70
PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP …	528823	06/25/2026	food for resale	550.7600.000.5197	806.45
COLLEGE CITY BEVERAGE	1270641	06/25/2026	Beer for resale	550.7600.000.5199	367.85
COLLEGE CITY BEVERAGE	1272753	06/25/2026	Beer for resale	550.7600.000.5199	313.35
COLLEGE CITY BEVERAGE	1272754	06/25/2026	Seltzers for resale	550.7600.000.5199	547.00
BREAKTHRU BEVERAGE MINN…	127446847	06/25/2026	Beer for resale	550.7600.000.5199	448.20
BREAKTHRU BEVERAGE MINN…	127552013	06/25/2026	Beer for resale	550.7600.000.5199	617.30
BREAKTHRU BEVERAGE MINN…	127552749	06/25/2026	Beer for resale	550.7600.000.5199	352.00
ARTISAN BEER COMPANY	3864127	06/25/2026	Beer for resale	550.7600.000.5199	33.85
ARTISAN BEER COMPANY	3864128	06/25/2026	Beer for resale	550.7600.000.5199	216.95
ARTISAN BEER COMPANY	3866066	06/25/2026	Beer for resale	550.7600.000.5199	493.90
M. AMUNDSON LLP	425698	06/25/2026	candy order for resale	550.7600.000.5197	281.58
CINTAS CORPORATION	5342551311	06/25/2026	First aid supplies - GC	550.7600.000.5190	80.48
HEGGIES PIZZA	602295	06/25/2026	Pizzas for resale	550.7600.000.5197	123.50
DENNY'S 5TH AVENUE BAKERY	69777	06/25/2026	Bread order for resale	550.7600.000.5197	144.88
DENNY'S 5TH AVENUE BAKERY	69903	06/25/2026	bread for resale	550.7600.000.5197	117.88
DENNY'S 5TH AVENUE BAKERY	69966	06/25/2026	Bread for resale	550.7600.000.5197	117.88
MOR GOLF & UTILITY, INC.	INV0242147	06/25/2026	golf cart scorecard clips	550.7600.000.5125	85.07

Vendor Name	Payable Number	Post Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Ace Hardware 693 Matt Moyni…	06/29/2026	keys for padlocks and doors	550.7600.000.5190	53.95
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktpl Bv5ov6te1 Leo…	06/29/2026	Laminating Pouches	550.7600.000.5105	22.41
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktpl X055h7i23 Leo…	06/29/2026	Adding Machine Tape	550.7600.000.5105	18.91
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Delta 00624280115561 Adam …	06/29/2026	Airfare-NPRA Conf Lares 9.20…	550.7600.000.5340	50.68
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Fls Banners Leon Otnes WF 5/…	06/29/2026	Handicap Flags	550.7600.000.5190	399.37
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Harbor Freight Tools 6 Timoth…	06/29/2026	misc shop supplies	550.7700.000.5125	102.30
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Harbor Freight Tools 6 Timoth…	06/29/2026	Pliers & Wrenches	550.7700.000.5160	38.13
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Kwik Trip #662 Matt Moyni W…	06/29/2026	hot dog buns for resale	550.7600.000.5197	6.66
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Menards St. Paul Midwa Joel …	06/29/2026	Totes, wall mounts & reflectiv…	550.7700.000.5125	142.87
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Menards.Com Joel Metz WF 5…	06/29/2026	blocks for tee box signs & tras…	550.7700.000.5125	905.04
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Nicollet Ace Hardware Timoth…	06/29/2026	misc nuts and bolts	550.7700.000.5125	9.31
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Nicollet Ace Hardware Timoth…	06/29/2026	Wrenches	550.7700.000.5160	30.51
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Nrpa Conference Adam Lares…	06/29/2026	Registration NPRA Conf: Lares…	550.7600.000.5340	79.50
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Sams Club #4738 Matt Moyni…	06/29/2026	coffee cups for beverage resal…	550.7600.000.5190	100.99
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Sams Club #4738 Matt Moyni…	06/29/2026	candy, breakfast sandwiches &…	550.7600.000.5197	102.10
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Sams Club #4738 Matt Moyni…	06/29/2026	muffins and breakfast sandwi…	550.7600.000.5197	29.42
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Sams Club #4738 Matt Moyni…	06/29/2026	Breakfast sandwiches and muf…	550.7600.000.5197	43.40
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Samsclub #4738 Matt Moyni …	06/29/2026	Tape, post-its & printing paper	550.7600.000.5105	98.72
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Samsclub #4738 Matt Moyni …	06/29/2026	Candy & jerky for resale	550.7600.000.5197	325.49
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Samsclub #4738 Matt Moyni …	06/29/2026	hot dogs for resale	550.7600.000.5197	81.54
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Samsclub #4738 Matt Moyni …	06/29/2026	breakfast sandwiches & zip lo…	550.7600.000.5197	75.25
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Target T-2519 Matt Moyni WF…	06/29/2026	non-stick spray	550.7600.000.5197	3.19
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	The Home Depot #2843 Leon …	06/29/2026	Water Softener Salt	550.7600.000.5125	35.81
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	The Home Depot #2843 Leon …	06/29/2026	Water Softener Salt Sale	550.7600.000.5125	26.86
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Tri-State Bobcat Timothy La …	06/29/2026	string trimmer parts	550.7700.000.5125	80.90
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Wal-Mart #1786 Matt Moyni…	06/29/2026	microfiber towels	550.7600.000.5125	17.17
HERITAGE LANDSCAPE SUPPLY…	0026752357-001	07/01/2026	fertilizer	550.7700.000.5135	37.78
UNIFIRST CORPORATION	1410237383	07/01/2026	uniform & towel laundry	550.7700.000.5175	58.42
UNIFIRST CORPORATION	1410237392	07/01/2026	towel & mat laundry	550.7600.000.5430	76.02
SMOOT ENTERPRISES ADVAN…	36860	07/01/2026	staff uniforms	550.7700.000.5175	918.00
ARTISAN BEER COMPANY	3858248	07/01/2026	Beer for resale	550.7600.000.5199	553.90
ARTISAN BEER COMPANY	3858511	07/01/2026	Beer for resale	550.7600.000.5199	373.90
PHILLIPS WINE & SPIRITS	5176861	07/01/2026	seltzer & alcohol for resale	550.7600.000.5199	1,040.19
PHILLIPS WINE & SPIRITS	5195610	07/01/2026	Beer for resale	550.7600.000.5199	478.28
COCA COLA BOTTLING COMP…	52997599012	07/01/2026	CO2 tank exchange	550.7600.000.5197	-130.00
COCA COLA BOTTLING COMP…	52997599013	07/01/2026	Pop order for resale	550.7600.000.5197	1,101.74
DENNY'S 5TH AVENUE BAKERY	70153	07/01/2026	Bread for resale	550.7600.000.5197	144.88
DENNY'S 5TH AVENUE BAKERY	70260	07/01/2026	bread for resale	550.7600.000.5197	117.88
BIG TOP RENTAL, INC.	87875	07/01/2026	GC portable bathroom rentals…	550.7700.000.5430	194.63
			Fund 550 - GOLF COURSE-OPERATING FUND Total:		**34,710.69**
Fund: 603 - CENTRAL EQUIPMENT					
NUSS TRUCK AND EQUIPMENT	PSO314619-1	06/25/2026	Loader (#333) grease hose	603.8300.000.5125	191.75
NORTH AMERICAN TRAILER S…	030004267597	06/18/2026	Fire truck (#L13) batteries	603.8300.000.5125	917.64
NORTH AMERICAN TRAILER S…	030004267609	06/18/2026	Fire truck (#L13) batteries	603.8300.000.5125	21.30
KREMER SERVICES LLC	102024	06/18/2026	Fire truck (#L13) rear spring r…	603.8300.000.5410	4,627.74
KIMBALL MIDWEST	104562921	06/18/2026	Hyd pressure gauge	603.8300.000.5125	81.79
FACTORY MOTOR PARTS COM…	1-11813460	06/18/2026	Fuel Filters	603.8300.000.5125	45.17
MTI DISTRIBUTING CO	1521552-01	06/25/2026	Mower (#530) horn	603.8300.000.5125	186.10
CFA SOFTWARE INC	15756	06/18/2026	CFA Software Annual Maint./S…	603.8300.000.5335	2,995.00
LIBERTY TIRE RECYCLING, LLC	3257245	06/18/2026	Tire Recycling	603.8300.000.5389	574.25
LITTLE FALLS MACHINE INC	376904	06/18/2026	Volvo loader (#306) plow & fr…	603.8300.000.5125	9,182.82
PUMP AND METER SERVICE I…	4006140	06/18/2026	Fuel pump hose clamps	603.8300.000.5125	57.26
CINTAS CORPORATION	4271779724	06/18/2026	Rug Rental - PW	603.8300.000.5430	243.72
CINTAS CORPORATION	4271779778	06/18/2026	Uniforms Laundry - Mechanics	603.8300.000.5175	40.12
CINTAS CORPORATION	4271779778	06/18/2026	Shop rentals	603.8300.000.5430	56.04
INVER GROVE FORD	5368857	06/18/2026	F250 (#411) fuel filler neck	603.8300.000.5125	217.58
INVER GROVE FORD	5369020	06/18/2026	Fire truck (#E21) intake hose a…	603.8300.000.5125	240.35
NORTHERN SAFETY TECHNOL…	61028	06/18/2026	Squad car (#27) outfitting	603.8300.000.5621	7,323.16
NORTHERN SAFETY TECHNOL…	61032	06/18/2026	Squad car (#2529) power swit…	603.8300.000.5125	80.45
NORTHERN SAFETY TECHNOL…	61035	06/18/2026	Squad Car (#2026) Equinox Ou…	603.8300.000.5621	1,448.35

Vendor Name	Payable Number	Post Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
FACTORY MOTOR PARTS COM...	74-387279	06/18/2026	Stock Filters	603.8300.000.5125	29.85
TOWMASTER TRAILERS INC	90007893	06/18/2026	Dump truck (#304) box cylinder	603.8300.000.5125	1,916.39
POMP'S TIRE SERVICE, INC.	980149660	06/25/2026	Fire truck (#L13) front tire rep...	603.8300.000.5410	2,732.34
MACQUEEN EMERGENCY GR...	INV2471	06/18/2026	Sweeper (#325) Actuator	603.8300.000.5125	1,304.97
TRI-STATE BOBCAT INC.	P61224	06/18/2026	Toolcat (#332) mower parts	603.8300.000.5125	293.57
NUSS TRUCK AND EQUIPMENT	PSO313089-1	06/18/2026	Dump truck (#324) antenna	603.8300.000.5125	12.21
NUSS TRUCK AND EQUIPMENT	PSO313089-2	06/18/2026	Dump Truck (#324) Antenna	603.8300.000.5125	85.30
NUSS TRUCK AND EQUIPMENT	PSO313246-1	06/18/2026	Belt & Tensioner - Vehicle #319	603.8300.000.5125	431.81
NORTH AMERICAN TRAILER S...	'030004268440	06/25/2026	Fire truck (#E17) batteries	603.8300.000.5125	917.64
MTI DISTRIBUTING CO	1525174-00	06/25/2026	Mower (#531) deck switch sen...	603.8300.000.5125	293.28
TRI-STATE BOBCAT INC.	P61755	06/25/2026	Toolcat (#521) Hyd Hoses	603.8300.000.5125	316.08
TRI-STATE BOBCAT INC.	P61756	06/25/2026	Toolcat (#521) air filters	603.8300.000.5125	178.00
HOSE / CONVEYORS INC	00119206	06/25/2026	Sweeper (#325) wash hose	603.8300.000.5125	156.49
ANCOM COMMUNICATIONS, ...	133823	06/25/2026	Fire truck (#T34) radio repairs	603.8300.000.5410	1,314.00
CINTAS CORPORATION	4272549224	06/25/2026	Uniform laundry - mechanics	603.8300.000.5175	81.53
CINTAS CORPORATION	4272549224	06/25/2026	shop rentals	603.8300.000.5430	69.34
INVER GROVE FORD	5369183	06/25/2026	FD squad car (#S2) air filter	603.8300.000.5125	18.33
MACQUEEN EMERGENCY GR...	INV3012	06/25/2026	Fire truck (#T26) front inlet pr...	603.8300.000.5410	484.23
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Amazon Mark 696p422i3 Rick ...	06/29/2026	Box of ear plugs - Shop Suppli...	603.8300.000.5175	48.24
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Amazon Mark Bf9ta6xl0 Rick J...	06/29/2026	Smoke fluid	603.8300.000.5125	16.21
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Amazon Mark Bf9ta6xl0 Rick J...	06/29/2026	Smoke machine leak detector	603.8300.000.5160	190.43
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Amazon Mark Bj23h6pl1 Rick ...	06/29/2026	Key tags - shop supplies	603.8300.000.5190	23.98
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Amazon Mark Bj68k5py1 Rick ...	06/29/2026	Fire brush truck (#B13) exhaus...	603.8300.000.5125	19.48
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Amazon Mark Oy2gf42l3 Rick ...	06/29/2026	ball drag machine (#569) O2 s...	603.8300.000.5125	70.14
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Amazon.Com D35ds7b23 Rick ...	06/29/2026	Drinking fountain aerator	603.8300.000.5125	51.77
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Oreilly 1767 Rick Jacks WF 5/26	06/29/2026	ball drag machine (#569) spark...	603.8300.000.5125	17.16
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Oreilly 1767 Rick Jacks WF 5/26	06/29/2026	Blacktop truck (#302) headlight	603.8300.000.5125	9.01
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Oreilly 1767 Rick Jacks WF 5/26	06/29/2026	Fire truck (#E21) engine oil	603.8300.000.5125	19.99
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Oreilly 1767 Rick Jacks WF 5/26	06/29/2026	Mower (#533) Hyd Oil	603.8300.000.5125	41.94
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Oreilly 1767 Rick Jacks WF 5/26	06/29/2026	Stock oil filters	603.8300.000.5125	52.90
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Oreilly 1767 Rick Jacks WF 5/26	06/29/2026	F250 (#411) muffler clamp	603.8300.000.5125	47.82
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Oreilly 1767 Rick Jacks WF 5/26	06/29/2026	Paver (#336) Hyd filter	603.8300.000.5125	66.26
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Oreilly 1767 Rick Jacks WF 5/26	06/29/2026	Roadlazer painter (#334) batte...	603.8300.000.5125	55.17
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Oreilly 1767 Rick Jacks WF 5/26	06/29/2026	Unmarked police squad (#180...	603.8300.000.5125	307.22
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Oreilly 1767 Rick Jacks WF 5/26	06/29/2026	Hyd fittings	603.8300.000.5125	67.04
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Oreilly 1767 Rick Jacks WF 5/26	06/29/2026	Battery charger for Police spe...	603.8300.000.5125	44.99
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	Oreilly 1767 Rick Jacks WF 5/26	06/29/2026	Paver (#336) Hyd filter	603.8300.000.5125	59.82
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	The Corner Store Joe Hawkin...	06/29/2026	Non Oxy fuel	603.8300.000.5130	167.57
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	The Corner Store Joe Hawkin...	06/29/2026	non oxy fuel	603.8300.000.5130	140.28
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	The Corner Store Joe Hawkin...	06/29/2026	Non Oxy fuel	603.8300.000.5130	175.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A...	The Home Depot #2843 Rick J...	06/29/2026	Tractor (#522) metal for moun...	603.8300.000.5125	23.92
METRO JANITORIAL SUPPLY I...	11016204	07/01/2026	Glass & surface cleaners	603.8300.000.5125	233.47
CUSTOM HOSE TECH	131395	07/01/2026	Fire truck (#E17) coolant hose	603.8300.000.5125	79.12
FACTORY MOTOR PARTS COM...	1-Z39907	07/01/2026	Nitrile gloves	603.8300.000.5125	131.90
FACTORY MOTOR PARTS COM...	1-Z39908	07/01/2026	Stock battery	603.8300.000.5125	206.96
MANSFIELD OIL COMPANY	28079362	07/01/2026	Unleaded Fuel	603.8300.000.5130	5,973.32
LITTLE FALLS MACHINE INC	376934	07/01/2026	Volvo loader plow pins	603.8300.000.5125	115.88
INVER GROVE FORD	5369416	07/01/2026	F550 (#330) belt & tensioner	603.8300.000.5125	223.45
INVER GROVE FORD	6475175	07/01/2026	F550 (#318) Gas Engine Repairs	603.8300.000.5410	5,727.58
MACQUEEN EQUIPMENT INC	INV4153	07/01/2026	Radiator Cap - Fire Truck (#E1...	603.8300.000.5125	12.15
MACQUEEN EMERGENCY GR...	INV4308	07/01/2026	Fire truck (#L13) step lights	603.8300.000.5125	37.58
			Fund 603 - CENTRAL EQUIPMENT Total:		**53,623.70**

Fund: 605 - CITY FACILITIES

Vendor Name	Payable Number	Post Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
PLUNKETT'S PEST CONTROL	10597698	06/25/2026	Pest control services - Fire Stat...	605.8500.000.5310	50.00
OVERHEAD DOOR CO OF THE ...	144014	06/25/2026	PW garage door repair	605.8500.000.5400	2,190.00
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION & EQU...	46013	06/25/2026	Power & control cord replace...	605.8500.000.5400	1,395.79
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION & EQU...	46014	06/25/2026	Breaker Replace for Heat pum...	605.8500.000.5400	5,211.36
DANIEL BAUER - TRUE MECH...	2958	06/25/2026	FS #1 HVAC Repair	605.8500.000.5400	275.00
CINTAS CORPORATION	4272213267	06/25/2026	CH Rug Rentals	605.8500.000.5430	184.69
HILLYARD INC	90186695	06/25/2026	CH- Janitorial Supplies	605.8500.000.5125	601.50

Vendor Name	Payable Number	Post Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
MN DEPT OF LABOR & INDUS…	ABR0375120X	06/25/2026	PW annual pressure vessel	605.8500.000.5335	25.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktpl H489w1ar3 Pat…	06/29/2026	Tabs for lawnmower wheels	605.8500.000.5125	13.20
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Chick-Fil-A #03603 Aaron Fitz…	06/29/2026	Dennis retirement lunch	605.8500.000.5190	100.89
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Delta 00624280115561 Adam …	06/29/2026	Airfare-NPRA Conf Lares 9.20…	605.8500.000.5340	50.68
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Hyatt Regency Milwauke Aaro…	06/29/2026	Hotel for NARCE Conference- F…	605.8500.000.5340	471.67
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Interstate All Battery Patrick T…	06/29/2026	Batteries for city hall fire and …	605.8500.000.5125	143.60
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Nrpa Conference Adam Lares…	06/29/2026	Registration NPRA Conf: Lares…	605.8500.000.5340	79.50
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	The Home Depot #2843 Patric…	06/29/2026	Filters for golf course	605.8500.000.5125	61.08
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	The Home Depot #2843 Patric…	06/29/2026	Paper towel dispenser batteri…	605.8500.000.5125	33.81
ALL FLAGS, LLC	111293	07/01/2026	City FAC Flags	605.8500.000.5190	795.51
APEC	126579	07/01/2026	HVAC Filters - Fire Station #2	605.8500.000.5125	128.04
ULINE	209814568	07/01/2026	Crowd control posts w/retract…	605.8500.000.5160	1,137.64
DANIEL BAUER - TRUE MECH…	2948	07/01/2026	HVAC Repairs - Fire Station #2	605.8500.000.5400	548.08
DANIEL BAUER - TRUE MECH…	2990	07/01/2026	HVAC Repair - City Hall	605.8500.000.5400	350.00
CINTAS CORPORATION	4273685486	07/01/2026	Rug Rentals - City Hall	605.8500.000.5430	184.69
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION & EQU…	46198	07/01/2026	Fix 2 garage fixtures - Fire Stat…	605.8500.000.5400	233.09
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION & EQU…	46201	07/01/2026	GFCI Outlet Replacement - PD	605.8500.000.5400	266.87
CINTAS CORPORATION	5343348302	07/01/2026	First Aid Supplies Refill - City …	605.8500.000.5190	146.79
COLUMBIA WINDOW FILM & …	54722	07/01/2026	PD window frosting	605.8500.000.5400	530.00
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION & EQU…	60255052.1	07/01/2026	PD Office Build Out-1st & Final…	605.8500.000.5605	69,046.00
HILLYARD INC	90196754	07/01/2026	Trash bags & towel rolls	605.8500.000.5125	509.61
				Fund 605 - CITY FACILITIES Total:	**84,764.09**
Fund: 606 - TECHNOLOGY FUND					
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mark Bf3k120i2 Marc…	06/29/2026	Charging cables & Power Cords	606.8600.000.5160	57.46
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mark Bf64a5j92 Marc…	06/29/2026	Docking station and laptop st…	606.8600.000.5160	167.08
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mark Bj8ea12r1 Marc…	06/29/2026	Monitor mounts	606.8600.000.5160	164.45
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Amazon Mktpl Nz1um2bt3 M…	06/29/2026	Camera Replacement	606.8600.000.5160	636.10
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Duo Com Marc Gade WF 5/26	06/29/2026	2FA Software 6.2026	606.8600.000.5395	240.00
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Microsoft-G155446408 Marc …	06/29/2026	Microsoft Project Licenses 5.2…	606.8600.000.5395	923.80
WELLS FARGO CREDIT CARD A…	Zoom.Com 888-799-9666 Mar…	06/29/2026	VMCC Zoom 6.2026	606.8600.000.5395	31.98
				Fund 606 - TECHNOLOGY FUND Total:	**2,220.87**
Fund: 702 - ESCROW FUND					
MN DEPT OF MANAGEMENT &…	6/15/2026	06/25/2026	Cash Forfeiture (10%)-Case #2…	702.2000.006.2050	2,515.90
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193813	06/18/2026	Argenta Trl SWFMA Legal Serv…	702.3100.343.2050	137.50
ST. PAUL PIONEER PRESS	SPA78463	06/18/2026	Ord 1530 - rezone Peltier Rese…	702.5100.264.2050	73.24
DAKOTA COUNTY ATTORNEY	6/16/2026	06/25/2026	Cash Forfeiture (20%)-Case #2…	702.2000.006.2050	5,031.80
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193815	07/01/2026	Kingston Cove Preliminary Plat	702.5100.262.2050	577.50
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193815	07/01/2026	Argenta Trail Pulte Dawson W…	702.5100.267.2050	110.00
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193815	07/01/2026	7927 Argenta Trail Pulte Tow…	702.5100.267.2050	666.00
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193815	07/01/2026	9170 Cahill Ave CDA Hillcrest …	702.5100.267.2050	247.50
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193815	07/01/2026	Bedrock Qlevr LLC Data Center…	702.5100.273.2050	2,557.50
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193815	07/01/2026	11548 Clark Road Xcel Energy …	702.5100.274.2050	192.50
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193815	07/01/2026	7033 Delaney Ave, Fire Dama…	702.5200.003.2050	1,491.00
KENNEDY & GRAVEN	193816	07/01/2026	9980 Arkansas Path Fire Dam…	702.5200.002.2050	327.00
BULACH, BETH	6/1/2026	07/01/2026	Escrow Refund: 26-03CUP Ma…	702.5100.270.2050	5,920.31
ST. PAUL PIONEER PRESS	SPA83677	07/01/2026	Ord 1531 - Rezone Dawson W…	702.5100.267.2050	74.01
				Fund 702 - ESCROW FUND Total:	**19,921.76**
				Grand Total:	**2,714,026.80**

CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS

Agenda Item 5.K.

Request for Council Action

SUBJECT: **Approve Resolution for a budget adjustment and purchase a Track Conversion System for the Utility Terrain Vehicle.**

MEETING DATE: July 13, 2026
ITEM TYPE: Consent Agenda
CONTACT: Judy Thill, Fire Chief, 651.450.2495

ACTION REQUESTED

Approve Resolution for budget adjustment and purchase of a Track Conversion System for Utility Terrain Vehicle.

BACKGROUND

When the Fire Department received a grant in March 2025 to purchase a Utility Terrain Vehicle (UTV), the grant covered only the vehicle and a plow. Additional accessories needed to fully equip the UTV were not included. Since that time, donations received by the Fire Department have been placed in a dedicated fund to purchase these accessories. One remaining item is a Track Conversion System, which allows the UTV's wheels to be replaced with tracks.

Staff is requesting approval to purchase the Track Conversion System at a cost of $12,162. Staff is also requesting approval to amend Fire Department operating account 101.2200.000.5615 (Machinery & Equipment) by $12,162 to facilitate the purchase. Funding will come from account 101.0000.000.3020 (Prior Year Fund Balance – Restricted for Fire UTV), supplemented by 2026 donations.

FISCAL IMPACT

There are sufficient funds between the Restricted for Fire UTV Fund and 2026 donations to pay for the track conversion system.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approving the purchase of the track conversion system and amending the fire department operating account 101.2200.000.5615 Machinery & Equipment, in the amount of $12,162.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Resolution to purchase Track Conversion System

CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS
DAKOTA COUNTY, MINNESOTA

RESOLUTION NO. _________

RESOLUTION APPROVING PURCHASE OF A TRACK CONVERSION SYSTEM AND AMENDING THE BUDGET

WHEREAS, the Fire Department received a grant in March 2025 to purchase a Utility Terrain Vehicle (UTV) and plow, but there were not enough funds to pay for additional needed accessories; and

WHEREAS, the Department has been placing prior year donations into account 101.0000.000.3020 Prior Year Fund Balance-Restricted for Fire UTV, which is a dedicated fund to pay for needed UTV accessories; and

WHEREAS, the Department has also received donations in 2026 that can be applied toward the purchase of UTV accessories; and

WHEREAS, the Department has enough funds set aside to purchase a Track Conversion System for $12,162; and

WHEREAS, the Fire Department operating account 101.2200.000.5615 (Machinery & Equipment) will be amended by $12,162 to facilitate the purchase. Funding for the equipment will come from account 101.0000.000.3020 (Prior Year Fund Balance – Restricted for Fire UTV), supplemented by 2026 donations.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE INVER GROVE HEIGHTS CITY COUNCIL, THAT:

Approved by the City Council of the City of Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota on this 13th day of July 2026.

____
Brenda Dietrich, Mayor

ATTEST:

____
Rebecca Kiernan, City Clerk

Agenda Item 6.A.

CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS

Request for Council Action

SUBJECT: **Consideration of New Corporate Officer for Liquor License Holder Outback Steakhouse of Florida, LLC**

MEETING DATE: July 13, 2026
ITEM TYPE: Public Hearing
CONTACT: Rebecca Kiernan, City Clerk, 651.450.2513

ACTION REQUESTED

The Council is asked to consider a new corporate officer, Eric Christel, for Outback Steakhouse Inc. premises at 5723 Bishop Avenue, Inver Grove Heights, holder of an On-Sale and Sunday Liquor License.

BACKGROUND

Outback Steakhouse of Florida, LLC has requested a change to their corporate officers which will amend their On-Sale and Sunday Liquor License for the location at 5723 Bishop Avenue in Inver Grove Heights. The applicant has paid the fees as required by City Code and provided all the necessary documentation required for the officer change.

The Police Department conducted the background investigation on William Healy and found no basis for denial of the officer change.

FISCAL IMPACT

NA

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends holding a public hearing and approval of the change in officers.

ATTACHMENTS

None

CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS

Request for Council Action

SUBJECT: 2026 Minnesota Legislature Recap

MEETING DATE: July 13, 2026
ITEM TYPE: Regular Business
CONTACT: Jason Ziemer, Community Development Director, 651-450-2546

ACTION REQUESTED

No specific action is requested. The City Council will receive a summary report from Lobbyist Katy Sen, Messerli-Kramer, regarding the 2026 Legislative Session.

BACKGROUND

Minnesota lawmakers convened the 2026 Legislative Session ("Session") on February 17, 2026 and adjourned on time on May 18, 2026. For Inver Grove Heights ("City"), significant effort during the Session was focused on attempting secure funding for the proposed Minnesota Hockey Hall of Fame development ("Project").

House File (H.F.) and Senate File (S.F.) 4239, collectively referred to hereafter as the "Bill," sought $5 million in State General Obligation bond funding for the City to support site and public improvements related to the Project. The Bill also included a $20 million cash ask for the Minnesota Hockey Hall of Fame non-profit organization to support facility construction. Given the volume of asks by local governments and the general competitive nature of such asks of the State, neither the bond funding nor cash requests were fulfilled during this past session.

Katy Sen, City lobbyist with Messerli-Kramer; Jason Ziemer, Community Development Director; and representatives from the developer's team met frequently with lawmakers throughout the Session. Director Ziemer and Mayor Brenda Dietrich also joined Rep. Mary Frances Clardy at a House committee hearing to testify on our bonding request. The Project was well received by Legislators and there appeared to be strong support for the Project, its vision, and its state and regional significance. Why was funding not approved? Lawmakers cited most of the local projects receiving State investment this round had been before the legislature previously.

As the Project moves forward, it is assumed the City would again pursue State bond funding to support public improvements. The developer and non-profit organization are determining whether they intend to seek State money. The unknown answer: The next legislative session is a budget year; will there be a bonding bill in 2027?

Ms. Sen was asked to provide a general legislative recap of the 2026 Session – specifically on topics of importance to cities and introduce any issues that may likely resurface or be introduced during the

2027 Session. She will attend the July 13, 2026 City Council meeting to offer her Session summary; attached is her written recap.

FISCAL IMPACT
N/A

RECOMMENDATION
N/A

ATTACHMENTS
1. Memo_2026 Legislative Recap_07-13-2026

Memo

2026 Legislative Session Summary

To:	Mayor Brenda Dietrich Councilmember Sue Gliva Councilmember John Murphy Councilmember Tony Scales Councilmember Mary T'Kach
From:	Katy Sen, Lobbyist (Messerli-Kramer)
Date:	06/30/2026
Subject:	Minnesota Legislative Recap
CC:	Ellen Hiniker, Interim City Administrator Jason Ziemer, Community Development Director

Mayor Dietrich and Council Members Gliva, Murphy, Scales and T'Kach,

The 2026 Legislative Session began on the heels of unprecedented turmoil in 2025.

During the previous year, House Speaker Melissa Hortman and her husband were murdered in their home and Senator Hoffman and his wife were severely wounded by the same perpetrator; Senate Majority Leader Kari Dziedzic died of cancer; and two legislators were arrested for felonies - one for burglary and the other for soliciting a minor.

In August 2025, three students were murdered and 28 people were injured in a mass shooting at Annunciation Catholic School in Minneapolis. In January 2026, ICE agents killed two civilian protesters in Minneapolis as part of the Federal Government's Operation Metro Surge, setting off protests across the Twin Cities.

These events occurred against the backdrop of the most evenly divided legislature mathematically possible: a tied House, a one-seat DFL-majority in the Senate and a DFL Governor. Due to deaths, arrests and members moving to other elected offices, there were a record number of special elections - each determining control of the House or Senate.

On January 5, 2026, amid intense scrutiny over allegations of hundreds of millions of dollars in fraud in state-administered programs, Governor Walz, the Democratic VP candidate just one year earlier, reversed course and announced he would not run for a third term as Governor of Minnesota.

Legislators gathered on February 17, 2026 for the start of the Legislative Session ready to debate gun control, fraud, affordability, and ICE tactics. Minnesota had a budget surplus; however, a structural deficit loomed in future years. Amid the budget uncertainty, legislative leaders tamped down expectations for a non-budget year session when passing a bonding bill is typically the focus.

In the end, legislators found agreement to help Hennepin County Medical Center (the state's largest safety net hospital); create an Office of the Inspector General (OIG); provide one-time property tax relief; reduce license plate tab fees for one year; cut $300 million from human services spending; and pass a $1.2B bonding bill. Legislators were able to end the legislative session on time and address many of the "must do" items leaders had identified. Issues not addressed included: gun control, assistance for businesses impacted by Metro Surge, local sales tax changes, and ongoing Federal tax conformity.

General Provisions of Interest to Cities

PASSED: Homeowners Association (HOA) Bill CHAPTER 82 - SF 1750 - Bahner/Lucero makes a variety of changes aimed at increasing transparency and protecting homeowners in HOAs. The bill prohibits cities and counties from requiring the creation of an HOA as a condition for approving residential building permits, conditional use permits or planned unit developments, unless requested by the developer or state or federal law. The bill also: creates dispute resolution options, limits property foreclosure as an enforcement tool, prohibits excessive fees and fines, and eliminates certain parking restrictions.

PASSED: Philando Castile Memorial Training Fund: The Public Safety Omnibus Finance Bill (HF 3432 - Latz/ Moller) increases the funding for policy training from $4.9 million per year to $6 million per year.

PASSED: Payment Transparency Act CHAPTER 90 - SF 1714 - Johnson Stewart/ HF 1234 - Scott requires state and local governments to provide certain payment information to a requesting contractor or subcontractor that is participating in a public building or construction project.

PASSED: Changes to Congregate Care (HF 4144 - Nadeau/ SF 4279 - Hoffman) made changes to licensing and management of group assisted living facilities, including several provisions supported by cities. A version of provisions were included in the Omnibus Human Services (SF 4476 - Hoffman).

DID NOT PASS: Starter Homes Act (HF 3895 - Igo, Howard/ SF 4123 - Port) The bill would have created several new requirements for municipalities related to zoning and approval of residential development. (Full bill summary: https://www.house.mn.gov/hrd/bs/94/HF3895.pdf). Provisions include:

- Prohibit certain design standards related to residential buildings with four or fewer residential units.
- Prohibit a municipality from conditioning approvals of certain permits on the creation of an HOA (this did pass as part of the HOA bill described above).
- Require a municipality to adopt zoning ordinances that allow mixed housing as a permitted use on at least 33 percent of the buildable area within the municipality that is zoned for single family housing.
- Require that a municipality must not impose a minimum lot size on a greenfield lot larger than 1/8 of an acre, and must not impose a minimum lot size larger than 1,500 square feet on a townhouse developed on a greenfield lot or in a zoning district that permits mixed housing.
- Prohibit a municipality from imposing larger lot size requirements than those in the subdivision on a lot that is not connected to municipal water or sewer.
- Require a municipality to allow at least one accessory dwelling unit to be a permitted use on any residential lot with a single family home, so long as the accessory dwelling unit is built in conformance with minimum spacing requirements for emergency services access and the smallest residential setback in the zoning district.

DID NOT PASS: Limits on Use of Non-Disclosure Agreements (NDAs) by Cities (HF 4077 - Greenman/ SF4379 - Maye Quade) limited the use of NDAs by cities, counties, and other government entities. The bill passed through committees in the House and Senate to their respective Floors but did not pass off the Floor of either body.

DID NOT PASS: Local Governments Allowed to Restrict Guns (SF 2320 - Pappas/ HF 1601 - Hussein): authorized local governments to prohibit or restrict the possession of a dangerous weapon, ammunition, or explosives within a building or on land owned or leased by the local government.

DID NOT PASS: Limits on Corporate Ownership of Homes (HF 2687 - Agbaje/ SF 3173 - Bolden) prohibited private equity firms from owning single-family properties and capped other corporate ownership at 50 homes.

DID NOT PASS: Open Meeting Law - Authorization to Live Broadcast Using Social Media (HF 3295 - Gordon/ SF 3487 - Koran) The bill authorized a public body that is not a state entity to live broadcast a meeting subject to the Open Meeting Law using social media if the social media platform does not require an individual to have an account to access content The bill passed the House but not the Senate.

Agenda Item 7.B.

CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS

Request for Council Action

SUBJECT: Presentation on the Minnesota Department of Transportation Highway 3 Rosemount to Inver Grove Heights Study.

MEETING DATE: July 13, 2026
ITEM TYPE: Regular Business
CONTACT: Paul Merchlewicz, City Engineer, 651.450.2572

ACTION REQUESTED

Receive a presentation from MnDOT regarding the Highway 3 Rosemount to Inver Grove Heights Study and provide feedback on the study goals, community engagement findings, and potential corridor improvement concepts.

BACKGROUND

MnDOT, in coordination with Dakota County and the cities of Inver Grove Heights, Eagan, and Rosemount, is studying approximately 12.5 miles of Highway 3 from Highway 62 in Inver Grove Heights to 160th Street/County Road 46 in Rosemount. The purpose of the study is to identify safety improvements for motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians; evaluate how the corridor should function as surrounding areas continue to grow; and establish a plan that can guide future projects, priorities, and funding strategies.

The study team is reviewing current and future traffic conditions, crash history, environmental considerations, access points, and multimodal needs along the corridor. Public input is also being used to understand how people use Highway 3 today, how future development may affect travel, and how residents, businesses, and road users access nearby roads by vehicle, bicycle, transit, and on foot.

Community engagement completed for the study identified several recurring themes, including safety concerns related to speeding, visibility, and high-risk intersections; congestion and traffic flow issues associated with new development and access from side streets; and the need to consider pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure, including safer crossings and connections where appropriate.

MnDOT has divided the corridor into study segments and is evaluating potential design concepts that may include turn lanes, divided roadway sections, capacity improvements, roundabouts, traffic signals, access management, and other intersection improvements. The presentation will provide Council with an overview of the study process, public engagement efforts (and feedback received) , preliminary concepts, and next steps as MnDOT works toward identifying preferred improvements and potential implementation strategies.

FISCAL IMPACT

N/A

RECOMMENDATION
No action is requested at the present time. This item is for informational purposes and discussion only.

ATTACHMENTS
None

Agenda Item 7.C.

Request for Council Action

SUBJECT: **CLOSED SESSION: Interim City Administrator Performance Evaluation**

MEETING DATE: July 13, 2026
ITEM TYPE: Regular Business
CONTACT: Patty Norwig, Human Resources Manager, 651.450.2512

ACTION REQUESTED

The Council is asked to go into a closed session, pursuant to Minnesota Statute Chapter 13D.05, subd. 3, to conduct a performance evaluation for the Interim City Administrator.

BACKGROUND

The City's Interim City Administrator has been serving in that capacity for over six months. With the duration of her tenure extending longer than initially anticipated, the Council is asked to complete a six-month performance evaluation.

FISCAL IMPACT

n/a

RECOMMENDATION

Move into closed session to complete performance evaluation for Interim City Administrator.

ATTACHMENTS

None